

22 JANUARY 2020

DISPUTE RESOLUTION ALERT

IN THIS ISSUE >

The lawfulness of investments by liquidators of surplus funds in 'corporate saver accounts'

A 'corporate saver account' is an investment account which is commonly used by liquidators to invest monies which are not immediately required by the company. The issue of the lawfulness of investments by liquidators in these so-called 'corporate saver accounts' has recently been the subject of various court cases.

FOR MORE INSIGHT INTO OUR
EXPERTISE AND SERVICES

CLICK HERE 



CLIFFE DEKKER HOFMEYR

The lawfulness of investments by liquidators of surplus funds in 'corporate saver accounts'

Gary invested certain funds of Mario Levi in a corporate saver account with Nedbank, through the agency of PW Harvey and Company (Pty) Ltd (PW Harvey).

A 'corporate saver account' is an investment account which is commonly used by liquidators to invest monies which are not immediately required by the company. The issue of the lawfulness of investments by liquidators in these so-called 'corporate saver accounts' has recently been the subject of various court cases.

By way of background, section 394 of the Companies Act 61 of 1973 (Act) deals with banking accounts and investments. It provides that a liquidator:

- must open a current account in the name of the company;
- may open a savings account in the name of the company; and
- may place moneys deposited in the current account (not immediately required by the company for payments), in an interest-bearing account (i.e. a 'corporate saver account').

In the case of *Standard Bank of South Africa v The Master, Port Elizabeth, and Others* [2018] 4 All SA 871 (ECP), the company in liquidation was Mario Levi Manufacturing South Africa (Pty) Ltd (in liquidation) (Mario Levi) and Gary Shrosbree from Shrosbree Trustees was the joint liquidator (Gary). Gary invested certain funds of Mario Levi in a corporate saver account with Nedbank, through the agency of PW Harvey and Company (Pty) Ltd (PW Harvey).

As part of their business, PW Harvey invests funds placed with it on behalf of their clients with certain financial institutions and charge an agency fee for their service. PW Harvey had an arrangement with Lionel Shrosbree (Lionel), who is Gary's son, whereby Lionel would receive a referral commission for any investments referred by him to PW Harvey.

Pursuant to a complaint from Standard Bank, the Master convened a section 381 enquiry, to investigate the conduct of Gary and his co-liquidators. Standard Bank's main concerns and contentions were that:

- the payment of agency fees to PW Harvey out of funds of Mario Levi was unlawful;
- Lionel had no lawful entitlement to commission;
- Gary possibly unlawfully received a secret referral commission or kickback from PW Harvey; and
- the net interest rate earned on the corporate saver account was significantly lower than interest rate offered by other financial institutions.

The Master ruled that Lionel was not obliged to repay the commission he received from PW Harvey as it was paid to him pursuant to a contractual relationship between the two of them. Standard Bank took that ruling on review.

The court stated that liquidators occupy a position of trust and must act in best interests of creditors.

The lawfulness of investments by liquidators of surplus funds in 'corporate saver accounts'...continued

The court further held that the debiting of agent's fees is not unlawful, and PW Harvey was accordingly legally entitled to earn an agency fee.

The court held that, although there was no strict compliance with section 394 of the Act, there was certainly substantial compliance therewith. There is a fiduciary duty on liquidators not to make a secret profit and in this case, Gary did not make a secret commission.

The court further held that the debiting of agent's fees is not unlawful, and PW Harvey was accordingly legally entitled to earn an agency fee. The commission paid to Lionel was also found to be lawful. Furthermore, the court held that there is no requirement that funds be invested in an account with the highest possible interest rate.

In the case of *The Master, Western Cape v Van Zyl* [2019] All SA 442 (WCC), Christopher Van Zyl (Van Zyl) was the co-liquidator of 100 companies. The Master removed Van Zyl as co-liquidator of all these companies on *inter alia* the basis that the manner in which he conducted a Nedbank corporate saver account contravened section 394, in that the agency fee that was paid to BLM Administrative Services (BLM) in respect of the investments was unreasonable and that Van Zyl failed to obtain the Master's consent to engage the services of BLM as agent.

The court *a quo* found that the Master was incorrect to remove him as co-liquidator from 90 of the companies, but that the Master was correct to remove him from 10 of the companies. This case was an appeal to a full bench by the Master of the court *a quo*'s decision to set aside the Master's ruling to remove Van Zyl as co-liquidator of 90 of the companies and was also a cross-appeal by Van Zyl in relation to court *a quo*'s decision to dismiss Van Zyl's review application in respect of 10 of the companies.

On appeal to the full bench, the court held that the prescripts of section 394 have become outmoded and that no purpose is served in the digital age by insistence on a strictly formalistic application of section 394 of the Act. The court found that, even though there was no strict compliance, Van Zyl did not contravene section 394 and that the object of section 394 was not undermined by the manner in which the corporate saver account was conducted.

The court held that the agency fee paid to BLM was lawful and was not excessive. The consent of the Master to pay an agency fee is not required. There is further no statutory duty to invest company funds and there is also no duty to invest funds at highest possible interest rate.

CDH is a Level 1 BEE contributor – our clients will benefit by virtue of the recognition of 135% of their legal services spend with our firm for purposes of their own BEE scorecards.

The lawfulness of investments by liquidators of surplus funds in 'corporate saver accounts'...continued

Our view is that the paying of an agency fee is lawful, as long as it is reasonable, justified and not excessive.

The Master's appeal was dismissed and Van Zyl's cross-appeal was upheld with the result that Van Zyl was reinstated as co-liquidator of all 100 companies.

The following conclusions can be drawn from the abovementioned cases:

- Corporate saver accounts are not in contravention of the Act (as long as the objects and purpose of section 394 are not undermined by the manner in which the corporate savings account is conducted).
- It is lawful for a liquidator to pay an agency fee to an agent out of a portion of the interest earned in the corporate saver account.
- The issue seems to be that the Act hasn't kept up with the times and there have been major developments in banking technology. Section 394 no longer coincides with the reality of developments such as electronic banking.

- There are seemingly different approaches between the Master and our courts when applying section 394 of the Act. The Master seems to be applying section 394 very strictly. The courts however appear to be more flexible in their interpretation and application of section 394, which we view as the correct approach.
- One must be mindful of various outdated provisions in the Act, that will need to be dealt with in a more flexible manner until such time as legislation is amended to keep up with the times.

Our view is that the paying of an agency fee is lawful, as long as it is reasonable, justified and not excessive. Liquidators need to strike a balance between investing in a corporate saver account for the benefit of creditors and paying the necessary agent's fee to do so successfully, but at the same time ensuring that the agency fee paid is reasonable and also ensuring that the interest rate earned is at least favourable to creditors, even if it may not necessarily be the highest.

Kylene Weyers and Tobie Jordaan

STOP
SEXUAL HARASSMENT

E-learning Offering

Our Employment practice recently launched an e-learning module:
A better place to work

The module will empower your organisation with a greater appreciation and understanding of what constitutes sexual harassment, how to identify it and what to do if it occurs.

CLICK HERE FOR MORE INFORMATION 

CHAMBERS GLOBAL 2017 - 2019 ranked our Dispute Resolution practice in Band 1: Dispute Resolution.

CHAMBERS GLOBAL 2019 ranked our Public Law sector in Band 2: Public Law.

CHAMBERS GLOBAL 2018 - 2019 named our Corporate Investigations sector as a Recognised Practitioner.

CHAMBERS GLOBAL 2018 - 2019 ranked our Dispute Resolution practice in Band 2: Insurance.

CHAMBERS GLOBAL 2018 - 2019 ranked our Dispute Resolution practice in Band 2: Media & Broadcasting.

CHAMBERS GLOBAL 2017 - 2019 ranked our Dispute Resolution practice in Band 2: Restructuring/Insolvency.

Tim Fletcher ranked by CHAMBERS GLOBAL 2019 in Band 3: Dispute Resolution.

Lionel Egypt ranked by CHAMBERS GLOBAL 2019 in Band 2: Public Law.

Julian Jones ranked by CHAMBERS GLOBAL 2017 - 2019 in Band 3: Restructuring/Insolvency.

Pieter Conradie ranked by CHAMBERS GLOBAL 2019 as Senior Statespeople: Dispute Resolution.

Jonathan Witts-Hewinson ranked by CHAMBERS GLOBAL 2017 - 2019 in Band 2: Dispute Resolution.

Joe Whittle ranked by CHAMBERS GLOBAL 2016 - 2019 in Band 4: Construction.



CDH HAS BECOME THE EXCLUSIVE MEMBER FIRM IN AFRICA FOR THE:

Insuralex Global Insurance Lawyers Group
(the world's leading insurance and reinsurance law firm network).

[CLICK HERE TO READ MORE](#)



insuralex
GLOBAL INSURANCE
LAWYERS GROUP



BAND 2

Restructuring/Insolvency

Cliffe Dekker Hofmeyr



RECOGNISED PRACTITIONER

Corporate Investigations

Cliffe Dekker Hofmeyr



EMEA

2017-2019

Recommended us in

TIER 1

Dispute Resolution

DealMakers

2018

1ST BY M&A DEAL FLOW FOR THE 10TH YEAR IN A ROW.

2018 1st by M&A Deal Flow.
1st by M&A Deal Value.
2nd by General Corporate Finance Deal Flow.
1st by BEF M&A Deal Value.
2nd by BEF M&A Deal Flow.
Lead legal advisers on the Private Equity Deal of the Year.



BAND 1

Dispute Resolution

Cliffe Dekker Hofmeyr



BAND 2

Public Law

Cliffe Dekker Hofmeyr



BAND 2

Media & Broadcasting

Cliffe Dekker Hofmeyr



BAND 2

Insurance

Cliffe Dekker Hofmeyr

OUR TEAM

For more information about our Dispute Resolution practice and services, please contact:



Tim Fletcher
National Practice Head
Director
T +27 (0)11 562 1061
E tim.fletcher@cdhlegal.com



Thabile Fuhrmann
Chairperson
Director
T +27 (0)11 562 1331
E thabile.fuhrmann@cdhlegal.com

Timothy Baker
Director
T +27 (0)21 481 6308
E timothy.baker@cdhlegal.com

Eugene Bester
Director
T +27 (0)11 562 1173
E eugene.bester@cdhlegal.com

Lionel Egypt
Director
T +27 (0)21 481 6400
E lionel.egypt@cdhlegal.com

Jackwell Feris
Director
T +27 (0)11 562 1825
E jackwell.feris@cdhlegal.com

Anja Hofmeyr
Director
T +27 (0)11 562 1129
E anja.hofmeyr@cdhlegal.com

Julian Jones
Director
T +27 (0)11 562 1189
E julian.jones@cdhlegal.com

Tobie Jordaan
Director
T +27 (0)11 562 1356
E tobie.jordaan@cdhlegal.com

Corné Lewis
Director
T +27 (0)11 562 1042
E corne.lewis@cdhlegal.com

Richard Marcus
Director
T +27 (0)21 481 6396
E richard.marcus@cdhlegal.com

Burton Meyer
Director
T +27 (0)11 562 1056
E burton.meyer@cdhlegal.com

Zaakir Mohamed
Director
T +27 (0)11 562 1094
E zaakir.mohamed@cdhlegal.com

Rishaban Moodley
Director
T +27 (0)11 562 1666
E rishaban.moodley@cdhlegal.com

Mongezi Mpahlwa
Director
T +27 (0)11 562 1476
E mongezi.mpahlwa@cdhlegal.com

Kgosi Nkaiseng
Director
T +27 (0)11 562 1864
E kgosi.nkaiseng@cdhlegal.com

Byron O'Connor
Director
T +27 (0)11 562 1140
E byron.oconnor@cdhlegal.com

Lucinde Rhoodie
Director
T +27 (0)21 405 6080
E lucinde.rhodie@cdhlegal.com

Belinda Scriba
Director
T +27 (0)21 405 6139
E belinda.scriba@cdhlegal.com

Tim Smit
Director
T +27 (0)11 562 1085
E tim.smit@cdhlegal.com

Willie van Wyk
Director
T +27 (0)11 562 1057
E willie.vanwyk@cdhlegal.com

Joe Whittle
Director
T +27 (0)11 562 1138
E joe.whittle@cdhlegal.com

Roy Barendse
Executive Consultant
T +27 (0)21 405 6177
E roy.barendse@cdhlegal.com

Pieter Conradie
Executive Consultant
T +27 (0)11 562 1071
E pieter.conradie@cdhlegal.com

Willem Janse van Rensburg
Executive Consultant
T +27 (0)11 562 1110
E willem.jansevanrensburg@cdhlegal.com

Nick Muller
Executive Consultant
T +27 (0)21 481 6385
E nick.muller@cdhlegal.com

Jonathan Witts-Hewinson
Executive Consultant
T +27 (0)11 562 1146
E witts@cdhlegal.com

BBBEE STATUS: LEVEL ONE CONTRIBUTOR

Cliffe Dekker Hofmeyr is very pleased to have achieved a Level 1 BBBEE verification under the new BBBEE Codes of Good Practice. Our BBBEE verification is one of several components of our transformation strategy and we continue to seek ways of improving it in a meaningful manner.

This information is published for general information purposes and is not intended to constitute legal advice. Specialist legal advice should always be sought in relation to any particular situation. Cliffe Dekker Hofmeyr will accept no responsibility for any actions taken or not taken on the basis of this publication.

JOHANNESBURG

1 Protea Place, Sandton, Johannesburg, 2196. Private Bag X40, Benmore, 2010, South Africa. Dx 154 Randburg and Dx 42 Johannesburg.
T +27 (0)11 562 1000 F +27 (0)11 562 1111 E jhb@cdhlegal.com

CAPE TOWN

11 Buitengracht Street, Cape Town, 8001. PO Box 695, Cape Town, 8000, South Africa. Dx 5 Cape Town.
T +27 (0)21 481 6300 F +27 (0)21 481 6388 E ctn@cdhlegal.com

STELLENBOSCH

14 Louw Street, Stellenbosch Central, Stellenbosch, 7600.
T +27 (0)21 481 6400 E cdh Stellenbosch@cdhlegal.com

©2020 8585/JAN

