19 June 2023 Employment Law ALERT

IN THIS ISSUE

Police intervention in violent strikes

Given the unfortunate reality that strikes can be characterised by violence, it is important to understand the role the police can be called upon to play in ensuring that violence and damage to persons and property is prevented, or where it has already taken place, does not continue.





INCORPORATING KIETI LAW LLP, K<u>ENYA</u>

Police intervention in violent strikes

Given the unfortunate reality that strikes can be characterised by violence, it is important to understand the role the police can be called upon to play in ensuring that violence and damage to persons and property is prevented, or where it has already taken place, does not continue. In Minister of Police and Two Others v Umbhaba Estates (Ptv) Ltd and 53 Others (1281/2021) [2023] ZASCA 85 (1 June 2023), the Supreme Court of Appeal handed down a judgment on 1 June 2023, where it considered the liability of, amongst others, the Minister of South African Police Services for failing to take adequate steps to prevent the unlawful actions of striking employees. The case concerned employees of Umbhaba Estates who, unhappy with their terms and conditions of employment following a transfer of their employment to Umbhaba Estates, embarked on a protracted strike during which they committed criminal acts on their employer's property including assaults, malicious damage to property, vandalism, theft and looting.

The striking employees' criminal conduct was committed despite Umbhaba Estates' proactive and ongoing steps to prevent this. When management of Umbhaba Estates received the notice of the strike they contacted the police to warn them of the strike and possible violence. Management foresaw the potential for the strike turning violent based on the employees' previous conduct. As anticipated, the strike quickly became violent. On the first day some of the striking employees were armed with sticks, they threw stones at people, whipped non-striking employees with sjamboks and hit vehicles with knobkerries.

During the strike, management contacted the police consistently and on numerous occasions requested their assistance in order to prevent the striking employees from continuing to commit unlawful acts, enforce the court orders (once court orders had been obtained from the Labour Court), and generally maintain public order. The responses from the police were minimal. At the start of the strike the police stated that they were unable to act without a court interdict. Umbhaba Estates then approached the Labour Cour for an interdict to address the violence. Despite three court orders being issued by the Labour Court interdicting the striking employees from picketing within 500m of the main gates, interdicting



Zimbabwean Exemption Permits: What you need to know

The expiry date of the ZEP system is 31 December 2023. Join us for a discussion on the workplace factors that may affect you.

Tuesday, 20 June 2023

09h00 - 10h00 (CAT)

Register Here

Once registered, you will receive a confirmation email with a link to join the webinar. This is a complimentary offering. We look forward to engaging with you. For more information contact

cdhevents@cdhlegal.com

Police intervention in violent strikes

CONTINUED

them from destroying and damaging their employer's assets and property, and authorising the police to arrest striking employees who breached the earlier court order and those who continued to intimidate non-striking employees and/or who continued to damage their employer's property, the police failed to enforce the court orders, made limited arrests and failed to monitor the strike on a daily basis.

Finding

The court found that the police had wrongfully and negligently failed to prevent striking employees from causing damage to Umbhaba Estates. The court emphasised that the police have a duty to reasonably foresee that violence could erupt and that it was clear that on the few occasions that the police attended at the premises their presence was a deterrent of the violence. Despite this and Umbhaba Estate's repeated requests, the police failed to adequately respond. In their defence, the police admitted that Umbhaba Estates had requested their assistance on several occasions, but asserted that reasonable steps were taken by the police to negotiate with the striking employees, adequate action was taken to restore order when requested, and arrests were effected. In response to this Umbhaba Estate introduced video footage and photographic evidence which clearly showed that there was blatant non-compliance with the Labour Court orders and an inadequate response from the police.

The significance of and lessons to be drawn from this case for an employer faced with a violent strike or the potential for a violent strike include the following:

• If an employer anticipates violence during a strike, it is advisable to warn the police of the potential violence when the employer is issued with notice of a strike.

- The police have a duty to prevent damage and violence associated with criminal acts on private property, regardless of whether a court order has been secured. In this case the court reiterated that the police have a legal duty to maintain public order, to intervene and prevent crime, to protect and secure people and their property, and to uphold and enforce the law, even before the first court order was obtained.
- An employer should keep a full and accurate record of conduct during a strike in the form of video footage and photographs. This evidence can be critical in court proceedings.
- It is advisable to have a copy of this judgment and make it available to the police if they indicate a reluctance to act where criminal behaviour is occurring.

Gillian Lumb and Sebastian Foster

OUR TEAM

For more information about our Employment Law practice and services in South Africa and Kenya, please contact:



Aadil Patel

Practice Head & Director: Employment Law Joint Sector Head: Government & State-Owned Entities T +27 (0)11 562 1107 E aadil.patel@cdhlegal.com



Anli Bezuidenhout

Director: Employment Law T +27 (0)21 481 6351 E anli.bezuidenhout@cdhlegal.com



Jose Jorge Sector Head:

Consumer Goods, Services & Retail Director: Employment Law T +27 (0)21 481 6319 E jose.jorge@cdhlegal.com



Fiona Leppan

Joint Sector Head: Mining & Minerals Director: Employment Law T +27 (0)11 562 1152 E fiona.leppan@cdhlegal.com



Gillian Lumb

Director: Employment Law T +27 (0)21 481 6315 E gillian.lumb@cdhlegal.com



Imraan Mahomed Director:

Employment Law T +27 (0)11 562 1459 E imraan.mahomed@cdhlegal.com

Bongani Masuku Director:

Employment Law T +27 (0)11 562 1498 E bongani.masuku@cdhlegal.com



T +27 (0)11 562 1478 E phetheni.nkuna@cdhlegal.com

Desmond Odhiambo

Partner | Kenya T +254 731 086 649 +254 204 409 918 +254 710 560 114 E desmond.odhiambo@cdhlegal.com

Hugo Pienaar

Sector Head: Infrastructure, Transport & Logistics Director: Employment Law T +27 (0)11 562 1350 E hugo.pienaar@cdhlegal.com

Thabang Rapuleng

Counsel: Employment Law T +27 (0)11 562 1759 E thabang.rapuleng@cdhlegal.com





Employment Law T +27 (0)11 562 1487 E hedda.schensema@cdhlegal.com



Njeri Wagacha

Partner | Kenya T +254 731 086 649 +254 204 409 918 +254 710 560 114 E njeri.wagacha@cdhlegal.com



Mohsina Chenia Executive Consultant: **Employment Law** T +27 (0)11 562 1299 E mohsina.chenia@cdhlegal.com



Jean Ewang Consultant: Employment Law M +27 (0)73 909 1940 E iean.ewang@cdhlegal.com



Legal Consultant: Employment Law T +27 (0)11 562 1000



Professional Support Lawyer: Employment Law T +27 (0)11 562 1936

Ebrahim Patelia E ebrahim.patelia@cdhlegal.com











OUR TEAM

For more information about our Employment Law practice and services in South Africa and Kenya, please contact:



Asma Cachalia

Senior Associate: Employment Law T +27 (0)11 562 1333 E asma.cachalia@cdhlegal.com



Rizichi Kashero-Ondego

Senior Associate | Kenya T +254 731 086 649 T +254 204 409 918 T +254 710 560 114

E rizichi.kashero-ondego@cdhlegal.com



Senior Associate: Employment Law

Jordyne Löser

T +27 (0)11 562 1479 E jordyne.loser@cdhlegal.com



Employment Law T +27 (0)21 481 6318 E leila.moosa@cdhlegal.com



Christine Mugenyu

Senior Associate | Kenya T +254 731 086 649 T +254 204 409 918 T +254 710 560 114 E christine.mugenyu@cdhlegal.com



Senior Associate: Employment Law T +27 (0)11 562 1289 E jj.vanderwalt@cdhlegal.com



Associate: Employment Law T +27 (0)11 562 1031 E biron.madisa@cdhlegal.com



Kgodisho Phashe Associate:

Employment Law T +27 (0)11 562 1086 E kgodisho.phashe@cdhlegal.com



Tshepiso Rasetlola

Associate: Employment Law T +27 (0)11 562 1260 E tshepiso.rasetlola@cdhlegal.com



Taryn York

Associate: Employment Law T +27 (0)11 562 1732 E taryn.york@cdhlegal.com

BBBEE STATUS: LEVEL ONE CONTRIBUTOR

Our BBBEE verification is one of several components of our transformation strategy and we continue to seek ways of improving it in a meaningful manner.

PLEASE NOTE

This information is published for general information purposes and is not intended to constitute legal advice. Specialist legal advice should always be sought in relation to any particular situation. Cliffe Dekker Hofmeyr will accept no responsibility for any actions taken or not taken on the basis of this publication.

JOHANNESBURG

1 Protea Place, Sandton, Johannesburg, 2196. Private Bag X40, Benmore, 2010, South Africa. Dx 154 Randburg and Dx 42 Johannesburg. T +27 (0)11 562 1000 F +27 (0)11 562 1111 E jhb@cdhlegal.com

CAPE TOWN

11 Buitengracht Street, Cape Town, 8001. PO Box 695, Cape Town, 8000, South Africa. Dx 5 Cape Town. T +27 (0)21 481 6300 F +27 (0)21 481 6388 E ctn@cdhlegal.com

NAIROBI

Merchant Square, 3rd floor, Block D, Riverside Drive, Nairobi, Kenya. P.O. Box 22602-00505, Nairobi, Kenya. T +254 731 086 649 | +254 204 409 918 | +254 710 560 114 E cdhkenya@cdhlegal.com

STELLENBOSCH

14 Louw Street, Stellenbosch Central, Stellenbosch, 7600. T +27 (0)21 481 6400 E cdhstellenbosch@cdhlegal.com

©2023 12419/JUN



INCORPORATING KIETI LAW LLP, KENYA