22 AUGUST 2016

EMPLOYMENT ALERT

Our programme on Conducting a Disciplinary Enquiry has been accredited by the Services SETA.

MASS EQUAL PAY FOR EQUAL WORK REFERRAL: ARBITRATION OR ADJUDICATION?

The impact of the amendments to the Employment Equity Act, No 55 of 1998 (EEA) are starting to emerge as the Labour Court delivers judgments that provide much needed guidance on how to deal with the amendments.

CLICK HERE to view our NEW Employment Strike Guideline



IN THIS

MASS EQUAL PAY FOR EQUAL WORK REFERRAL: ARBITRATION OR ADJUDICATION?

In the recent Famous Brands Management Company (Pty, Ltd v CCMA and Others (JR738/16) [2016] ZALCJHB 290 case what essentially had to be determined was whether the CCMA could arbitrate an unfair discrimination dispute regarding equal pay for equal work which involved more than one employee

The CCMA ruled that it did have jurisdiction to arbitrate the matter involving approximately 632 employees. The ruling was taken on review to the Labour Court. The impact of the amendments to the Employment Equity Act, No 55 of 1998 (EEA) are starting to emerge as the Labour Court delivers judgments that provide much needed guidance on how to deal with the amendments.

The EEA was amended to include what is commonly referred to as the equal pay for equal work provision. The provision states that a difference in terms and conditions of employment between employees of the same employer performing the same or substantially the same work or work of equal value that is directly or indirectly based on any one or more grounds listed in the EEA amounts to unfair discrimination.

The EEA prohibits unfair discrimination. A dispute concerning unfair discrimination may be referred to the CCMA within 6 months of the act constituting unfair discrimination.

The CCMA will initially try to resolve the dispute through conciliation. However, if the dispute remains unresolved, the dispute may be adjudicated by the Labour Court or, in certain circumstances, arbitrated by the CCMA.

The option to have the dispute arbitrated by the CCMA also came about as a result of an amendment to the EEA. In terms of the amendment, "an employee may refer the dispute to the CCMA for arbitration if:

- the employee alleges unfair discrimination on the grounds of sexual harassment;"
- in any case other than sexual harassment, if the employee earns less than R205 433.30; or

• the parties to the dispute consent to the dispute being arbitrated.

In the recent Famous Brands Management Company (Pty) Ltd v CCMA and Others (JR738/16) [2016] ZALCJHB 290 case what essentially had to be determined was whether, in terms of the EEA amendments, the CCMA could arbitrate an unfair discrimination dispute regarding equal pay for equal work which involved more than one employee. In this case, approximately 632 employees had referred an unfair discrimination dispute regarding equal pay for equal work to the CCMA for arbitration.

The employer argued that the CCMA did not have jurisdiction to hear the matter involving 632 employees as the amendment to the EEA only permits "an employee" to refer the dispute to the CCMA for arbitration and not a number of employees. It was the employer's case that the amendment only allows individual disputes to be arbitrated at the CCMA and disputes involving more than one employee should be referred to the Labour Court for adjudication.

The CCMA ruled that it did have jurisdiction to arbitrate the matter involving approximately 632 employees. The ruling was taken on review to the Labour Court.

The court held that just because more than one individual is involved in the dispute does not mean that the dispute



MASS EQUAL PAY FOR EQUAL WORK REFERRAL: ARBITRATION OR ADJUDICATION?

CONTINUED

The court dismissed the review application and confirmed that more than one employee can refer an unfair discrimination dispute the CCMA for arbitration. is more complex even though there may be additional logistical challenges when dealing with a dispute involving many employees. The court referred to several sections in the Labour Relations Act, No 66 of 1995 where the term "employee" in the singular has been interpreted to mean "employees".

The court dismissed the review application and confirmed that more than one employee can refer an unfair discrimination dispute the CCMA for arbitration. Although the judgment does not deal with the merits of the equal pay equal work claim, it does confirm that the option to have an unfair discrimination dispute arbitrated at the CCMA is not confined to circumstances where an individual employee refers the dispute. In terms of the amendment, the CCMA is permitted to arbitrate an unfair discrimination dispute relating to equal pay for equal work where more than one employee is involved, where the employees involved earn less than R205 433.30 per annum.

Aadil Patel and Samantha Coetzer







CLICK HERE TO FIND OUT MORE

CHAMBERS GLOBAL 2014 - 2016 ranks our Employment practice in Band 2: Employment.

Aadil Patel ranked by CHAMBERS GLOBAL 2015 - 2016 in Band 2: Employment.

Hugo Pienaar ranked by CHAMBERS GLOBAL 2014 - 2016 in Band 2: Employment.

Fiona Leppan ranked by CHAMBERS GLOBAL 2016 in Band 3: Employment.

Michael Yeates named winner in the **2015** and **2016 ILO Client Choice International Awards** in the category 'Employment and Benefits, South Africa'.



OUR TEAM

For more information about our Employment practice and services, please contact:



Aadil Patel National Practice Head

Director +27 (0)11 562 1107 E aadil.patel@cdhlegal.com

Gillian Lumb Regional Practice Head Director

Fiona Leppan

Director

+27 (0)21 481 6315 gillian.lumb@cdhlegal.com



+27 (0)11 562 1152 fiona.leppan@cdhlegal.com F

Hugo Pienaar Director T +27 (0)11 562 1350 E hugo.pienaar@cdhlegal.com

Nicholas Preston Director

T +27 (0)11 562 1788 E nicholas.preston@cdhlegal.com



Samiksha Singh Director +27 (0)21 481 6314 E samiksha.singh@cdhlegal.com



Director T +27 (0)21 481 6313 E gavin.stansfield@cdhlegal.com

Michael Yeates Director

T +27 (0)11 562 1184 michael.yeates@cdhlegal.com F

Anli Bezuidenhout

T +27 (0)21 481 6351 E anli.bezuidenhout@cdhlegal.com

+27 (0)11 562 1412 Т

Е kirsten.caddy@cdhlegal.com



Ndumiso Zwane

- Senior Associate Т +27 (0)11 562 1231
- E ndumiso.zwane@cdhlegal.com

Katlego Letlonkane

- Associate T +27 (0)21 481 6319
- E katlego.letlonkane@cdhlegal.com

Sipelelo Lityi

- Associate T +27 (0)11 562 1581
- sipelelo.lityi@cdhlegal.com F

Anelisa Mkeme

- Associate T +27 (0)11 562 1039
- E anelisa.mkeme@cdhlegal.com

BBBEE STATUS: LEVEL THREE CONTRIBUTOR

Cliffe Dekker Hofmeyr is very pleased to have achieved a Level 3 BBBEE verification under the new BBBEE Codes of Good Practice. Our BBBEE verification is one of several components of our transformation strategy and we continue to seek ways of improving it in a meaningful manner.

This information is published for general information purposes and is not intended to constitute legal advice. Specialist legal advice should always be sought in relation to any particular situation. Cliffe Dekker Hofmeyr will accept no responsibility for any actions taken or not taken on the basis of this publication.

JOHANNESBURG

1 Protea Place, Sandton, Johannesburg, 2196. Private Bag X40, Benmore, 2010, South Africa. Dx 154 Randburg and Dx 42 Johannesburg.

T +27 (0)11 562 1000 F +27 (0)11 562 1111 E jhb@cdhlegal.com

CAPE TOWN

11 Buitengracht Street, Cape Town, 8001. PO Box 695, Cape Town, 8000, South Africa. Dx 5 Cape Town.

T +27 (0)21 481 6300 F +27 (0)21 481 6388 E ctn@cdhlegal.com

©2016 1245/AUG





EMPLOYMENT | cliffedekkerhofmeyr.com



Senior Associate

Kirsten Caddy Senior Associate

