

EMPLOYMENT

A CAUSE FOR DISPUTE:
THE AMENDED
SECTION 198

A CAUSE FOR DISPUTE: THE AMENDED SECTION 198

The amendments to the Labour Relations Act, 66 of 1995 are expected to give rise to an influx of litigation due to the new provisions such as those providing for equal pay claims, Temporary Employment Services' employee claims and claims arising from fixed term contracts.

Section 198 in particular raises a number of questions as to how the dispute resolution process will be affected by the amendments. Section 198(4A)(a) provides that an employee may institute proceedings against the temporary employment service (TES), the client of the TES or both where there is joint liability or where the employee is deemed to be an employee of the client of the TES. The employee thus has a choice. Section 198(4A)(c) further provides that any order or award made against one may be enforced against the TES, the client of the TES or both. This may seem easy enough to implement but practically it could become a nightmare for a TES's client.

Section 198(4A) (a) is easy enough to deal with; in the event that a claim is brought solely against the TES's client, the client may request that the TES be joined to the proceedings as an interested party. Such a joinder application would not suffice as a defence but would assist in bringing all potentially liable parties before the court or arbitration, thus mitigating some of the risk to the client.

A TES client is well advised to obtain an undertaking or indemnity from the TES which protects them against any adverse order. The TES should also be contractually bound to notify the client of any claim brought against the TES which may the client. This would provide the client with the option to partake in the proceedings and thus potentially have an effect on the outcome.

A more complex question is posed by s198(4A)(c). This section appears to envisage that a TES employee could approach a client with an award or order with only the TES's name on it and enforce such order or award against the client, which marks a statutory departure from the common law position.

In the case of *Ngema & Others v Screenex Wire Waring Manufacturers (2013) 34 ILJ 1470 (LAC)* the Labour Appeal Court (LAC) explored the substitution of the new employer in the place of an order granted against the old employer in the context of a s197 transfer. The LAC quoted the case of *Ex Parte Body Corporate of Caroline Court 2001 (4) SA 1230 (SCA)* at paragraph 9 which stated, "it is a principle of our law that interested parties should be afforded an opportunity to be heard in matters in which they have a direct and substantial interest." The LAC went on to state that, "there is no express exclusion in the LRA that an interested party, such as second respondent, should not be afforded an opportunity to be heard in a matter where it has a direct and substantial interest." This was the common law position which precluded a TES employee from enforcing an order or award against a client unless such client had been joined as a party to the proceedings.

The amendments contained in s198(4A)(c) now deviate from the common law position. While under the common law it is inconceivable that an order or award may be enforced against a client of a TES if only the TES is cited in the proceedings and on the order, under the statutory amendments this is possible. In the event that an order or an award is given against a TES, in obtaining the warrant the TES employee could conceivably, by virtue of the section, submit an affidavit requesting that both the TES and the client be cited for purposes of execution. One can envisage a number of factual disputes being raised as to whether a TES employee may enforce an order or award which cites only the TES against the TES's client. This is particularly in light of the current stance in the common law as amended by the LRA and the fact that the client need not be a party to the proceedings to be liable.

Faan Coetzee, Aadil Patel and Inez Moosa



**HOW DOES THE LABOUR RELATIONS ACT
IMPACT ON YOU?**
CLICK HERE TO FIND OUT MORE.

THE XXI WORLD CONGRESS OF THE INTERNATIONAL SOCIETY FOR LABOUR AND SOCIAL SECURITY LAW IS TAKING PLACE IN CAPE TOWN FROM 15 TO 18 SEPTEMBER 2015, HOSTED BY THE SOUTH AFRICAN SOCIETY FOR LABOUR LAW (SASLAW) AND PROUDLY SPONSORED BY CLIFFE DEKKER HOFMEYR AND DLA PIPER AFRICA.

The 21st World Congress promises to provide a platform for a stimulating discussion on labour and social security law in a global environment where sustained economic and social uncertainty appears to have become the norm. How do we continue to give effect to the basic objectives of labour and social security law under these conditions, and how best might those objectives be secured? These and other questions will inform our order of business.



[CLICK HERE FOR MORE INFORMATION.](#)



2013
1st in M&A Deal Flow,
1st in M&A Deal Value,
1st in Unlisted Deals - Deal Flow.

2012
1st in M&A Deal Flow,
1st in General Corporate Finance Deal Flow,
1st in General Corporate Finance Deal Value,
1st in Unlisted Deals - Deal Flow.

2011
1st in M&A Deal Flow,
1st in M&A Deal Value,
1st in General Corporate Finance Deal Flow,
Legal Advisor - Deal of the Year.

DealMakers

HIGHEST RANKING
OF CLIENT SATISFACTION
AMONGST AFRICAN FIRMS 2013

LegalWeek

WE SECURED THE BIG

5

WE ARE THE NO.1 LAW FIRM FOR CLIENT SERVICE EXCELLENCE
FIVE YEARS IN A ROW.

pml
SOUTH AFRICA

CONTACT US

For more information about our Employment practice and services, please contact:



Aadil Patel
National Practice Head
Director
T +27 (0)11 562 1107
E aadil.patel@dlacdh.com



Gillian Lumb
Regional Practice Head
Director
T +27 (0)21 481 6315
E gillian.lumb@dlacdh.com



Johan Botes
Director
T +27 (0)11 562 1124
E johan.botes@dlacdh.com



Mohsina Chenia
Director
T +27 (0)11 562 1299
E mohsina.chenia@dlacdh.com



Fiona Leppan
Director
T +27 (0)11 562 1152
E fiona.leppan@dlacdh.com



Hugo Pienaar
Director
T +27 (0)11 562 1350
E hugo.pienaar@dlacdh.com



Gavin Stansfield
Director
T +27 (0)21 481 6314
E gavin.stansfield@dlacdh.com



Michael Yeates
Director
T +27 (0)11 562 1184
E michael.yeates@dlacdh.com



Faan Coetzee
Executive Consultant
T +27 (0)11 562 1600
E faan.coetzee@dlacdh.com

Kirsten Caddy
Senior Associate
T +27 (0)11 562 1412
E kirsten.caddy@dlacdh.com

Nicholas Preston
Senior Associate
T +27 (0)11 562 1788
E nicholas.preston@dlacdh.com

Lauren Salt
Senior Associate
T +27 (0)11 562 1378
E lauren.salt@dlacdh.com

Ndumiso Zwane
Senior Associate
T +27 (0)11 562 1231
E ndumiso.zwane@dlacdh.com

Anli Bezuidenhout
Associate
T +27 (0)21 481 6351
E anli.bezuidenhout@dlacdh.com

Katlego Letlonkane
Associate
T +27 (0)21 481 6319
E katlego.letlonkane@dlacdh.com

Inez Moosa
Associate
T +27 (0)11 562 1420
E inez.moosa@dlacdh.com

Thandeka Nhleko
Associate
T +27 (0)11 562 1280
E thandeka.nhleko@dlacdh.com

Sihle Tshetlo
Associate
T +27 (0)11 562 1196
E sihle.tshetlo@dlacdh.com

This information is published for general information purposes and is not intended to constitute legal advice. Specialist legal advice should always be sought in relation to any particular situation. Cliffe Dekker Hofmeyr will accept no responsibility for any actions taken or not taken on the basis of this publication.

BBBEE STATUS: LEVEL THREE CONTRIBUTOR

JOHANNESBURG

1 Protea Place Sandton Johannesburg 2196, Private Bag X40 Benmore 2010 South Africa
Dx 154 Randburg and Dx 42 Johannesburg
T +27 (0)11 562 1000 F +27 (0)11 562 1111 E jhb@dlacdh.com

CAPE TOWN

11 Buitengracht Street Cape Town 8001, PO Box 695 Cape Town 8000 South Africa
Dx 5 Cape Town
T +27 (0)21 481 6300 F +27 (0)21 481 6388 E ctn@dlacdh.com

cliffedekkerhofmeyr.com

Cliffe Dekker Hofmeyr is a member of DLA Piper Group, an alliance of legal practices.

©2015 0317/FEB