

FOR MORE INSIGHT INTO OUR EXPERTISE AND SERVICES

CLICK HERE



THE DUTY TO DISCLOSE MATERIAL INFORMATION STANDS

An insurance policy cannot be repudiated on the basis of non-disclosure unless the non-disclosure was likely to have materially affected the assessment of risk underwritten by the insurer at the time of the issue or variation of

The duty to disclose material information stands from a legal perspective as well as a business perspective and the subject of the non-disclosure does not necessarily have to be linked to the claim.

the policy.

The issue of non-disclosure in the insurance industry came under scrutiny in the media at the end of last year, as a result of a major South African insurer repudiating a life insurance policy claim based on non-disclosure.

The issue of non-disclosure is not novel. Our courts have decided numerous cases on the issue and the legislature promulgated s59(1)(a) of the Long-Term Insurance Act, No 52 of 1998 and s53(1) (a) of the Short-Term Insurance Act, No 53 of 1998. These provisions provide that an insurance policy cannot be repudiated on the basis of non-disclosure unless the non-disclosure was likely to have materially affected the assessment of risk underwritten by the insurer at the time of the issue or variation of the policy.

In the case of *Basson v Hollard Life Insurance* (2018) 4 All SA 77 (GJ), the defendant rejected the plaintiff's claim on the grounds of the deceased's alleged misrepresentation, and non-disclosure, of certain facts to the defendant at the time when application was made for the life policy. The court confirmed the position that an insurer has the right to avoid a contract of insurance not only if the proposer had misrepresented a material fact but also if he had failed to disclose one. The duty to disclose in insurance contracts arises automatically by law.

For the non-disclosure to be legally relevant it must be material. It would be regarded as material if a reasonable, prudent person would consider that the information not disclosed should have been disclosed to the insurer so that the insurer could form its own view as to the effect of such information on the assessment on the relevant risk. In the Basson case, the court ultimately held on the facts that the insurer was entitled and justified in avoiding the policy.

In the matter of Jerrier v Outsurance Insurance Company Limited (2015) 3 All SA 701 (KZP) the full bench of the Kwa-Zulu Natal division interpreted the effect of non-disclosure in a short-term insurance policy. The court a quo held that the appellant's failure to report earlier "incidents" in relation to which he elected not to lodge claims for his own personal reasons, amounted to a material non-disclosure which absolved the insurer from liability for the subsequent accident.

Considering the wording of the specific policy as well as the duration of it, the full bench overturned the decision of the court a quo. The court held that the specific policy was not one which was subject to annual renewal assessment of risk and therefore there was not a duty on the insured to disclose the two incidents which arose during the duration of the contract. The court confirmed, however, the position in relation to a duty to disclose material information at the commencement of the contract.

It is important to bear in mind that regardless of the recent and much-publicised controversy relating to non-disclosure, the duty to disclose material information stands from a legal perspective as well as a business perspective and the subject of the non-disclosure does not necessarily have to be linked to the event that leads to the claim.

Byron O'Connor and Reza Ahmed

FOR MORE INSIGHT INTO OUR EXPERTISE AND SERVICES

CLICK HERE



Best Lawyers 2018 South Africa NAMED CON LITIGATION LAW FIRM OF THE YEAR

















CHAMBERS GLOBAL 2017 - 2019 ranked our Dispute Resolution practice in Band 1: Dispute Resolution.

CHAMBERS GLOBAL 2018 - 2019 named our Corporate Investigations sector as a Recognised Practitioner.

CHAMBERS GLOBAL 2018 - 2019 ranked our Dispute Resolution practice in Band 2: Insurance.

CHAMBERS GLOBAL 2018 - 2019 ranked our Dispute Resolution practice in Band 2: Media & Broadcasting.

CHAMBERS GLOBAL 2017 - 2019 ranked our Dispute Resolution practice in Band 2: Restructuring/Insolvency.

Julian Jones ranked by CHAMBERS GLOBAL 2017 - 2019 in Band 3: Restructuring/Insolvency.

Tim Fletcher ranked by CHAMBERS GLOBAL 2019 in Band 3: Dispute Resolution.

Pieter Conradie ranked by CHAMBERS GLOBAL 2019 as Senior Statespeople: Dispute Resolution.

Jonathan Witts-Hewinson ranked by CHAMBERS GLOBAL 2017 - 2019 in Band 2: Dispute Resolution.

GLOBAL

Joe Whittle ranked by CHAMBERS GLOBAL 2016 - 2019 in Band 4: Construction.



OUR TEAM

For more information about our Dispute Resolution practice and services, please contact:



Tim Fletcher National Practice Head Director

T +27 (0)11 562 1061

tim.fletcher@cdhlegal.com



Thabile Fuhrmann

Chairperson Director

+27 (0)11 562 1331

thabile.fuhrmann@cdhlegal.com

Timothy Baker

Director

T +27 (0)21 481 6308

E timothy.baker@cdhlegal.com

Roy Barendse

T +27 (0)21 405 6177

E roy.barendse@cdhlegal.com

Eugene Bester

Director

T +27 (0)11 562 1173

E eugene.bester@cdhlegal.com

Tracy Cohen

Director

Business Development

+27 (0)11 562 1617

E tracy.cohen@cdhlegal.com

Lionel Egypt

Director

+27 (0)21 481 6400

E lionel.egypt@cdhlegal.com

Jackwell Feris

Director

T +27 (0)11 562 1825

E jackwell.feris@cdhlegal.com

Anja Hofmeyr

T +27 (0)11 562 1129

E anja.hofmeyr@cdhlegal.com

Julian Jones

Director

T +27 (0)11 562 1189

E julian.jones@cdhlegal.com

Tobie Jordaan

Director

T +27 (0)11 562 1356

E tobie.jordaan@cdhlegal.com

Director

T +27 (0)11 562 1042

E corne.lewis@cdhlegal.com

Richard Marcus

Director

T +27 (0)21 481 6396

E richard.marcus@cdhlegal.com

Burton Mever

Director

+27 (0)11 562 1056

E burton.meyer@cdhlegal.com

Zaakir Mohamed

Director

T +27 (0)11 562 1094

E zaakir.mohamed@cdhlegal.com

Rishaban Moodley

T +27 (0)11 562 1666

E rishaban.moodley@cdhlegal.com

Byron O'Connor

Director

T +27 (0)11 562 1140

E byron.oconnor@cdhlegal.com

Ashley Pillay

Director

T +27 (0)21 481 6348

E ashley.pillay@cdhlegal.com

Lucinde Rhoodie

T +27 (0)21 405 6080

E lucinde.rhoodie@cdhlegal.com

Belinda Scriba

T +27 (0)21 405 6139

E belinda.scriba@cdhlegal.com

Willie van Wyk

Director

T +27 (0)11 562 1057

E willie.vanwyk@cdhlegal.com

Joe Whittle

Director

T +27 (0)11 562 1138

E joe.whittle@cdhlegal.com

Pieter Conradie

Executive Consultant

T +27 (0)11 562 1071

E pieter.conradie@cdhlegal.com

Willem Janse van Rensburg

Executive Consultant

T +27 (0)11 562 1110

E willem.jansevanrensburg@cdhlegal.com

Nick Muller

Executive Consultant

T +27 (0)21 481 6385 E nick.muller@cdhlegal.com

Jonathan Witts-Hewinson

Executive Consultant

T +27 (0)11 562 1146 E witts@cdhlegal.com

BBBEE STATUS: LEVEL TWO CONTRIBUTOR

Cliffe Dekker Hofmeyr is very pleased to have achieved a Level 2 BBBEE verification under the new BBBEE Codes of Good Practice. Our BBBEE verification is one of several components of our transformation strategy and we continue to seek ways of improving it in a meaningful manner.

This information is published for general information purposes and is not intended to constitute legal advice. Specialist legal advice should always be sought in relation to any particular situation. Cliffe Dekker Hofmeyr will accept no responsibility for any actions taken or not taken on the basis of this publication.

1 Protea Place, Sandton, Johannesburg, 2196. Private Bag X40, Benmore, 2010, South Africa. Dx 154 Randburg and Dx 42 Johannesburg. T +27 (0)11 562 1000 F +27 (0)11 562 1111 E jhb@cdhlegal.com

CAPE TOWN

11 Buitengracht Street, Cape Town, 8001. PO Box 695, Cape Town, 8000, South Africa. Dx 5 Cape Town. T +27 (0)21 481 6300 F +27 (0)21 481 6388 E ctn@cdhlegal.com

@2019 7703/MAR













