

IN THIS ISSUE

# The LAC demystifies the LRA circumvention clause: Section 200B of the LRA

In the Labour Appeal Court (LAC) decision of *Masoga v Pick n Pay Retailers (Pty) Ltd* (JA14/2018) [2019] ZALAC 59 (Masoga case) the LAC had its first bite of the relatively new, s200B cherry.





The effect of the LAC's decision in upholding the Labour Courts finding is that s200B cannot be utilised as a self-standing and general test for finding persons or entities to be jointly and severally liable as employers.

# The LAC demystifies the LRA circumvention clause: Section 200B of the LRA

In the Labour Appeal Court (LAC) decision of Masoga v Pick n Pay Retailers (Pty) Ltd (JA14/2018) [2019] ZALAC 59 (Masoga case) the LAC had its first bite of the relatively new, s200B cherry.

Section 200B(1) and (2) of the Labour Relations Act, No 66 of 1995 (LRA), which was one of the 2015 amendments to the LRA, provides that "for the purposes of this Act and any other employment law, 'employer' includes one or more persons who carry on associated or related activity or business by or through an employer if the intent and effect of the doing so is or has been to directly or indirectly defeat the purposes of this Act or any other employment law. If more than one person is held to be the employer of an employee in terms of subsection(1), those persons are jointly and severally liable for any failure to comply with the obligations of an employer in terms of this Act or any other employment law".

The LAC confirmed the Labour Courts finding in which the Commissioner incorrectly, out of his own accord, invoked s200B as a self-standing and general test for determining whether one or more associated persons or entity are jointly and severally liable toward a particular employee, and in the case before it, employees who claimed to fall under

s198A of the LRA dealing with temporary employment services. Section 200B was not invoked by either of the parties nor was it mentioned in any of the evidence given. The Commissioner also crucially failed to make any underlying finding as to which Act, employment law, and then which provision thereof, had allegedly been defeated, relying on s200B alone.

The effect of the LAC's decision in upholding the Labour Courts finding is that s200B cannot be utilised as a self-standing and general test for finding persons or entities to be jointly and severally liable as employers.

Therein, s200B was found to be a bolt on provision, requiring an initial finding of circumvention of either the LRA, or any other employment law, before it can be triggered. This is in line with the intention of the legislature which sought to prevent creative structures being put in place to avoid the operation of the Act and the protections it provides for.

In conclusion, following the *Masoga* case, any party intending to rely on s200B or who is subject to a finding thereon, must be mindful of its underlying requirements, before it can find application.

Nicholas Preston and Nelisiwe Khumalo

CDH is a Level 1 BEE contributor – our clients will benefit by virtue of the recognition of 135% of their legal services spend with our firm for purposes of their own BEE scorecards.





## **Employment Strike Guideline**

Find out what steps an employer can take when striking employees ignore court orders.



Click here to find out more

Hugo Pienaar was named the exclusive South African winner of the ILO Client Choice Awards 2017 and 2019 in the Employment & Benefits category.





( CLICK HERE FOR THE LATEST SOCIAL MEDIA AND THE WORKPLACE **GUIDELINE** 





















## **OUR TEAM**

## For more information about our Employment practice and services, please contact:



Aadil Patel National Practice Head Director T +27 (0)11 562 1107



Gillian Lumb Regional Practice Head Director T +27 (0)21 481 6315

E gillian.lumb@cdhlegal.com



Jose Jorge T +27 (0)21 481 6319 E jose.jorge@cdhlegal.com



Fiona Leppan Director



+27 (0)11 562 1152 E fiona.leppan@cdhlegal.com

**Hugo Pienaar** 

**Nicholas Preston** 



Director T +27 (0)11 562 1350 E hugo.pienaar@cdhlegal.com



Director T +27 (0)11 562 1788 E nicholas.preston@cdhlegal.com



**Thabang Rapuleng** +27 (0)11 562 1759 E thabang.rapuleng@cdhlegal.com

Samiksha Singh



T +27 (0)21 481 6314  ${\sf E} \quad samiksha.singh@cdhlegal.com\\$ 



**Michael Yeates** T +27 (0)11 562 1184 E michael.yeates@cdhlegal.com



**Steven Adams** Senior Associate +27 (0)21 481 6341 E steven.adams@cdhlegal.com



Senior Associate T +27 (0)21 481 6351 E anli.bezuidenhout@cdhlegal.com

Anli Bezuidenhout



Associate T +27 (0)11 562 1296 E sean.jamieson@cdhlegal.com



Tamsanqa Mila T +27 (0)11 562 1108  ${\sf E} \quad tamsanqa.mila@cdhlegal.com\\$ 



Bheki Nhlapho T +27 (0)11 562 1568 E bheki.nhlapho@cdhlegal.com



Siyabonga Tembe Associate T +27 (0)21 481 6323  ${\sf E} \quad {\sf siyabonga.tembe@cdhlegal.com}$ 

## **BBBEE STATUS: LEVEL ONE CONTRIBUTOR**

Cliffe Dekker Hofmeyr is very pleased to have achieved a Level 1 BBBEE verification under the new BBBEE Codes of Good Practice. Our BBBEE verification is one of several components of our transformation strategy and we continue to seek ways of improving it in a meaningful manner.

This information is published for general information purposes and is not intended to constitute legal advice. Specialist legal advice should always be sought in relation to any particular situation. Cliffe Dekker Hofmeyr will accept no responsibility for any actions taken or not taken on the basis of this publication.

#### **JOHANNESBURG**

1 Protea Place, Sandton, Johannesburg, 2196. Private Bag X40, Benmore, 2010, South Africa. Dx 154 Randburg and Dx 42 Johannesburg. T +27 (0)11 562 1000 F +27 (0)11 562 1111 E jhb@cdhlegal.com

11 Buitengracht Street, Cape Town, 8001. PO Box 695, Cape Town, 8000, South Africa. Dx 5 Cape Town. T +27 (0)21 481 6300 F +27 (0)21 481 6388 E ctn@cdhlegal.com

14 Louw Street, Stellenbosch Central, Stellenbosch, 7600. T +27 (0)21 481 6400 E cdhstellenbosch@cdhlegal.com

@2019 8390/OCT













