EMPLOYMENT ALERT



IN THIS ISSUE >

Employee unchained

Today it is common place to find a restraint of trade in almost every contract of employment you see. It is a mechanism that is being utilised more frequently as the world of commerce becomes ever more competitive.

FOR MORE INSIGHT INTO OUR EXPERTISE AND SERVICES

CLICK HERE @



EMPLOYMENT

It is trite that in our law we have a constitutional right to freedom of trade, occupation and profession and that for a restraint of trade to steer clear of infringing this right it will need to be reasonable.

Employee unchained

Today it is common place to find a restraint of trade in almost every contract of employment you see. It is a mechanism that is being utilised more frequently as the world of commerce becomes ever more competitive.

A restraint of trade generally prevents a party, after termination of employment, from freely working and earning a living in his/her trade or from freely engaging in the same business venture as the former employer. The restraint is generally limited in duration and to a geographical area.

It is trite that in our law we have a constitutional right to freedom of trade, occupation and profession and that for a restraint of trade to steer clear of infringing this right it will need to be reasonable.

Generally, it can be said that when one determines whether a restraint of trade is reasonable, they will have regard to the following: the nature of the activity sought to be restrained, the purpose of the restraint, whether there is a protectable interest, the duration and area of the restraint as well as the bargaining positions of the parties.

However, in a recent case a further hurdle was placed before an employer seeking to restrain an erstwhile employee. In Megafreight Services (Pty) Ltd Bezuidenhout and Another, a 2019 judgment in the Labour Court, it was held that the exceptio non adimpleti contractus

could be raised successfully by a former employee wishing to defend herself from being restrained. This means that when an employer tries to enforce the restraint of trade against the former employee, the employee can simply defend him/herself by showing that the employer has not performed in terms of its own obligations under the contract of employment.

A restraint of trade does not exist in isolation and it is usually contained in a contract of employment or an agreement to which there are reciprocal obligations.

The exceptio non adimpleti contractus is a defence that can be raised in the case of a reciprocal contract. The essence is that the party raising the exceptio (exception) can withhold their own performance, for example their undertaking to not join a competitor, and defend the claim of the employer which seeks to restrain them from taking up employment with the competitor until the employer has fulfilled all of its obligations under the contract of employment.

In the Megafreight Services case, the employer who sought to restrain the erstwhile employee, had failed to pay to the employee commission in terms of the contract of employment between the employee and the employer. The court found that given the above the employer did not have a clear right to the relief and therefore dismissed the application.



EMPLOYMENT

Any employer seeking to enforce a restraint should be mindful of their obligations under the contract in which the restraint is couched and ensure that there are no reciprocal obligations that will derail any attempt at a successful restraint.

Employee unchained...continued

The court stated that: "As long as something remains which has to be performed by the applicant (the employer), the respondent (the employee) may raise the exceptio non adempleti contractus as a defence to any attempt by the applicant to enforce the restraint".

Therefore, any employer seeking to enforce a restraint should be mindful of their obligations under the contract in which the restraint is couched and ensure that there are no reciprocal obligations that will derail any attempt at a successful restraint.

Hugo Pienaar and Jaden Cramer

CDH is a Level 1 BEE contributor – our clients will benefit by virtue of the recognition of 135% of their legal services spend with our firm for purposes of their own BEE scorecards.











CHAMBERS GLOBAL 2014 - 2019 ranked our Employment practice in Band 2: Employment.

Aadil Patel ranked by CHAMBERS GLOBAL 2015 - 2019 in Band 2: Employment.

Hugo Pienaar ranked by CHAMBERS GLOBAL 2014 - 2019 in Band 2: Employment.

Fiona Leppan ranked by CHAMBERS GLOBAL 2018 - 2019 in Band 2: Employment.

Gillian Lumb ranked by CHAMBERS GLOBAL 2017 - 2019 in Band 4: Employment.





OUR TEAM

For more information about our Employment practice and services, please contact:



Aadil Patel National Practice Head Director

T +27 (0)11 562 1107 E aadil.patel@cdhlegal.com



Gillian Lumb Regional Practice Head Director

T +27 (0)21 481 6315

E gillian.lumb@cdhlegal.com



Jose Jorge

T +27 (0)21 481 6319 E jose.jorge@cdhlegal.com



Fiona Leppan Director

+27 (0)11 562 1152

E fiona.leppan@cdhlegal.com



Hugo Pienaar

T +27 (0)11 562 1350

E hugo.pienaar@cdhlegal.com



Thabang Rapuleng

T +27 (0)11 562 1759

E thabang.rapuleng@cdhlegal.com



Michael Yeates

T +27 (0)11 562 1184 E michael.yeates@cdhlegal.com



Avinesh Govindjee

Consultant

M +27 (0)83 326 5007

E avinash.govindjee@cdhlegal.com



Senior Associate

+27 (0)21 481 6341 E steven.adams@cdhlegal.com



Anli Bezuidenhout

T +27 (0)21 481 6351

E anli.bezuidenhout@cdhlegal.com



Sean Jamieson

T +27 (0)11 562 1296





Bheki Nhlapho

Senior Associate T +27 (0)11 562 1568

E bheki.nhlapho@cdhlegal.com



Jaden Cramer

Associate T +27 (0)11 562 1260

E jaden.cramer@cdhlegal.com



Tamsanqa Mila

Associate

+27 (0)11 562 1108 E tamsanqa.mila@cdhlegal.com

BBBEE STATUS: LEVEL ONE CONTRIBUTOR

Cliffe Dekker Hofmeyr is very pleased to have achieved a Level 1 BBBEE verification under the new BBBEE Codes of Good Practice. Our BBBEE verification is one of several components of our transformation strategy and we continue to seek ways of improving it in a meaningful manner.

This information is published for general information purposes and is not intended to constitute legal advice. Specialist legal advice should always be sought in relation to any particular situation. Cliffe Dekker Hofmeyr will accept no responsibility for any actions taken or not taken on the basis of this publication.

JOHANNESBURG

1 Protea Place, Sandton, Johannesburg, 2196. Private Bag X40, Benmore, 2010, South Africa. Dx 154 Randburg and Dx 42 Johannesburg. T +27 (0)11 562 1000 F +27 (0)11 562 1111 E jhb@cdhlegal.com

CAPE TOWN

11 Buitengracht Street, Cape Town, 8001. PO Box 695, Cape Town, 8000, South Africa. Dx 5 Cape Town. T +27 (0)21 481 6300 F +27 (0)21 481 6388 E ctn@cdhlegal.com

14 Louw Street, Stellenbosch Central, Stellenbosch, 7600. T +27 (0)21 481 6400 E cdhstellenbosch@cdhlegal.com

©2020 8581/JAN













