

IN THIS ISSUE

Expropriation of land without compensation – where are we?

On 13 December 2019, the Constitution Eighteenth Amendment Bill (the Amendment Bill) was published in the Government Gazette. Since then, a lot of water has passed under the bridge with regards to an amendment to the laws of the Republic of South Africa dealing with the expropriation of land without compensation.





AGRICULTURE, AQUACULTURE & FISHING SECTOR

The reason the Amendment Bill preceded the Expropriation Bill is clear if regard is had to section 25(2) of the Constitution, which provides for the expropriation of property, but only in terms of a law of general application.

Expropriation of land without compensation – where are we?

On 13 December 2019, the Constitution Eighteenth Amendment Bill (the Amendment Bill) was published in the Government Gazette. Since then, a lot of water has passed under the bridge with regards to an amendment to the laws of the Republic of South Africa dealing with the expropriation of land without compensation.

Subsequent to the publishing of the Amendment Bill amending section 25 of the Constitution, and as expected, the Expropriation Bill 23 of 2020 (the Expropriation Bill) was advertised in the Government Gazette. The Expropriation Bill is aimed at providing the mechanisms for and the circumstances under which land may be expropriated with and without compensation as envisaged by section 25 of the Constitution.

The reason the Amendment Bill preceded the Expropriation Bill is clear if regard is had to section 25(2) of the Constitution, which provides for the expropriation of property, but only in terms of a law of general application. The Expropriation Bill is this law of general application and any legislation enacted must be in accordance with the provisions of the Constitution of the Republic, failing which, it is open to challenge and being set aside by the Constitutional Court. In due course, many provisions of the Expropriation Bill may very well form the subject matter of constitutional challenges in the Constitutional Court.

Section 25 of the Constitution in its current form does not expressly provide for the expropriation of property without compensation and, in fact, section 25(2)(b) expressly provides for compensation. The Amendment Bill seeks to change this position. I have already <u>published alerts</u> in which I have submitted that section 25 of the Constitution as it now stands does, by implication, provides for expropriation without compensation in circumstances where a court could hold that such expropriation for nil value would be just and equitable in the circumstances.





Expropriation of land without compensation – where are we?

The Expropriation Bill is currently the subject of a public participation process during which both written and oral submissions are being received.

Nevertheless, the Amendment Bill seeks to create certainty by amending section 25(2)(b) to include a proviso to the effect that, "Provided that in accordance with subsection (3A) a court may, where land and any improvements thereon are expropriated for the purposes of land reform, determine that the amount of compensation is nil." The Amendment Bill also introduces a new section, section (3A) which provides that, "National Legislation must, subject to sub-sections (2) and (3), set out specific circumstances where a court may determine that the amount of compensation is nil." These amendments have opened the door for legislation that empowers government to expropriate land without compensation, being the Expropriation Bill, and the specific circumstances referred to in section 3A of the Amendment Bill are provided for in section 12(3) of the Expropriation Bill.

One of the major concerns in regard to the latter section of the Expropriation Bill is that although the specific circumstances under which nil compensation is payable are set out, this list is not exhaustive and there may be other circumstances under which land is expropriated for nil compensation.

The Expropriation Bill is currently the subject of a public participation process during which both written and oral submissions are being received. The public participation process in respect of the Amendment Bill has been finalised and the Ad Hoc Committee established for the purposes of introducing legislation to amend section 25 of the Constitution has recently submitted their written report on the outcomes of the public participation process, which report is dated 22 February 2021. This report is a 79-page document and some of the highlights include the following:

 In response to the preamble to the Amendment Bill, which preamble includes the admonishment that little is being done to redress the skewed land ownership pattern, the submissions included the fact that farming is a technical job and many





AGRICULTURE, AQUACULTURE & FISHING SECTOR

Expropriation of land without compensation – where are we?

...continued

This public participation process with regard to the Amendment Bill resulted in more than 200,000 submissions in regard to the Amendment Bill.

- farms redistributed in the Eastern Cape are no longer viable. "Providing someone with the land to farm on is no guarantee that the farm will be successful. Thus, investment in many ways must be done in support of the farmers for farms to thrive and be sustainable."
- A secondary submission in response to the preamble to the Amendment Bill was the damage caused to the economy and the fact that if the property rights were removed, one cannot expect to have a flourishing economy. The submissions include the impact of the amendment on foreign investment and the compounded economic damage that may be caused to the economy.
- Submissions were made to the effect that the amendment was unnecessary as section 25 already makes provision for courts to order nil compensation when it is just and equitable to do so.

• Lastly, in regard to the proposed insertion of section (3A) in the Amendment Bill, the bulk of the submissions proposed the circumstances under which nil compensation is payable and the majority of those related to circumstances where property sought to be expropriated was initially acquired without payment, such as gifts, donations or low sale purchases before 1994.

This public participation process with regard to the Amendment Bill resulted in more than 200,000 submissions in regard to the Amendment Bill and we will have to wait and see whether these submissions result in further amendments to the Amendment Bill and ultimately section 25 of the Constitution. This will certainly also impact on the ultimate version of the Expropriation Bill that is enacted into law in due course.

Burton Meyer



OUR TEAM

For more information about our Agriculture, Aquaculture and Fishing Sector sector and services, please contact:



André de Lange Sector Head Director Corporate & Commercial T +27 (0)21 405 6165 E andre.delange@cdhlegal.com



Andries Le Grange
Director
Competition
T +27 (0)11 562 1092
E andries.legrange@cdhlegal.com



Hugo Pienaar Director Employment T +27 (0)11 562 1350 E hugo.pienaar@cdhlegal.com



National Practice Head Director Tax & Exchange Control T +27 (0)11 562 1063 E emil.brincker@cdhlegal.com

Emil Brincker



Gillian Lumb
Director
Employment
T +27 (0)21 481 6315
E gillian.lumb@cdhlegal.com



Verushca Pillay
Director
Corporate & Commercial
T +27 (0)11 562 1800
E verushca.pillay@cdhlegal.com



Roy Barendse
Executive Consultant
Dispute Resolution
T +27 (0)21 405 6177
E roy.barendse@cdhlegal.com



Richard Marcus
Director
Dispute Resolution
T +27 (0)21 481 6396
E richard.marcus@cdhlegal.com



Lucinde Rhoodie
Director
Dispute Resolution
T +27 (0)21 405 6080
E lucinde.rhoodie@cdhlegal.com



Daniël Fÿfer
Director
Real Estate
T +27 (0)21 405 6084
E daniel.fyfer@cdhlegal.com

Tobie Jordaan



Burton Meyer
Director
Dispute Resolution
T +27 (0)11 562 1056
E burton.meyer@cdhlegal.com



Belinda Scriba
Director
Dispute Resolution
T +27 (0)21 405 6139
E belinda.scriba@cdhlegal.com



Sector Head Director Business Rescue, Restructuring & Insolvency T +27 (0)11 562 1356 E tobie.jordaan@cdhlegal.com



Susan Meyer
Director
Competition
T +27 (0)21 481 6469
E susan.meyer@cdhlegal.com



Roux van der Merwe Director Corporate & Commercial T +27 (0)11 562 1199 E roux.vandermerwe@cdhlegal.com



Johan Latsky
Executive Consultant
Corporate & Commercial
T +27 (0)11 562 1149
Ε johan.latsky@cdhlegal.com



Jo Neser
Executive Consultant
Corporate & Commercial
T +27 (0)21 481 6329
E jo.neser@cdhlegal.com



Charl Williams
Director
Corporate & Commercial
T +27 (0)21 405 6037
E charl.williams@cdhlegal.com

BBBEE STATUS: LEVEL TWO CONTRIBUTOR

Our BBBEE verification is one of several components of our transformation strategy and we continue to seek ways of improving it in a meaningful manner.

PLEASE NOTE

This information is published for general information purposes and is not intended to constitute legal advice. Specialist legal advice should always be sought in relation to any particular situation. Cliffe Dekker Hofmeyr will accept no responsibility for any actions taken or not taken on the basis of this publication.

JOHANNESBURG

1 Protea Place, Sandton, Johannesburg, 2196. Private Bag X40, Benmore, 2010, South Africa. Dx 154 Randburg and Dx 42 Johannesburg. T +27 (0)11 562 1000 F +27 (0)11 562 1111 E jhb@cdhlegal.com

CAPE TOWN

11 Buitengracht Street, Cape Town, 8001. PO Box 695, Cape Town, 8000, South Africa. Dx 5 Cape Town. T +27 (0)21 481 6300 F +27 (0)21 481 6388 E ctn@cdhlegal.com

STELLENBOSCH

14 Louw Street, Stellenbosch Central, Stellenbosch, 7600. T +27 (0)21 481 6400 E cdhstellenbosch@cdhlegal.com

©2021 9866/MAR













