# DISPUTE RESOLUTION ALERT

### IN THIS ISSUE >

# Policy considerations and the imposition of liability

During 2008, at a small school in Bredasdorp, a crossbeam collapsed on a five-and-a-half-year-old child while she was playing on a swing. Suffering critical injuries, the incident left the girl severely disabled. Tragedies such as this raise complex issues of determining whether, in the circumstances, a legal duty existed to prevent harm and, if so, who bore that responsibility. The Supreme Court of Appeal (SCA) was called on to determine these factors in *MEC: Western Cape Department of Social Development v BE obo JE and another.* 

FOR MORE INSIGHT INTO OUR EXPERTISE AND SERVICES





The father of the child instituted a damages claim against the Minister of the Department of Social Development (Minister) in the Western Cape.

# Policy considerations and the imposition of liability

During 2008, at a small school in Bredasdorp, a crossbeam collapsed on a five-and-a-half-year-old child while she was playing on a swing. Suffering critical injuries, the incident left the girl severely disabled. Tragedies such as this raise complex issues of determining whether, in the circumstances, a legal duty existed to prevent harm and, if so, who bore that responsibility. The Supreme Court of Appeal (SCA) was called on to determine these factors in MEC: Western Cape Department of Social Development v BE obo JE and another.

The father of the child instituted a damages claim against the Minister of the Department of Social Development (Minister) in the Western Cape. In a nutshell, the allegations were that the swing was defectively designed, and that national legislation imposed a legal duty on the Minister to take reasonable steps to ensure the safety of children on the school premises.

#### Wrongfulness and a legal duty

The SCA pointed out that, for the Minister's omission to be actionable, a legal duty had to exist to prevent such harm. The SCA stated that non-compliance with statutory provisions is actionable when, taken together with all other relevant factors and the common law, a conclusion is reached that the breach was wrongful.

#### Legislation at issue

The applicable provision at the time was section 30(3)(b) of the Child Care Act 74 of 1983 (Act). The section required the Minister to be satisfied that the place of care complied with certain requirements for registration and would be managed

in a way suitable for the care and custody of children. Regulation 30(4) stated that a place of care must be reviewed every two years via a quality assurance assessment executed by appropriately trained officials appointed by the Director General (DG). Counsel for the father largely relied on Regulation 30(4), stating that if such assessments were conducted correctly, the defect would have been detected and the harm ultimately avoided.

#### Policy considerations

The SCA found that the argument submitted on behalf of the child's father was based on an incorrect interpretation of Regulation 30(4) and that policy considerations pointed away from the imposition of a broader liability on part of the Minister.

According to the SCA, the Minister and the Department acted as regulators, with operational issues such as design and maintenance of equipment falling outside the ambit of their responsibilities. The Guidelines for Early Childhood Development Services (Guidelines) alluded to the fact that the assessment acted to "help improve the way the centre is run" by providing guidance in areas where changes had to be made. Furthermore, a purposive interpretation of Regulation 30(4) revealed that the quality assurance review was directed merely toward the renewal of an institution's registration. The DG's function was to simply appoint suitably qualified department officials to ensure that those managing the place of care were qualified to do so. It follows that responsibility for the operation of such a place of care fell on the institution and those under its employ.



### Although cases such as these naturally invoke sympathy for the parties involved, the SCA stated that sympathy is no ground for imposing liability.

# Policy considerations and the imposition of liability...continued

The SCA went on to discuss that the alleged broad legal duty on the Minister would be overly burdensome. The SCA noted that the quality assessors could not reasonably be expected to possess the technical skills necessary to identify all intricate matters of safety highlighted in the guidelines. Appointing "appropriately qualified officials" would require the DG to biannually deploy several officials with varying skills and expertise to thousands of like institutions located in the Western Cape. This would go against the principle that courts should avoid imposing liability where the extent cannot be determined. The SCA noted that since the Act and Regulations operated at national level, the effect of projecting this duty on the Minister would be that the provincial governments throughout South Africa would serve as a safety net against personal injury claims that flow from the negligent conduct of ground staff that operate these facilities.

This diversion of liability was bolstered by the intersectionality between different levels of government to fulfil these roles. The SCA noted that local authorities are tasked with assisting provincial government in the performance of their function as facilitators. Local authorities thus possess a greater degree of responsibility for monitoring health and safety issues at ground level. This is in line with Schedule 4, Part B of our Constitution which brings childcare facilities within the ambit of local government responsibilities. As a result, public policy considerations strongly pointed away from the imposition of a legal duty and the court upheld the appeal.

#### Conclusion

Although cases such as these naturally invoke sympathy for the parties involved, the SCA stated that sympathy is no ground for imposing liability. The court's finding was a logical one, based on sound contextual considerations that aligned with common law principles and public policy.

Roy Barendse and Layen Petersen





#### **2021 RESULTS**

CHAMBERS GLOBAL 2017 - 2021 ranked our Dispute Resolution practice in Band 1: Dispute Resolution.

CHAMBERS GLOBAL 2018 - 2021 ranked our Dispute Resolution practice in Band 2: Insurance.

CHAMBERS GLOBAL 2017 - 2021 ranked our Dispute Resolution practice in Band 2: Restructuring/Insolvency.

CHAMBERS GLOBAL 2020 - 2021 ranked our Corporate Investigations sector in Band 3: Corporate Investigations.

Chambers Global 2021 ranked our Construction sector in Band 3: Construction.

Chambers Global 2021 ranked our Administrative & Public Law sector in Band 3: Administrative & Public Law.

Pieter Conradie ranked by CHAMBERS GLOBAL 2019 - 2021 as Senior Statespeople: Dispute Resolution.

Clive Rumsey ranked by CHAMBERS GLOBAL 2013-2021 in Band 1: Construction and Band 4: Dispute Resolution.

Jonathan Witts-Hewinson ranked by CHAMBERS GLOBAL 2021 in Band 3: Dispute Resolution.

Tim Fletcher ranked by CHAMBERS GLOBAL 2019 - 2021 in Band 3: Dispute Resolution.

Joe Whittle ranked by CHAMBERS GLOBAL 2020 - 2021 in Band 3: Construction

Tobie Jordaan ranked by CHAMBERS GLOBAL 2020 - 2021 as an up and coming Restructuring/Insolvency lawyer.



## Cliffe Dekker Hofmeyr's Dispute Resolution rankings in THE LEGAL 500 EMEA 2020:

CDH's Dispute Resolution practice is ranked as a Top-Tier firm in THE LEGAL 500 EMEA 2020.

**Tim Fletcher** is ranked as a Leading Individual in Dispute Resolution in THE LEGAL 500 EMEA 2020.

Eugene Bester is recommended in Dispute Resolution in THE LEGAL 500 EMEA 2020.

Jonathan Witts-Hewinson is recommended in Dispute Resolution in THE LEGAL 500 EMEA 2020.

Pieter Conradie is recommended in Dispute Resolution in THE LEGAL 500 EMEA 2020.

Rishaban Moodley is recommended in Dispute Resolution in THE LEGAL 500 EMEA 2020.

Kgosi Nkaiseng is ranked as a Next Generation Partner in THE LEGAL 500 EMEA 2020.

Tim Smit is ranked as a Next Generation Partner in THE LEGAL 500 EMEA 2020.

**Gareth Howard** is ranked as a Rising Star in THE LEGAL 500 EMEA 2020.

CDH's Construction practice is ranked in Tier 2 in THE LEGAL 500 EMEA 2020.

Clive Rumsey is ranked as a Leading Individual in Construction in THE LEGAL 500 EMEA 2020.

Joe Whittle is recommended in Construction in THE LEGAL 500 EMEA 2020.

Timothy Baker is recommended in Construction in THE LEGAL 500 EMEA 2020.

Siviwe Mcetywa is ranked as a Rising Star in Construction in THE LEGAL 500 EMEA 2020.



### CDH IS THE EXCLUSIVE MEMBER FIRM IN AFRICA FOR THE:

Insuralex Global Insurance Lawyers Group

(the world's leading insurance and reinsurance law firm network).

CLICK HERE TO READ MORE





### **OUR TEAM**

#### For more information about our Dispute Resolution practice and services, please contact:



**Tim Fletcher** National Practice Head Director

T +27 (0)11 562 1061

tim.fletcher@cdhlegal.com



#### Thabile Fuhrmann

Chairperson Director

+27 (0)11 562 1331

thabile.fuhrmann@cdhlegal.com

#### **Timothy Baker**

Director

T +27 (0)21 481 6308

E timothy.baker@cdhlegal.com

#### **Eugene Bester**

T +27 (0)11 562 1173

E eugene.bester@cdhlegal.com

#### **Jackwell Feris**

Director

T +27 (0)11 562 1825

E jackwell.feris@cdhlegal.com

#### Anja Hofmeyr

Director

T +27 (0)11 562 1129

E anja.hofmeyr@cdhlegal.com

#### **Tobie Jordaan**

Director

+27 (0)11 562 1356

E tobie.jordaan@cdhlegal.com

#### Corné Lewis

Director

T +27 (0)11 562 1042

F corne lewis@cdhlegal.com

#### **Richard Marcus**

T +27 (0)21 481 6396

E richard.marcus@cdhlegal.com

#### **Burton Meyer**

Director

T +27 (0)11 562 1056

E burton.meyer@cdhlegal.com

#### Rishaban Moodley

Director

T +27 (0)11 562 1666 E rishaban.moodley@cdhlegal.com

#### Mongezi Mpahlwa

Director

+27 (0)11 562 1476

E mongezi.mpahlwa@cdhlegal.com

#### Kgosi Nkaiseng

Director

T +27 (0)11 562 1864

E kgosi.nkaiseng@cdhlegal.com

#### Byron O'Connor

T +27 (0)11 562 1140

E byron.oconnor@cdhlegal.com

#### Lucinde Rhoodie

Director

T +27 (0)21 405 6080

E lucinde.rhoodie@cdhlegal.com

#### **Clive Rumsey**

Director

T +27 (0)11 562 1924

E clive.rumsey@cdhlegal.com

#### **Belinda Scriba**

Director

T +27 (0)21 405 6139

E belinda.scriba@cdhlegal.com

#### Tim Smit

Director

+27 (0)11 562 1085

E tim.smit@cdhlegal.com

#### Joe Whittle

Director

T +27 (0)11 562 1138

E joe.whittle@cdhlegal.com

#### **Roy Barendse**

T +27 (0)21 405 6177

E roy.barendse@cdhlegal.com

#### Pieter Conradie

Executive Consultant

T +27 (0)11 562 1071

### E pieter.conradie@cdhlegal.com

Nick Muller

**Executive Consultant** 

T +27 (0)21 481 6385 E nick.muller@cdhlegal.com

#### Jonathan Witts-Hewinson

**Executive Consultant** 

T +27 (0)11 562 1146 E witts@cdhlegal.com

BBBEE STATUS: LEVEL TWO CONTRIBUTOR Our BBBEE verification is one of several components of our transformation strategy and we continue to seek ways of improving it in a meaningful manner.

This information is published for general information purposes and is not intended to constitute legal advice. Specialist legal advice should always be sought in relation to any particular situation. Cliffe Dekker Hofmeyr will accept no responsibility for any actions taken or not taken on the basis of this publication.

#### **JOHANNESBURG**

1 Protea Place, Sandton, Johannesburg, 2196. Private Bag X40, Benmore, 2010, South Africa. Dx 154 Randburg and Dx 42 Johannesburg. T +27 (0)11 562 1000 F +27 (0)11 562 1111 E jhb@cdhlegal.com

11 Buitengracht Street, Cape Town, 8001. PO Box 695, Cape Town, 8000, South Africa. Dx 5 Cape Town. T +27 (0)21 481 6300 F +27 (0)21 481 6388 E ctn@cdhlegal.com

14 Louw Street, Stellenbosch Central, Stellenbosch, 7600. T +27 (0)21 481 6400 E cdhstellenbosch@cdhlegal.com

©2021 9875/MAR













