

Amendment Bill [B 23–2018] into Parliament. This is the second iteration of the Bill – the first was released in December 2017.



COMPETITION AMENDMENT BILL INTRODUCED IN PARLIAMENT

The proposed amendments are designed to address ongoing concerns about high concentration and high barriers to entry in South African

Another notable aspect of the Bill is the proposed expansion of the Minister's role in competition regulation.

to impede the ability of small and medium business, and those owned by historically disadvantaged persons to compete effectively or to operate sustainably. Therefore, amendments to the market inquiry provisions and abuse of dominance provisions are some of the key proposed

changes.

released in December 2017.

The proposed amendments are designed

to address ongoing concerns about high

concentration and high barriers to entry in

South African markets, which are believed

Numerous written comments were submitted to the 2017 Cabinet version of the Bill, and it is understood that the Minister also consulted extensively with business and labour in producing this version. The fact that many of these comments have been taken on board is evident – some of the problematic proposals contained in the 2017 Bill (such as those allowing the Commission to review "creeping mergers") have been removed.

There are also significant new proposals which were not contained in the previous draft, such as a higher administrative penalty to be imposed on repeat offenders, as well as the introduction of provisions addressing acquisitions by foreign entities which adversely to effect national security.

The Minister of Economic Development has introduced the Competition Amendment Bill [B 23—2018] into Parliament. This is the second iteration of the Bill – the first was

Another notable aspect of the Bill is the proposed expansion of the Minister's role in competition regulation. For instance, the proposed amendments envisage providing the Minister with powers to issue regulations exempting an agreement or practice from the application of the Act; an entitlement to appeal Tribunal decisions which affect public interest issues that the Minister raised in the course of the Commission or Tribunal proceedings; as well as powers of appointment of acting part-time members of the Tribunal.





Best Lawyers 2018 South Africa Edition

Included 53 of CDH's Directors across Cape Town and Johannesburg.

Recognised Chris Charter as Lawyer of the Year for Competition Law (Johannesburg).

Recognised Faan Coetzee as Lawyer of the Year for Employment Law (Johannesburg).

Recognised Peter Hesseling as Lawyer of the Year for M&A Law (Cape Town).

Recognised Terry Winstanley as Lawyer of the Year for Environmental Law (Cape Town).

Named Cliffe Dekker Hofmeyr Litigation Law Firm of the Year.

Named Cliffe Dekker Hofmeyr Real Estate Law Firm of the Year.



COMPETITION AMENDMENT BILL INTRODUCED IN PARLIAMENT

CONTINUED

In this version of the Bill, a more prescriptive test for excessive pricing has been laid out.



The proposed amendments are extensive, so, in addition to the proposals identified above, we set out here only the most notable:

- A significant new proposal is the introduction of s18A, which provides for the prospect of the prohibition of transactions which have an adverse impact on national security interests. A committee (to be constituted by the President) will be entitled to consider whether any acquisition by a foreign firm may have an adverse effect on the national security interests if the transaction impacts markets, industries, goods or services, sectors or regions that will be identified on a list to be published.
- Another new change is the proposed increase of the maximum administrative penalty from 10% of a firm's annual turnover in South Africa to 25%, in circumstances where that firm is found to have engaged in a repeat offence of the Act. In addition, in determining the extent of the administrative penalty to be imposed, the proposals would allow for the Competition Tribunal to extend the administrative penalty to firms that control the respondent that contravened the Act, where the controlling firm/s knew or should reasonably have known that the respondent was engaging in the prohibited conduct.
- There are notable proposed changes to the excessive pricing provision. The proposals retain the "reverse onus" from the 2017 version of the Bill, in terms of which a prima facie case of excessive pricing has to be shown to be "reasonable" by a respondent. More significantly, in this version of the Bill, a more prescriptive test for excessive pricing has been laid out. Instead of considering the "economic value" of a product, and whether the price is "reasonably related" to such economic value, the Bill identifies factors that must be considered when determining if a price is excessive. Such factors include the respondent's price-cost margin, internal rate of return, return on capital invested or profit history; the respondent's prices for the goods or services; comparative prices and profits; duration of that level of pricing; the market characteristics; and the Commission's guidelines on excessive pricing (which the Commission would be required to publish in terms of the amendments)
- The proposals retain the extensive changes to the market inquiry provisions and build further on those proposals. The 2018 Bill adds factors that the Commission must consider when deciding what action to take pursuant to a market inquiry. In addition, the Commission would be required, before the completion of



COMPETITION AMENDMENT BILL INTRODUCED IN PARLIAMENT

CONTINUED

Dates for submitting comments on the Bill have not yet been advertised by the Parliamentary Committee.



- the market inquiry, to take appropriate steps to communicate findings, decisions and remedial action to any materially affected party and call for their comments.
- The Commission is officially granted powers which it has previously exercised without express legislative basis, including the right to grant or refuse leniency; to issue advisory opinions and to conduct impact studies. The Commission would also be mandated, by the proposed amendments, to issue guidelines on the application of s4 (restrictive horizontal practices prohibited) and s5 (restrictive vertical practices prohibited).
- Another welcome change is the final death knell, through the repeal, of the complex monopoly provisions. These were changes contained in the 2009 amendments to the Act, but which were never implemented. The 2018 Bill does however address "conscious parallel or coordinated conduct by two or more firms in a concentrated market" as one of the features that may be considered in the course of a market inquiry.

Dates for submitting comments on the Bill have not yet been advertised by the Parliamentary Committee, but it is understood that the Minister is anxious to have the legislation enacted by the end of the year.

Craig Thomas and Lara Granville

CHAMBERS GLOBAL 2011–2018 ranked us in Band 2 for competition/antitrust.

Chris Charter ranked by CHAMBERS GLOBAL 2018 in Band 1 for competition/antitrust.

Andries le Grange ranked by CHAMBERS GLOBAL 2014-2018 in Band 4 for competition/antitrust







OUR TEAM

For more information about our Competition practice and services, please contact:



Chris Charter
National Practice Head
Director
T +27 (0)11 562 1053
E chris.charter@cdhlegal.com



Albert Aukema Director T +27 (0)11 562 1205 E albert.aukema@cdhlegal.com



Lara Granville
Director
T +27 (0)11 562 1720
E lara.granville@cdhlegal.com

Andries Le Grange



Director
T +27 (0)11 562 1092
E andries.legrange@cdhlegal.com



Susan Meyer
Director
T +27 (0)21 481 6469
E susan.meyer@cdhlegal.com



Veronica Cadman
Executive Consultant
Competition
T +27 (0)11 562 1131
E veronica.cadman@cdhlegal.com



Naasha Loopoo Senior Associate T +27 (0)11 562 1010 E naasha.loopoo@cdhlegal.com



Nazeera Mia Senior Associate T +27 (0)21 481 6337 E nazeera.mia@cdhlegal.com

Kitso Tlhabanelo



Senior Associate T +27 (0)11 562 1544 E kitso.tlhabanelo@cdhlegal.com



Kirsty Dean-MhlongoAssociate
T +27 (0)21 481 6356
E kirsty.dean-mhlongo@cdhlegal.com



Duduetsang MogapiAssociate
T +27 (0)11 562 1068
E duduetsang.mogapi@cdhlegal.com



Craig Thomas
Associate
T +27 (0)11 562 1055
E craig.thomas@cdhlegal.com

BBBEE STATUS: LEVEL TWO CONTRIBUTOR

This information is published for general information purposes and is not intended to constitute legal advice. Specialist legal advice should always be sought in relation to any particular situation. Cliffe Dekker Hofmeyr will accept no responsibility for any actions taken or not taken on the basis of this publication.

JOHANNESBURG

1 Protea Place, Sandton, Johannesburg, 2196. Private Bag X40, Benmore, 2010, South Africa. Dx 154 Randburg and Dx 42 Johannesburg. T +27 (0)11 562 1000 F +27 (0)11 562 1111 E jhb@cdhlegal.com

CAPE TOWN

11 Buitengracht Street, Cape Town, 8001. PO Box 695, Cape Town, 8000, South Africa. Dx 5 Cape Town. T +27 (0)21 481 6300 F +27 (0)21 481 6388 E ctn@cdhlegal.com

@2018 2524/JULY













