Employment Law

9 FEBRUARY 2023



INCORPORATING **KIETI LAW LLP, KENYA**

IN THIS ISSUE

Do the CCMA rules empower a commissioner to ignore a late con/arb objection and proceed with an arbitration in terms of section 191(5)(a)(c) of the LRA?

In a recent decision of the Labour Court in Valinor Trading 133 CC t/a Kings Castle v The CCMA and Others (JR292/19) [2023] ZALCJHB 10 (3 February 2023), the court answered "no" to this question.



EMPLOYMENT LAW

Do the CCMA rules empower a commissioner to ignore a late con/arb objection and proceed with an arbitration in terms of section 191(5)(a)(c) of the LRA?

In a recent decision of the Labour Court in Valinor Trading 133 CC t/a Kings Castle v The CCMA and Others (JR292/19) [2023] ZALCJHB 10 (3 February 2023), the court answered "no" to this question.

The dispute in this matter related to the termination of an agreement between an individual and the company. The individual referred an unfair dismissal dispute to the Commission for Conciliation. Mediation and Arbitration (CCMA). The matter was set down for conciliation/arbitration (con/arb). The company objected to the immediate commencement of arbitration after conciliation as contemplated by section 191(5A)(c) of the Labour Relations Act 66 of 1995 (LRA). However, the objection was delivered late in terms of the CCMA rules. As a result of the late objection, the commissioner proceeded with the arbitration and issued a default arbitration award. The company applied to have the default award rescinded. The commissioner dismissed the application. There are some further technicalities related to this rescission application and a subsequent application which are not the focus of this article and accordingly will not be covered.

The court held that where a party has objected in terms of section 191(5A)(c) of the LRA, irrespective of the timing of the objection, a commissioner is not empowered to proceed with the arbitration or to ignore the objection. Should a commissioner ignore the objection and proceed, the decision that follows is a nullity or is void. The court drew attention to the fact that the relevant LRA section does not prescribe a time period within which to object and accordingly the rules of the CCMA cannot prescribe a time period. In this regard, a commissioner should not be restricted to complying with the time periods prescribed in the CCMA rules and has the discretion to condone any failure to comply with the time periods with a view to achieving the aims of the LRA as provided for in terms of Rule 35.

In interpreting the CCMA rules, the court held that the rules, including the relevant Rule 17 proposing the time period of seven days, do not prescribe that if a notice of objection is received late, that the objection is

2022 RESULTS

CHAMBERS GLOBAL 2014 - 2022 ranked our Employment Law practice in Band 2: employment.

Aadil Patel ranked by CHAMBERS GLOBAL 2015 - 2022 in Band 2: employment.

Fiona Leppan ranked by CHAMBERS GLOBAL 2018 - 2022 in Band 2: employment.

Imraan Mahomed ranked by CHAMBERS GLOBAL 2021 - 2022 in Band 2: employment.

Hugo Pienaar ranked by CHAMBERS GLOBAL 2014 - 2022 in Band 2: employment.

Gillian Lumb ranked by CHAMBERS GLOBAL 2020 - 2022 in Band 3: employment.



EMPLOYMENT LAW

Do the CCMA rules empower a commissioner to ignore a late con/arb objection and proceed with an arbitration in terms of section 191(5)(a)(c) of the LRA?

null and void. If, however, one reads the CCMA rules to indicate otherwise, this would result in a conflict between the LRA and the CCMA rules. In such an instance, the LRA would prevail, according to section 210 of the LRA. Quoting the Labour Appeal Court's finding in *Premier Gauteng and Another v Ramabulana N.O4* [2008] 29 ILJ 1099 (LAC), the Labour Court endorsed the following principle:

"...it is the Act [LRA] that provides for the making of CCMA Rules...it empowers the CCMA to make the rules 'regulating the practice and procedures.... This means that such rules are not meant by the Act to take away any substantive right of any party. At any rate, where the Act confers a right on a party, the CCMA Rules cannot take that away. Any rule that does that would be in conflict with the Act – an untenable situation."

In other words, reading the time period for a party to exercise its objection to con/arb, within the context of the rules and the I RA. it follows that a notice not given at least seven days prior to the set down date does not render the objection defective to the extent that it can be ignored or deemed invalid. Any other reading that renders the objection ineffective is in conflict with the LRA and in such an instance the LRA would prevail, meaning that the objection remains valid irrespective of whether it complied with the time period set out in the CCMA rules.

In conclusion, the court found that the commissioner was not empowered to ignore the notice of objection by the company and accordingly was not authorised to proceed with the arbitration. In this regard, the failure of the company to appear was not a failure to appear for arbitration since no arbitration could lawfully commence considering the notice of objection that was delivered by the company. In light of this, the default arbitration award was erroneously issued, and the commissioner ought to have granted the rescission application.

The court rescinded and set aside the default arbitration award.

Nadeem Mahomed and Thabang Rapuleng



OUR TEAM

For more information about our Employment Law practice and services in South Africa and Kenya, please contact:



Aadil Patel

Practice Head & Director: Employment Law Joint Sector Head: Government & State-Owned Entities T +27 (0)11 562 1107 E aadil.patel@cdhlegal.com



Anli Bezuidenhout

Director: Employment Law T +27 (0)21 481 6351 E anli.bezuidenhout@cdhlegal.com



Jose Jorge Sector Head:

Consumer Goods, Services & Retail Director: Employment Law T +27 (0)21 481 6319 E jose.jorge@cdhlegal.com



Fiona Leppan

Joint Sector Head: Mining & Minerals Director: Employment Law T +27 (0)11 562 1152 E fiona.leppan@cdhlegal.com



Gillian Lumb

Director: Employment Law T +27 (0)21 481 6315 E gillian.lumb@cdhlegal.com



Imraan Mahomed

Director: Employment Law T +27 (0)11 562 1459 E imraan.mahomed@cdhlegal.com

Bongani Masuku Director:

Employment Law T +27 (0)11 562 1498 E bongani.masuku@cdhlegal.com



Phetheni Nkuna Director: Employment Law T +27 (0)11 562 1478 E phetheni.nkuna@cdhlegal.com

Desmond Odhiambo

Partner | Kenya T +254 731 086 649 +254 204 409 918 +254 710 560 114 E desmond.odhiambo@cdhlegal.com

Hugo Pienaar

Sector Head: Infrastructure, Transport & Logistics Director: Employment Law T +27 (0)11 562 1350 E hugo.pienaar@cdhlegal.com

Thabang Rapuleng

Director: Employment Law T +27 (0)11 562 1759 E thabang.rapuleng@cdhlegal.com







Faan Coetzee Executive Consultant: Employment Law T +27 (0)11 562 1600 E faan.coetzee@cdhlegal.com



Consultant: Employment Law M +27 (0)73 909 1940 E jean.ewang@cdhlegal.com



Ebrahim Patelia Legal Consultant: **Employment Law** T +27 (0)11 562 1000 E ebrahim.patelia@cdhlegal.com

Nadeem Mahomed



Professional Support Lawyer: **Employment Law** T +27 (0)11 562 1936 E nadeem.mahomed@cdhlegal.com

Mohsina Chenia



E njeri.wagacha@cdhlegal.com

Njeri Wagacha

Partner | Kenya

T +254 731 086 649

+254 204 409 918

+254 710 560 114

OUR TEAM

For more information about our Employment Law practice and services in South Africa and Kenya, please contact:



Asma Cachalia

Senior Associate: Employment Law T +27 (0)11 562 1333 E asma.cachalia@cdhlegal.com



Jordyne Löser

Senior Associate: Employment Law T +27 (0)11 562 1479 E jordyne.loser@cdhlegal.com



Tamsanga Mila Senior Associate:

Employment Law T +27 (0)11 562 1108 E tamsanga.mila@cdhlegal.com



Christine Mugenyu

Senior Associate | Kenya T +254 731 086 649 T +254 204 409 918 T +254 710 560 114 E christine.mugenyu@cdhlegal.com



Employment Law T +27 (0)11 562 1289 E jj.vanderwalt@cdhlegal.com

Abigail Butcher

Associate: Employment Law T +27 (0)11 562 1506 E abigail.butcher@cdhlegal.com



Associate | Kenya

T +254 731 086 649 T +254 204 409 918

T +254 710 560 114 E rizichi.kashero-ondego@cdhlegal.com



Associate: Employment Law T +27 (0)11 562 1031 E biron.madisa@cdhlegal.com



Thato Maruapula

Employment Law T +27 (0)11 562 1774 E thato.maruapula@cdhlegal.com





Kgodisho Phashe

Associate: Employment Law T +27 (0)11 562 1086 E kgodisho.phashe@cdhlegal.com



Tshepiso Rasetlola Associate: Employment Law T +27 (0)11 562 1260 E tshepiso.rasetlola@cdhlegal.com



Taryn York Associate: Employment Law

T +27 (0)11 562 1732 E taryn.york@cdhlegal.com

JJ van der Walt Senior Associate: Fezeka Mbatha



E fezeka.mbatha@cdhlegal.com

BBBEE STATUS: LEVEL ONE CONTRIBUTOR

Our BBBEE verification is one of several components of our transformation strategy and we continue to seek ways of improving it in a meaningful manner.

PLEASE NOTE

This information is published for general information purposes and is not intended to constitute legal advice. Specialist legal advice should always be sought in relation to any particular situation. Cliffe Dekker Hofmeyr will accept no responsibility for any actions taken or not taken on the basis of this publication.

JOHANNESBURG

1 Protea Place, Sandton, Johannesburg, 2196. Private Bag X40, Benmore, 2010, South Africa. Dx 154 Randburg and Dx 42 Johannesburg. T +27 (0)11 562 1000 F +27 (0)11 562 1111 E jhb@cdhlegal.com

CAPE TOWN

11 Buitengracht Street, Cape Town, 8001. PO Box 695, Cape Town, 8000, South Africa. Dx 5 Cape Town. T +27 (0)21 481 6300 F +27 (0)21 481 6388 E ctn@cdhlegal.com

NAIROBI

Merchant Square, 3rd floor, Block D, Riverside Drive, Nairobi, Kenya. P.O. Box 22602-00505, Nairobi, Kenya. T +254 731 086 649 | +254 204 409 918 | +254 710 560 114

E cdhkenya@cdhlegal.com

STELLENBOSCH

14 Louw Street, Stellenbosch Central, Stellenbosch, 7600. T +27 (0)21 481 6400 E cdhstellenbosch@cdhlegal.com

©2023 11948/FEB



INCORPORATING KIETI LAW LLP, KENYA