



COMMUNITY CONSENT VS CONSULTATION – HIGH COURT RULES IN FAVOUR OF COMMUNITY

The court handed down the Baleni judgment on 22 November 2018, following a long-standing dispute between the community in the Eastern Cape and an Australian mining company, Transworld Energy and Mineral Resources (SA)

Following to Itereleng Baleni judgment on 2018 and 100 per 100 per

The dispute centred around the competition between the informal land rights held by the community in terms of the Interim Protection of Informal Land Rights Act, No 31 of 1996 (IPILRA) and the legal requirements to grant a mining right under the MPRDA.

Following the recent Constitutional Court judgment, Maledu and Others v Itereleng Bakgatla Mineral Resources Pty Ltd and Another [2018] ZACC 41, the Pretoria High Court in Baleni and Others v Minister of Mineral Resources and Others Case No 73768/2016 has found that the Minister of Mineral Resources must obtain the full and informed consent of the Umgungundlovu Community, which holds land rights, prior to granting a mining right in terms of the Mineral and Petroleum Resources Development Act, No 28 of 2002 (MPRDA), and that consultation with the community does not suffice.

The court handed down the *Baleni* judgment on 22 November 2018, following a long-standing dispute between the community in the Eastern Cape and an Australian mining company, Transworld Energy and Mineral Resources (SA) (Pty) Ltd (TEM).

The dispute centred around the competition between the informal land rights held by the community in terms of the Interim Protection of Informal Land Rights Act, No 31 of 1996 (IPILRA) and the legal requirements to grant a mining right under the MPRDA. The court considered the relationship between the IPILRA and the MPRDA in respect of the level of engagement that is to be achieved prior to the granting of a mining right. The tension is that the IPILRA requires the informed consent of a community which has informal rights in land before

the community may be deprived of such rights, whereas the MPRDA requires only that a community be fully consulted prior to the granting of a mining right.

The court found that because these two pieces of legislation have a similar purpose – to redress historic economic and territorial dispossession – they should be read together. The court considered the elevated status that customary law enjoys under the constitutional dispensation and the special protection afforded to traditional communities under the IPILRA. The court also noted that the MPRDA does not specifically state that the MPRDA prevails in the event of an inconsistency with customary law, and that, in addition to requiring consultation with interested and affected parties, the MPRDA makes specific reference to promoting the rights and interests of





Best Lawyers 2018 South Africa Edition

Included 53 of CDH's Directors across Cape Town and Johannesburg.

Recognised Chris Charter as Lawyer of the Year for Competition Law (Johannesburg).

Recognised Faan Coetzee as Lawyer of the Year for Employment Law (Johannesburg).

Recognised Peter Hesseling as Lawyer of the Year for M&A Law (Cape Town).

Named Cliffe Dekker Hofmeyr Litigation Law Firm of the Year.

Named Cliffe Dekker Hofmeyr Real Estate Law Firm of the Year.



COMMUNITY CONSENT VS CONSULTATION – HIGH COURT RULES IN FAVOUR OF COMMUNITY

CONTINUED

The implication of the Baleni judgment is that when a community has informal land rights, the Minister will lack the lawful authority to grant a mining right in terms of the MPRDA unless the provisions of the IPILRA have been complied with.

communities. Given these factors, the court was satisfied that the Minister had additional obligations under the IPILRA to obtain the community's consent prior to granting TEM's mining right.

As was held in the *Maledu* judgment, the court echoed the sentiments that the IPILRA seeks to protect traditional communities by ensuring that communities have a right to decide what should happen to the land in which they have an interest; that it offers communities legal protection to assume control over and deal with their land according to customary law and usages practised by them; and most significantly, that the IPILRA provides that no person may be deprived of any informal right to land without their consent.

The implication of the *Baleni* judgment is that when a community has informal land rights, the Minister will lack the lawful authority to grant a mining right in terms of the MPRDA unless the provisions of the IPILRA have been complied with. Furthermore, in the event that the IPILRA is not complied with, a court may be willing to grant a declaratory order to uphold the informal land rights of a community.

The Minister has expressed concern over the judgment and has indicated that the Government will appeal certain parts thereof

Nonhlanhla Mohlaba and Giada Masina







OUR TEAM

For more information about our Mining & Minerals sector and services, please contact:



Allan Reid
Sector Head
Director
Corporate & Commercial
T +27 (0)11 562 1222
E allan.reid@cdhlegal.com



Giada Masina
Director
Corporate & Commercial
Τ +27 (0)11 562 1221
Ε giada.masina@cdhlegal.com



Lilia Franca
Director
Corporate & Commercial
T +27 (0)11 562 1148
E lilia.franca@cdhlegal.com



Mmatiki Aphiri
Director
Corporate θ Commercial
T +27 (0)11 562 1087
E mmatiki.aphiri@cdhlegal.com



Deepa Vallabh
Head: Cross-border M&A, Africa and Asia
Director
Corporate & Commercial
T +27 (0)11 562 1188
E deepa.vallabh@cdhlegal.com



Director Corporate & Commercial T +27 (0)11 562 1433 E sandra.gore@cdhlegal.com

Sandra Gore



Jackwell Feris
Director
Dispute Resolution
T +27 (0)11 562 1825
E jackwell.feris@cdhlegal.com



Verushca Pillay
Director
Corporate & Commercial
T +27 (0)11 562 1800
E verushca.pillay@cdhlegal.com



Nonhla Mchunu
Director
Corporate & Commercial
T +27 (0)11 562 1228
E nonhla.mchunu@cdhlegal.com



Fiona Leppan
Director
Employment
T +27 (0)11 562 1153
E fiona.leppan@cdhlegal.com



Emil Brincker
National Practice Head
Director
Tax & Exchange Control
T +27 (0)11 562 1063
E emil.brincker@cdhlegal.com



Willem Jacobs
National Practice Head
Director
Corporate & Commercial
T +27 (0)11 562 1555
E willem.jacobs@cdhlegal.com



Aadil Patel
National Practice Head
Director
Employment
T +27 (0)11 562 1107
E aadil.patel@cdhlegal.com



Mark Linington
Sector Head
Private Equity
Director: Tax θ Exchange Control
T +27 (0)11 562 1667
E mark.linington@cdhlegal.com



Deon Wilken
National Practice Head
Director
Finance & Banking
T +27 (0)11 562 1096E
E deon.wilken@cdhlegal.com



Julian Jones
Sector Head
Business Rescue & Insolvency
Director: Dispute Resolution
T +27 (0)11 562 1198
E julian.jones@cdhlegal.com



Rishaban Moodley Director Dispute Resolution T +27 (0)11 562 1666 E rishaban.moodley@cdhlegal.com



Ziyaad Hassam Senior Associate Corporate & Commercial T +27 (0)11 562 1017 E ziyaad.hassam@cdhlegal.com



Ben Cripps
Associate
Corporate & Commercial
T +27 (0)11 562 1242
E ben.cripps@cdhlegal.com



Valencia Govender
Associate
Environmental
T +27 (0)21 481 6419
E valencia.govender@cdhlegal.com



Alecia Pienaar Associate Corporate & Commercial T +27 (0)11 562 1017 E alecia.pienaar@cdhlegal.com

BBBEE STATUS: LEVEL TWO CONTRIBUTOR

This information is published for general information purposes and is not intended to constitute legal advice. Specialist legal advice should always be sought in relation to any particular situation. Cliffe Dekker Hofmeyr will accept no responsibility for any actions taken or not taken on the basis of this publication.

JOHANNESBURG

1 Protea Place, Sandton, Johannesburg, 2196. Private Bag X40, Benmore, 2010, South Africa. Dx 154 Randburg and Dx 42 Johannesburg. T +27 (0)11 562 1000 F +27 (0)11 562 1111 E jhb@cdhlegal.com

CAPE TOWN

11 Buitengracht Street, Cape Town, 8001. PO Box 695, Cape Town, 8000, South Africa. Dx 5 Cape Town. T +27 (0)21 481 6300 F +27 (0)21 481 6388 E ctn@cdhlegal.com

@2018 7430/NOV











