

IN THIS ISSUE

Where do mining rights, permission to occupy and long-term leasehold agreements fall on the food chain?

Holders of mining rights are confronted with claims to surface rights from communities holding permissions to occupy.



Where do mining rights, permission to occupy and long-term leasehold agreements fall on the food chain?

Holders of mining rights are confronted with claims to surface rights from communities holding permissions to occupy.

PTOS AND LEASEHOLDS

A permission to occupy (PTO) is a personal right allowing use or occupation of rural, unsurveyed land. A PTO is not registrable against the title deed of the property in the deeds office, but it is registerable in certain state departments where a register of the allocated plot or land is kept. Despite being personal in nature, the rights flowing from a PTO are generally accepted akin to real rights. See Maduna v Daniel and Others [2001] JOL 9186 (Tk).

The PTO dispensation in KwaZulu-Natal is regulated by the KwaZulu Land Affairs Act 111 of 1992 (KwaZulu) that allows the revocation of a PTO by the Minister of Agriculture, Land Reform and Rural Development (Minister) after consultation with the tribal authority. Due to the insecurity of the tenure a decision was taken during 1999 that PTOs will no longer be issued.

It is in some instances difficult to determine the validity of a PTO and the rightful holder thereof. The process of recording these rights was established under apartheid legislation which has undergone numerous repeals, amendments, and re-enactments. In addition, it is difficult to establish the precise location of the allotments and obtain the relevant registers. The holder of a PTO is not deprived of any rights and a PTO is still a valid right even if it has not been converted to another right.

Although communal land rights remain legally insecure, current occupiers are legally protected by the Interim Protection of Informal Land Rights Act 31 of 1996 (IPILRA). The primary objective of the IPILRA is to provide for the temporary protection of certain rights to and interests in land which are not otherwise adequately protected by law; and to provide for matters connected with them. Section 1(2)(b) provides that: "The holder of an informal right to land shall be deemed

to be an owner of land for the purposes of section 42 of the Minerals Act, 1991." (The whole of Act 50 of 1991 was repealed in terms of section 101 of the Mineral and Petroleum Resources Development Act 28 of 2002 (MPRDA).)

The Ingonyama Trust Board, more specifically the tribal authority that allocates and administers property rights such as PTOs in KwaZulu-Natal, started a movement to invite all PTO holders to convert their PTO rights to long-term leaseholds. This project is known as the conversion project. On 11 June 2021, the KwaZulu-Natal High Court held that the conversion project is unconstitutional since it was an arbitrary deprivation of rights.

PTO rights are perpetual in nature, while on the other hand, lease agreements establish a payment obligation and can be terminated if the rent is not paid in accordance with the lease agreement and the occupiers can be evicted.

CONTINUED

Where do mining rights, permission to occupy and long-term leasehold agreements fall on the food chain?

The important distinction between lease agreements and PTOs is that lease agreements are common in land redistribution and are therefore given as a new right to beneficiaries where no previous right existed. However, occupiers on communal land are regarded as de facto owners of the land and they have existing informal rights that are protected by law.

MINING RIGHTS

Mining rights do not suffer from these deficiencies. They are expressly recognised in statute as limited real rights. Records of mining rights are fastidiously kept.

Difficulties arise where mining rights and PTOs become the subject of conflict between mining companies and communities.

It should be borne in mind that the application for a mining right is a cumbersome process and the MPRDA and other relevant legislation expressly impose a duty on an applicant applying for a mining right to consult in the prescribed manner with the landowner, the lawful occupier and any interested or affected party and to include the outcome of such consultation in the relevant environmental reports. No distinction is made between landowners and lawful occupiers and this includes within its purview affected parties other than owners and lawful occupiers.

Limited real right

A mining right that has been granted by the Minister and registered in the Mineral and Petroleum Titles Registration Office confers on the holder of such rights certain limited real right in respect of the mineral and the land. Upon registration of a mining right in the Mineral and Petroleum Titles Registration Office, the right is binding on third parties (section 2(4) of the Mineral and Petroleum Titles Registration Act 16 of 1967).

The holder of the mining right and the owner of the surface right must exercise their respective rights with due regard for the rights and entitlements of the other party. The owner of the surface rights may not unlawfully or unreasonably refuse the holder of the mining right access to the property or interfere with the holder's ability to carry on the mining activity on the land.

Exercising of a mining right and PTOs

Section 5(3) of the MPRDA echoes two fundamental principles.

Firstly, the owner of the land to which the mining right relates is obliged to allow the holder access to the land to do what is reasonably necessary to effectively exercise the mining holder's rights.

Secondly, the holder of the mining right in turn is obliged to exercise its rights in a reasonable manner to cause the least possible



CONTINUED

Where do mining rights, permission to occupy and long-term leasehold agreements fall on the food chain?

inconvenience to the rights of the owner. See Maleku and Others v Itereleng Bakgatla Mineral Resources (Pty) Limited and Another [2018] ZACC 41.

In terms of the MPRDA, the holder of a mining right obtains a statutory right of access to land. The holder of a mining right may enter the land to which such right relates together with his or her employees, and bring onto that land any plant machinery, or equipment and build, construct or lay down any surface or underground infrastructure that may be required for the purpose of mining. During the holder of the mining right's operations, they are entitled to exercise all such subsidiary or ancillary rights, without which they will not be able to effectively execute mining operations.

Despite the mining right holder's statutory right under the MPRDA in terms of access to the property, it is common, albeit not a legal requirement, for mining companies to enter into access and compensation agreements with landowners. The reasoning behind this is to ensure a good relationship between the mining right holder and the landowner and/or in this regard the holder of the right to occupy the land. It is to mitigate the potential for disputes and disruptions to mining operations, particularly in circumstances where the land is owned or occupied by rural communities.

It is accepted that the nature of a mining right is of an invasive nature and there is no denying that when exercising their rights, a mining right holder would intrude into the rights of the owner of the land to which the mining rights relate.

Under common law, the landowner cannot use the land in a way that would interfere with the mineral right holder's use, and if the landowner does so the mineral right holder can interdict the landowner's use or intended use. Section 53(2) of the MPRDA provides that farming or any use incidental thereto, does not fall within the ambit of section 53(1). The latter section requires any person who intends to use the surface of any land in a way which may be contrary to any object of the MPRDA or likely to impede such object to apply to the Minister for approval of such intended use.

If a dispute arises between the holder of a mining right and the holder of rights to the land, there should be a determination of a question of facts. Whether the holder of the mineral (mining) right acts bona fide and reasonably while exercising their rights. They must exercise their rights



CONTINUED

Where do mining rights, permission to occupy and long-term leasehold agreements fall on the food chain?

in a manner least onerous or injurious to the owner of the surface rights, but they are not obliged to forego ordinary and reasonable enjoyment merely because their operations or activities are detrimental to the interests of the surface owner. The use to which the owner of the surface rights puts the property at an earlier point in time cannot derogate from the rights of the holder of the mineral rights. See Hudson v Mann [1950] (4) SA 485 (T) at 488 B-H.

It can be accepted that the right of the landowner and the mining right holder are parallel and can co-exist. The MPRDA envisages that the land to which a mining right relates can still be lawfully occupied notwithstanding the existence of such a mining right. This could be in terms of a lease, servitude, or a statutory right as under the IPILRA.

CONCLUSION

The provisions of the MPRDA and the IPILRA are not in conflict with each other and ought to be interpreted and read harmoniously. Each of these statutes must be read in a manner that permits each to serve their underlying purposes.

In case of irreconcilable conflict, the use of the surface rights must be subordinated to mineral exploration, and it therefore follows that the permissions to occupy do not affect or trump a mining right.

LIËTTE VAN SCHALKWYK AND MUHAMMAD GATTOO.





OUR TEAM

For more information about our Dispute Resolution practice and services in South Africa and Kenya, please contact:



Tim Fletcher

Chairperson
Practice Head & Director:
Dispute Resolution
T +27 (0)11 562 1061
E tim.fletcher@cdhlegal.com

Timothy Baker

Director:
Dispute Resolution
T +27 (0)21 481 6308
E timothy.baker@cdhlegal.com

Eugene Bester

Director:
Dispute Resolution
T +27 (0)11 562 1173
E eugene.bester@cdhlegal.com

Jackwell Feris

Sector Head:
Industrials, Manufacturing & Trade
Director: Dispute Resolution
T +27 (0)11 562 1825
E jackwell.feris@cdhlegal.com

Thabile Fuhrmann

Joint Sector Head:
Government & State-Owned Entities
Director: Dispute Resolution
T +27 (0)11 562 1331
E thabile.fuhrmann@cdhlegal.com

Anja Hofmeyr

Director:
Dispute Resolution
T +27 (0)11 562 1129
E anja.hofmeyr@cdhlegal.com

Tiffany Jegels

Director:
Dispute Resolution
T +27 (0)11 562 1388
E tiffany.jegels@cdhlegal.com

Tobie Jordaan

Sector Head:
Business Rescue, Restructuring & Insolvency
Director: Dispute Resolution
T +27 (0)11 562 1356
E tobie.jordaan@cdhlegal.com

Corné Lewis

Director:
Dispute Resolution
T +27 (0)11 562 1042
E corne.lewis@cdhlegal.com

Vincent Manko

Director:
Dispute Resolution
T +27 (0)11 562 1660
E vincent.manko@cdhlegal.com

Richard Marcus

Director:
Dispute Resolution
T +27 (0)21 481 6396
E richard.marcus@cdhlegal.com

Burton Meyer

Director:
Dispute Resolution
T +27 (0)11 562 1056
E burton.meyer@cdhlegal.com

Rishaban Moodley

Sector Head: Gambling & Regulatory Compliance Director: Dispute Resolution T +27 (0)11 562 1666 E rishaban.moodley@cdhlegal.com

Mongezi Mpahlwa

Director:
Dispute Resolution
T +27 (0)11 562 1476
E mongezi.mpahlwa@cdhlegal.com

Kgosi Nkaiseng

Director:
Dispute Resolution
T +27 (0)11 562 1864
E kgosi.nkaiseng@cdhlegal.com

Desmond Odhiambo

Partner | Kenya T +254 731 086 649 +254 204 409 918 +254 710 560 114 E desmond.odhiambo@cdhlegal.com

Lucinde Rhoodie

Director:
Dispute Resolution
T +27 (0)21 405 6080
E lucinde.rhoodie@cdhlegal.com

Clive Rumsey

Sector Head: Construction & Engineering Director: Dispute Resolution T +27 (0)11 562 1924 E clive.rumsey@cdhlegal.com

Belinda Scriba

Director:
Dispute Resolution
T +27 (0)21 405 6139
E belinda.scriba@cdhlegal.com

Tim Smit

Director:
Dispute Resolution
T +27 (0)11 562 1085
E tim.smit@cdhlegal.com

Kylene Weyers

Director:
Dispute Resolution
T +27 (0)11 562 1118
E kylene.weyers@cdhlegal.com

Joe Whittle

Director:
Dispute Resolution
T +27 (0)11 562 1138
E joe.whittle@cdhlegal.com

Roy Barendse

Executive Consultant:
Dispute Resolution
T +27 (0)21 405 6177
E roy.barendse@cdhlegal.com

Jonathan Witts-Hewinson

Executive Consultant: Dispute Resolution T +27 (0)11 562 1146 E witts@cdhlegal.com

OUR TEAM

For more information about our Real Estate Law practice and services in South Africa and Kenya, please contact:



Muhammad Gattoo
Practice Head & Director:
Real Estate Law
T +27 (0)11 562 1174
E muhammad.gattoo@cdhlegal.com



Sammy Ndolo

Managing Partner | Kenya
T +254 731 086 649
+254 204 409 918
+254 710 560 114
E sammy.ndolo@cdhlegal.com



Bronwyn Brown
Director:
Real Estate Law
T +27 (0)11 562 1235
E bronwyn.brown@cdhlegal.com



Mike Collins
Director:
Real Estate Law
T +27 (0)21 481 6401
E mike.collins@cdhlegal.com



Lucia Erasmus
Director:
Real Estate Law
T +27 (0)11 562 1082
E lucia.erasmus@cdhlegal.com



Simone Franks
Director:
Real Estate Law
T +27 (0)21 670 7462
E simone.franks@cdhlegal.com



Fatima Gattoo
Director:
Real Estate Law
T +27 (0)11 562 1236
E fatima.gattoo@cdhlegal.com



Simone Immelman
Director:
Real Estate Law
T +27 (0)21 405 6078
E simone.immelman@cdhlegal.com



William Midgley
Sector Head: Commercial Real Estate
Director: Real Estate Law
T +27 (0)11 562 1390
E william.midgley@cdhlegal.com



Muriel Serfontein Director: Real Estate Law T +27 (0)11 562 1237 E muriel.serfontein@cdhlegal.com



John Webber
Director:
Real Estate Law
T +27 (0)11 562 1444
E john.webber@cdhlegal.com



Natasha Fletcher
Senior Associate:
Real Estate Law
T +27 (0)11 562 1263
E natasha.fletcher@cdhlegal.com



Marlene Heppes
Senior Associate:
Real Estate Law
T +27 (0)11 562 1580
E marlene.heppes@cdhlegal.com



Robert Kaniu Gitonga
Senior Associate | Kenya
T +254 731 086 649
+254 204 409 918
+254 710 560 114
E robert.gitonga@cdhlegal.com



Lutfiyya Kara
Senior Associate:
Real Estate Law
T +27 (0)11 562 1859
E lutfiyya.kara@cdhlegal.com



Samantha Kelly
Senior Associate:
Real Estate Law
T +27 (0)11 562 1160
E samantha.kelly@cdhlegal.com

BBBEE STATUS: LEVEL ONE CONTRIBUTOR

Our BBBEE verification is one of several components of our transformation strategy and we continue to seek ways of improving it in a meaningful manner.

PLEASE NOTE

This information is published for general information purposes and is not intended to constitute legal advice. Specialist legal advice should always be sought in relation to any particular situation. Cliffe Dekker Hofmeyr will accept no responsibility for any actions taken or not taken on the basis of this publication.

JOHANNESBURG

1 Protea Place, Sandton, Johannesburg, 2196. Private Bag X40, Benmore, 2010, South Africa. Dx 154 Randburg and Dx 42 Johannesburg.

T +27 (0)11 562 1000 F +27 (0)11 562 1111 E jhb@cdhlegal.com

CAPE TOWN

11 Buitengracht Street, Cape Town, 8001. PO Box 695, Cape Town, 8000, South Africa. Dx 5 Cape Town. T +27 (0)21 481 6300 F +27 (0)21 481 6388 E ctn@cdhlegal.com

NAIROBI

Merchant Square, 3^{rd} floor, Block D, Riverside Drive, Nairobi, Kenya. P.O. Box 22602-00505, Nairobi, Kenya. T +254 731 086 649 | +254 204 409 918 | +254 710 560 114 E cdhkenya@cdhlegal.com

STELLENBOSCH

14 Louw Street, Stellenbosch Central, Stellenbosch, 7600. T +27 (0)21 481 6400 E cdhstellenbosch@cdhlegal.com

@2022 11254/JUN

