DISPUTE RESOLUTION ALERT

IN THIS ISSUE >

The Expropriation Bill and the implications on foreign ownership

On 15 October 2020, the highly anticipated Expropriation Bill was tabled in the National Assembly. The publication of the Bill arrives on the back of a turbulent economy, underpinned by rigorous debate on how to achieve an equitable distribution of South Africa's resources.

FOR MORE INSIGHT INTO OUR EXPERTISE AND SERVICES CLICK HERE



The Bill is silent on foreign property owners altogether. Given this silence, it is presumed that such property will be treated in the same manner as locally owned property.

The Expropriation Bill and the implications on foreign ownership

On 15 October 2020, the highly anticipated Expropriation Bill was tabled in the National Assembly. The publication of the Bill arrives on the back of a turbulent economy, underpinned by rigorous debate on how to achieve an equitable distribution of South Africa's resources.

It is therefore of no surprise that the Bill has caused controversy, uncertainty and confusion regarding the impact it will have on South African and foreign property owners alike. While the implications of the Bill may be far-reaching, it is important to analyse the Bill through both a narrow assessment of its textual construction as well as through a wider engagement with its broader purpose.

The Bill's objective is "[to] provide for the expropriation of property for a public purpose or in the public interest; to provide for certain instances where expropriation with nil compensation may be appropriate in the public interest; and to provide for matters connected therewith".

The introduction of "expropriation with nil compensation" has caused the bulk of the debate, especially with our law's current approach to the reading of section 25 of the Constitution (preventing the arbitrary deprivation of property and allowing for expropriation subject to just and equitable compensation). In this respect, it is also prudent to address the impact on foreign property owners and the application of the Bill (at present) to these property owners. The Bill is silent on foreign property owners altogether. Given this silence, it is presumed that such property will be treated in the same manner as locally owned property.

Any preference given to foreign owners (or local owners for that matter) may constitute unfair discrimination based on nationality, which would be clearly unconstitutional. Despite the need for equal treatment between foreign and local property owners, the Bill is silent on how service of necessary documentation and publication for that matter is to be effected in respect of foreign owned property targeted for expropriation.

It is important to address the fact that the Expropriation Bill attempts to instil a uniform process which derogates from the notion that expropriation (with or without compensation) will be carried out arbitrarily. The Expropriation Bill provides rigid procedural steps which the expropriating authority must adhere to; with these steps being essential to safeguard against any arbitrary or improper deprivation of property.

Very briefly, these procedural steps are founded in the following categories:

- investigation and valuation of the property by the expropriating authority;
- service of a notice of intention to expropriate on the owner and other right holders;
- negotiations regarding appropriate compensation; and
- service of notice of expropriation (once the decision has been made to expropriate).



The absence of a protocol for notifying foreign owners of an intended expropriation presents a severe barrier to the requirement to actively participate with owners prior to any expropriation. The Expropriation Bill and the implications on foreign ownership

The procedural steps (especially regarding the service of certain notices) are silent regarding property owned by foreigners, especially foreign property owners who reside outside the confines of South Africa. This begs the question how foreign property owners will be treated in accordance with the Expropriation Bill, and whether the Bill will incorporate provisions to deal specifically with foreign property owners.

Section 7(1) of the Expropriation Bill provides that once the expropriating authority intends to expropriate property it must "serve a notice of intention to expropriate on the owner and any known holder of a right in the property". The Bill however is silent on how service will take place on foreign property owners.

The Bill defines "service" in relation to such notices as follows: "to serve by delivery or tender, post, publication or in accordance with the direction of a court, and 'serve' has a corresponding meaning". Given the requirement to provide the owner of the property with due notice, the failure to provide an adequate process for service on foreign owners presents procedural hurdles to overcome.

Without express direction regarding service on foreign property owners in the Bill, one can gather that should a foreign property owner require service of a notice of intention to expropriate, same will have to be dealt with in accordance "with the direction of the court", which would require the expropriating authority to approach the High Court for direction in respect of service – which seems rather cumbersome should there be alternative options available to the expropriating authority.

The absence of a protocol for notifying foreign owners of an intended expropriation presents a severe barrier to the requirement to actively participate with owners prior to any expropriation. In the absence of (foreign property) owners

CDH IS THE EXCLUSIVE MEMBER FIRM IN AFRICA FOR THE:

Insuralex Global Insurance Lawyers Group (the world's leading insurance and reinsurance law firm network).

CLICK HERE TO READ MORE



insuralex

GLOBAL INSURAN

The Expropriation Bill and the implications on foreign ownershipcontinued

In light of the extensive public, political and legal scrutiny of the Expropriation Bill (especially regarding the notion of 'expropriation without compensation') the Bill's procedural and substantive provisions must be carefully interrogated. receiving notice of the expropriation, the expropriating authority runs the risk of acting arbitrarily and against public interest (the interest of foreign property owners being a factor of 'public interest') when expropriating foreign owned property. As with other service-related matters regarding foreign parties, a rigorous service process for foreign owned property that is targeted for expropriation can and must be developed to address these concerns.

There is no doubt that the Expropriation Bill will cause grave concern amongst foreign investors, however the legislature is required, especially to address the pushback it may encounter, to ensure it crosses the "t"s and dots the proverbial "i"s as far as procedure is concerned.

In light of the extensive public, political and legal scrutiny of the Expropriation Bill (especially regarding the notion of 'expropriation without compensation') the Bill's procedural and substantive provisions must be carefully interrogated. This will assist in ensuring constitutional compliance, while assisting the State to achieve the desired redress as well as affording adequate protection to private property owners.

Burton Meyer, Claudette Dutilleux and Jonathan Sive





CHAMBERS GLOBAL 2017 - 2020 ranked our Dispute Resolution practice in Band 1: Dispute Resolution.	
CHAMBERS GLOBAL 2018 - 2020 ranked our Dispute Resolution practice in Band 2: Insurance.	
CHAMBERS GLOBAL 2020 ranked our Public Procurement sector in Band 2: Public Procurement.	
CHAMBERS GLOBAL 2017 - 2020 ranked our Dispute Resolution practice in Band 2: Restructuring/Insolvency.	
CHAMBERS GLOBAL 2020 ranked our Corporate Investigations sector in Band 3: Corporate Investigations.	
Tim Fletcher ranked by CHAMBERS GLOBAL 2019 - 2020 in Band 3: Dispute Resolution.	
Pieter Conradie ranked by CHAMBERS GLOBAL 2019 - 2020 as Senior Statespeople: Dispute Resolution.	
Tobie Jordaan ranked by CHAMBERS GLOBAL 2020 as an up and coming Restructuring/Insolvency lawyer.	TOP RANKED
Jonathan Witts-Hewinson ranked by CHAMBERS GLOBAL 2017 - 2020 in Band 2: Dispute Resolution.	Chambers GLOBAL
	2020

CDH's Dispute Resolution practice is ranked as a Top-Tier firm in THE LEGAL 500 EMEA 2020. Tim Fletcher is ranked as a Leading Individual in Dispute Resolution in THE LEGAL 500 EMEA 2020. Eugene Bester is recommended in Dispute Resolution in THE LEGAL 500 EMEA 2020. Joe Whittle is recommended in Construction in TTHE LEGAL 500 EMEA 2020. Jonathan Witts-Hewinson is recommended in Dispute Resolution in THE LEGAL 500 EMEA 2020. Pieter Conradie is recommended in Dispute Resolution in THE LEGAL 500 EMEA 2020. Rishaban Moodley is recommended in Dispute Resolution in THE LEGAL 500 EMEA 2020. Timothy Baker is recommended in Dispute Resolution and Construction in THE LEGAL 500 EMEA 2020. Kgosi Nkaiseng is ranked as a Next Generation Partner in THE LEGAL 500 EMEA 2020. Tim Smit is ranked as a Next Generation Partner in THE LEGAL 500 EMEA 2020. Gareth Howard is ranked as a Rising Star in THE LEGAL 500 EMEA 2020. Siviwe Mcetywa is ranked as a Rising Star in THE LEGAL 500 EMEA 2020.





EMEA

OUR TEAM

For more information about our Dispute Resolution practice and services, please contact:



Tim Fletcher National Practice Head Director T +27 (0)11 562 1061 tim.fletcher@cdhlegal.com

Thabile Fuhrmann

Chairperson Director +27 (0)11 562 1331 thabile.fuhrmann@cdhlegal.com

Timothy Baker

Director T +27 (0)21 481 6308 E timothy.baker@cdhlegal.com

Eugene Bester

- Director T +27 (0)11 562 1173
- E eugene.bester@cdhlegal.com

Jackwell Feris

- Director T +27 (0)11 562 1825
- E jackwell.feris@cdhlegal.com

Anja Hofmeyr

- Director T +27 (0)11 562 1129
- E anja.hofmeyr@cdhlegal.com

Tobie Jordaan

- Director +27 (0)11 562 1356
- E tobie.jordaan@cdhlegal.com

Corné Lewis

Director T +27 (0)11 562 1042 F corne_lewis@cdhlegal.com

Richard Marcus Director

T +27 (0)21 481 6396 E richard.marcus@cdhlegal.com

Burton Meyer

- T +27 (0)11 562 1056

T +27 (0)11 562 1666

Director

+27 (0)11 562 1476 E mongezi.mpahlwa@cdhlegal.com

Mongezi Mpahlwa

Kgosi Nkaiseng Director T +27 (0)11 562 1864 E kgosi.nkaiseng@cdhlegal.com

Byron O'Connor Directo T +27 (0)11 562 1140

E byron.oconnor@cdhlegal.com

Lucinde Rhoodie

- Director T +27 (0)21 405 6080
- E lucinde.rhoodie@cdhlegal.com

Belinda Scriba

Director T +27 (0)21 405 6139 E belinda.scriba@cdhlegal.com

Tim Smit

T +27 (0)11 562 1085 E tim.smit@cdhlegal.com

Joe Whittle

Director T +27 (0)11 562 1138

E joe.whittle@cdhlegal.com

Roy Barendse

Executive Consultant T +27 (0)21 405 6177 E roy.barendse@cdhlegal.com

Pieter Conradie

Executive Consultant T +27 (0)11 562 1071 E pieter.conradie@cdhlegal.com

Nick Muller

- Executive Consultant T +27 (0)21 481 6385
- E nick.muller@cdhlegal.com

Jonathan Witts-Hewinson

Executive Consultant T +27 (0)11 562 1146 E witts@cdhlegal.com

BBBEE STATUS: LEVEL TWO CONTRIBUTOR

Our BBBEE verification is one of several components of our transformation strategy and we continue to seek ways of improving it in a meaningful manner.

PLEASE NOTE

This information is published for general information purposes and is not intended to constitute legal advice. Specialist legal advice should always be sought in relation to any particular situation. Cliffe Dekker Hofmeyr will accept no responsibility for any actions taken or not taken on the basis of this publication.

JOHANNESBURG

1 Protea Place, Sandton, Johannesburg, 2196. Private Bag X40, Benmore, 2010, South Africa. Dx 154 Randburg and Dx 42 Johannesburg. T +27 (0)11 562 1000 F +27 (0)11 562 1111 E jhb@cdhlegal.com

CAPE TOWN

11 Buitengracht Street, Cape Town, 8001. PO Box 695, Cape Town, 8000, South Africa. Dx 5 Cape Town. T +27 (0)21 481 6300 F +27 (0)21 481 6388 E ctn@cdhlegal.com

STELL ENBOSCH

14 Louw Street, Stellenbosch Central, Stellenbosch, 7600.

T +27 (0)21 481 6400 E cdhstellenbosch@cdhlegal.com

©2020 9564/NOV





E burton.meyer@cdhlegal.com **Rishaban Moodley** Director

Director

- E rishaban.moodley@cdhlegal.com

Director