SARS ISSUES NEW GUIDE TO UNDERSTATEMENT PENALTIES - A MARCH TOWARD FURTHER CERTAINTY?

The Tax Administration Act, No 28 of 2011 (TAA) was promulgated with effect from 1 October 2012. The rationale behind the introduction of the TAA was that it would streamline, modernise and align the previous tax administration provisions to ultimately lower the cost and burden of tax administration in South Africa. One of the key changes to the tax administration regime in South Africa pursuant to the promulgation of the TAA was the conversion from the imposition of “additional tax” by SARS to the understatement penalty regime.

CUSTOMS & EXCISE HIGHLIGHTS

This week’s selected highlights in the Customs and Excise environment since our last instalment.
In terms of the now-repealed s76 of the Income Tax Act, No 58 of 1962 (Act), SARS could previously impose additional tax up to 200% in the event of a default or omission by the taxpayer. Several issues were encountered in respect of the erstwhile additional tax regime including the fact that there was a lack of certainty, uniformity and transparency in SARS’s application of the relevant provisions. For instance, it was often difficult to ensure that taxpayers in comparable circumstances were treated consistently. Furthermore, the way the provision was constructed had the effect that SARS would often commence imposing the maximum additional tax of 200% and only to the extent that the taxpayer could prove extenuating circumstances, would SARS consider reducing the penalty.

The Memorandum on the Objects of the Tax Administration Bill, 2011 expanded upon the rationale for the relinquishment of the additional tax regime for two further reasons:

- It would remove any uncertainty as to whether additional tax was a tax that may only be imposed under a money bill as contemplated in s77 of the Constitution; and
- South African courts have held on more than one occasion that additional tax was a penalty, and not a tax on, for example, income as the name suggested.

The new understatement penalty regime was thus introduced with effect from 1 October 2012. Without undertaking a detailed analysis of how the provisions work, it is nevertheless worth noting that it is now based on certain objective categories of behaviour. In other words, the understatement penalty percentage imposed is dependent on the behaviour of the taxpayer, which categories include the following:

- substantial understatement;
- reasonable care not taken in completing the return;
- no reasonable grounds for tax position taken;
- impermissible avoidance arrangement;
- gross negligence;
- intentional tax evasion.

Importantly, the onus to prove the grounds for imposition of an understatement penalty and the applicable percentage is on SARS.
While the conversion from the additional tax regime to the understatement penalty regime was welcomed, particularly given the fact that it is now based on certain objective criteria, the challenge is that behaviours listed in the understatement penalty percentage table are not specifically defined in the TAA. One therefore has to rely on other legislative interpretive tools in order to define the specific behaviours. While there have already been some cases dealing with the understatement penalty regime, South African judicial precedent will still take time to fully develop this aspect of tax law.

Nevertheless, one could obtain guidance from other sources such as case law pertaining to the now-repealed additional tax regime, South African criminal case law defining some concepts (which is nevertheless not a perfect substitute) as well as guidance and judicial precedent from other jurisdictions with very similar regimes such as Australia and New Zealand.

While the objective criteria and shift towards greater certainty is welcomed, a key challenge nevertheless remains given that the criteria are open to differing interpretations. At the time the TAA was introduced it was understood that SARS would ultimately provide guidance as to its interpretation of the different behaviours.

SARS finally published its Guide to Understatement Penalties on 28 March 2018, albeit several years after the promulgation of the TAA.

The Guide is fairly extensive and provides insight and examples regarding several contentious issues underpinning the new understatement penalty regime including, among others, the following key issues:

- what triggers an "understatement";
- how to calculate an understatement penalty based on the shortfall of tax; and
- what constitutes a bona fide inadvertent error (the subject of much consternation).

Importantly, the Guide also discusses and provides examples of each of the listed behaviours.

While the Guide will certainly shed some light on SARS’s interpretation of the relevant provisions and will no doubt prove useful to taxpayers, it should be appreciated that the Guide is not binding and is merely of persuasive value.

Jerome Brink
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Emil Brincker has been named a leading lawyer by Who’s Who Legal: Corporate Tax – Advisory and Who’s Who Legal: Corporate Tax – Controversy for 2017.
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This week’s selected highlights in the Customs and Excise environment since our last instalment.

Herewith below selected highlights in the Customs & Excise environment since our last instalment:

1. Amendments to Schedules to the Customs & Excise Act, No 91 of 1964 (Act) (certain sections quoted from the SARS website):
   1.1 Schedule 1 Part 1:
   1.1.1 The insertion of new-8-digit tariff subheadings 8517.62.20, 8517.69.10 and 8517.69.90 for apparatus designed for use when carried in the hand or on the person (with effect from 1 April 2018);
   1.2 Schedule 1 Part 2B:
   1.2.1 The substitution of Note 2 to increase the maximum ad valorem excise duties on motor vehicles from 25% to 30% (with effect from 1 April 2018);
   1.2.2 The insertion of items 124.37.11 and 124.37.15 to provide for apparatus designed for use when carried in the hand or on the person (with effect from 1 April 2018);
   1.3 Schedule 1 Part 3A:
   1.3.1 The substitution of various tariff subheadings to increase the ad valorem excise duties on goods from 5% to 7% and, from 7% to 9% respectively (with effect from 1 April 2018);
   1.4 Schedule 1 Part 3C:
   1.4.1 An increase in the rate of the environmental levy on incandescent light bulbs from R6.00 to R8.00 per globe (with effect from 1 April 2018);
   1.5 Schedule 1 Part 3D:
   1.5.1 An increase in the motor vehicles emissions tax on passenger vehicles from R100 to R110 for every gram of CO2 emissions per kilometre above 120g CO2/km and, in the case of double cabs, from R140 to R150 for every gram of CO2 emissions per kilometre in excess of 175g CO2/km (with effect from 1 April 2018);
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1.6 Schedule 1 Part 5A:

1.6.1 An increase of 22c/li in the rate of the general fuel levy from 315c/li to 337c/li and 300c/li to 322c/li on petrol and diesel, respectively (with effect from 4 April 2018);

1.7 Schedule 1 Part 5B:

1.7.1 An increase of 30c/li in the RAF levy from 163c/li to 193c/li on both petrol and diesel (with effect from 4 April 2018); and

1.8 Schedule 6:

1.8.1 As a consequence of the increase in the fuel levy as announced by the Minister of Finance in his budget speech of 21 February 2018, the diesel refund provisions are adjusted accordingly (with effect from 4 April 2018).

2. Customs Duty Act, 2014 and Customs Control Act, 2014:

The following documents were published on the Draft Documents for Public Comment:

2.1 Covering note for publication of “frozen” drafts of Customs Duty Rules (including Part on Deferment) and Control Rules (including consequential amendments to Chapter 30);

2.2 Customs Duty Rules “frozen” draft (including Part on Deferment); and

2.3 Customs Control Rules “frozen” draft (including consequential amendments to Chapter 30).

Please note that these drafts are not published for public comment – “for sight only”.

However, it appears that deferment of “ex-bond” home use clearances have been catered for subject to level 2 accreditation.

3. Please advise if additional information is required.

Petr Erasmus
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