

IN THIS ISSUE

SUSPENSION WITHOUT PAY: DELAYS CAUSED BY **EMPLOYEES WILL COST THEM**

Drawn-out, expensive suspensions are a creeping phenomenon. Whilst there is an onus on the employer to deal with labour disputes "expeditiously", many employees charged with misconduct deliberately delay the process or attempt to postpone their fate by producing sick notes, claiming unavailability of their representative or changing representatives at the last minute.



SUSPENSION WITHOUT PAY: DELAYS CAUSED BY EMPLOYEES WILL COST THEM

Generally, a suspension pending a disciplinary enquiry is effected at the instance of an employer.

A suspension may amount to an unfair labour practice if not executed in accordance with the principles of fairness and in terms of the employer's codes and procedures.



Drawn-out, expensive suspensions are a creeping phenomenon. Whilst there is an onus on the employer to deal with labour disputes "expeditiously", many employees charged with misconduct deliberately delay the process or attempt to postpone their fate by producing sick notes, claiming unavailability of their representative or changing representatives at the last minute.

Generally, a suspension pending a disciplinary enquiry is effected at the instance of an employer. As a consequence, the employer remains liable to pay a suspended employee at the normal rate. Delays in the process can end up bleeding the employer dry.

The question that then arises is in what circumstances an employee pending a disciplinary hearing may be suspended without pay. The answer to this question is important, because suspension must also be fair and the employee is entitled to challenge a suspension that he/she feels is unfair.

A suspension may amount to an unfair labour practice if not executed in accordance with the principles of fairness and in terms of the employer's codes and procedures.

In the case of *Msipho and Plasma Cut* (2005) 26 ILJ 2276 (BCA), an employee was suspended on full pay pending a disciplinary enquiry into alleged misconduct. He was entitled to be represented by a union official. At the hearing his union official was not present. The employee requested and was granted a postponement to enable him to secure the attendance of a representative. The postponed hearing was held six weeks later. The employer failed to pay the employee during this period.

The employee referred an unfair labour practice dispute to the Centre for Dispute Resolution claiming that he was entitled to be paid whilst on suspension pending an enquiry.

The arbitrator noted that the employee was aware of the original date of the hearing and it was his responsibility to secure the attendance of his representative. He failed to discharge this responsibility and it was unfair to blame the employer for his failure. If a scheduled hearing was postponed at the instance of an employee, the employer might not be liable for remuneration from the date of postponement to the date of hearing. Otherwise, employees would find reason to delay disciplinary proceedings as this would always be at the employer's cost.

The arbitrator therefore, ruled that the failure to pay the employee during the period of postponement was not an unfair labour practice.

Two years later, these sentiments were echoed by an arbitrator of the MEIBC in the matter of SAEWA obo Members and Aberdare Cables [2007] 2 BALR 106 (MEIBC)

In this case, the employee was suspended on full pay pending a disciplinary enquiry. The hearing was postponed at the request of the union and was ultimately held about



SUSPENSION WITHOUT PAY: DELAYS CAUSED BY EMPLOYEES WILL COST THEM

CONTINUED

It is important that the employers amend their disciplinary code or policies and procedures to allow for the remedy of suspension without pay in the case of undue delays in the disciplinary enquiry caused by an employee.

two weeks after the scheduled date. The company agreed to the postponement with the proviso that the further period of suspension would be unpaid. The employee claimed that he was entitled to his pay during the full period of suspension.

The arbitrator noted that employees suspended pending disciplinary action are normally entitled to their full pay. However, to apply this principle to situations where suspension is extended at the request of the employee would be unfair to employers. The employee was accordingly not entitled to be paid for the additional period of suspension.

It appears that arbitrators have come to realise that delaying tactics by employees on full pay can result in an abuse of the disciplinary process and have sought to close the gap on this phenomenon. In the public service for example, government spends millions on salaries of suspended employees and there are increasing measures identified to address this problem.

It is quite clear that employers have failed to implement proper procedures to ensure the completion of disciplinary processes within reasonable timeframes, and therefore tacitly allow employees to be on suspension endlessly, with full pay.

It is important that the employers amend their disciplinary code or policies and procedures to allow for the remedy of suspension without pay in the case of undue delays in the disciplinary enquiry caused by an employee.

Medical certificates ought not to be accepted on face value. The employer should be guided by the Ethical and Professional Rules of the Medical and Dental Professions Board of the Health Professions Council of South Africa with respect to medical certificates and be guided by their own codes and practices in this regard.

Hugo Pienaar and Prinoleen Naidoo





Best Lawyers 2018 South Africa Edition

Included 53 of CDH's Directors across Cape Town and Johannesburg

Recognised Chris Charter as Lawyer of the Year for Competition Law (Johannesburg).

Recognised Faan Coetzee as Lawyer of the Year for Employment Law (Johannesburg)

Recognised Peter Hesseling as Lawyer of the Year for M&A Law (Cape Town).

Recognised Terry Winstanley as Lawyer of the Year for Environmental Law (Cape Town).

Named Cliffe Dekker Hofmeyr Litigation Law Firm of the Year

Named Cliffe Dekker Hofmeyr Real Estate Law Firm of the Year.





Find out what steps an employer can take when striking employees ignore court orders.



CHAMBERS GLOBAL 2014 - 2017 ranks our Employment practice in Band 2: Employment.

Aadil Patel ranked by CHAMBERS GLOBAL 2015 - 2017 in Band 2: Employment.

Hugo Pienaar ranked by CHAMBERS GLOBAL 2014 - 2017 in Band 2: Employment.

Fiona Leppan ranked by CHAMBERS GLOBAL 2017 in Band 3: Employment.

Gillian Lumb ranked by CHAMBERS GLOBAL 2017 in Band 4: Employment.





Michael Yeates named winner in the **2015** and **2016 ILO Client Choice International Awards** in the category 'Employment and Benefits, South Africa'.





OUR TEAM

For more information about our Employment practice and services, please contact:



Aadil Patel
National Practice Head
Director
T +27 (0)11 562 1107
E aadil.patel@cdhlegal.com



Thabang Rapuleng
Director
T +27 (0)11 562 1759
E thabang.rapuleng@cdhlegal.com



Associate
T +27 (0) 21 481 6341
E steven.adams@cdhlegal.com



Gillian LumbRegional Practice Head
Director
T +27 (0)21 481 6315



Director T +27 (0)21 481 6314 E samiksha.singh@cdhlegal.com

Samiksha Singh



Samantha Bonato
Associate
T +27 (0)11 562 1134
E samantha.bonato@cdhlegal.com



Kirsten Caddy
Director
T +27 (0)11 562 1412
E kirsten.caddy@cdhlegal.com

E gillian.lumb@cdhlegal.com



Gavin Stansfield
Director
T +27 (0)21 481 6313
E gavin.stansfield@cdhlegal.com



Sean Jamieson
Associate
T +27 (0)11 562 1296
E sean.jamieson@cdhlegal.com



Director T +27 (0)21 481 6319 E jose.jorge@cdhlegal.com

Jose Jorge

Fiona Leppan

Hugo Pienaar



Michael Yeates
Director
T +27 (0)11 562 1184
E michael.yeates@cdhlegal.com



Devon JenkinsAssociate
T +27 (0)11 562 1326
E devon,jenkins@cdhlegal.com



Director T +27 (0)11 562 1152 E fiona.leppan@cdhlegal.com



Director T +27 (0)11 562 1231 E ndumiso.zwane@cdhlegal.com

Ndumiso Zwane

Anli Bezuidenhout



Zola McacisoAssociate
T +27 (0)21 481 6316
E zola.mcaciso@cdhlegal.com



Director T +27 (0)11 562 1350 E hugo.pienaar@cdhlegal.com



Senior Associate T +27 (0)21 481 6351 E anli.bezuidenhout@cdhlegal.com



Anelisa Mkeme Associate T +27 (0)11 562 1039 E anelisa.mkeme@cdhlegal.com



Nicholas Preston
Director
T +27 (0)11 562 1788
E nicholas.preston@cdhlegal.com



Prinoleen Naidoo Associate T +27 (0)11 562 1829 E prinoleen.naidoo@cdhlegal.com



Bheki Nhlapho Associate T +27 (0)11 562 1568 E bheki.nhlapho@cdhlegal.com

BBBEE STATUS: LEVEL THREE CONTRIBUTOR

Cliffe Dekker Hofmeyr is very pleased to have achieved a Level 3 BBBEE verification under the new BBBEE Codes of Good Practice. Our BBBEE verification is one of several components of our transformation strategy and we continue to seek ways of improving it in a meaningful manner.

This information is published for general information purposes and is not intended to constitute legal advice. Specialist legal advice should always be sought in relation to any particular situation. Cliffe Dekker Hofmeyr will accept no responsibility for any actions taken or not taken on the basis of this publication.

JOHANNESBURG

1 Protea Place, Sandton, Johannesburg, 2196. Private Bag X40, Benmore, 2010, South Africa. Dx 154 Randburg and Dx 42 Johannesburg. T +27 (0)11 562 1000 F +27 (0)11 562 1111 E jhb@cdhlegal.com

CAPE TOWN

11 Buitengracht Street, Cape Town, 8001. PO Box 695, Cape Town, 8000, South Africa. Dx 5 Cape Town. T +27 (0)21 481 6300 F +27 (0)21 481 6388 E ctn@cdhlegal.com

©2017 2007/NOV













