

# EMPLOYMENT ALERT

Our programme on Conducting a Disciplinary Enquiry has been accredited by the Services SETA.

## IN THIS ISSUE

### AUTOMATIC TERMINATION CLAUSES – VALID OR NOT?

There are many instances in which an employee's continued employment is dependent upon the requirements of a client of the employer. In such cases, the employer links the duration of the employee's employment to the duration of the contract between it and its client. These automatic termination clauses raise questions as to whether or not reliance on them results in a dismissal as well as uncertainty around the validity of the clauses. An automatic termination clause was the focus of the recent decision of the *Labour Appeal Court in Enforce Security Group v Mwelase and others* (DA24/15) [2017] ZALAC 9 (25 January 2017).



[CLICK HERE](#) to view our NEW Employment Strike Guideline

# AUTOMATIC TERMINATION CLAUSES – VALID OR NOT?

*The employer argued that there was no dismissal as the employer was not the “proximate cause” of the termination of the employees’ employment – the client was.*

*The court found that these clauses are not always invalid and that in making this determination the court must consider whether the clause was intended to circumvent the fair dismissal obligations imposed on an employer by the LRA and the Constitution.*

There are many instances in which an employee’s continued employment is dependent upon the requirements of a client of the employer. In such cases, the employer links the duration of the employee’s employment to the duration of the contract between it and its client. These automatic termination clauses raise questions as to whether or not reliance on them results in a dismissal as well as uncertainty around the validity of the clauses. An automatic termination clause was the focus of the recent decision of the Labour Appeal Court in *Enforce Security Group v Mwelase and others* (DA24/15) [2017] ZALAC 9 (25 January 2017).

In this case the employer employed security officers and placed them at the premises of its clients. The security officers’ employment contracts included an automatic termination clause which provided for the termination of the employee’s contract of employment on termination of the contract between the employer and the client. A dispute arose when the employer’s client gave notice of termination of its contract with the employer and the employer, relying on the automatic termination clause in the contracts of employment, issued the employees with notices of termination of their employment.

At the CCMA the employees alleged that they had been dismissed and challenged the fairness of their dismissals. The employer argued that the termination of employment did not amount to a dismissal as the employer played no role in the client’s decision to terminate its contract with the employer. The definition of dismissal in the Labour Relations Act, No. 66 of 1995 (LRA) requires that there must be an act by the employer that terminates the contract. The employer argued that there was no dismissal as the employer was not the “proximate cause” of the termination of the employees’ employment – the client was.

The Labour Appeal Court reiterated that there are several terminations of employment that do not constitute a dismissal – one of these being termination on the occurrence of a specific event. In such instance, there is no dismissal, but rather the termination of the contract by operation of law. This will, however, always be subject to an employee’s right to challenge the termination as an unfair dismissal if he or she had a reasonable expectation of renewal, or if the employer failed or refused to renew a fixed term contract and the employee expected the employer to renew the fixed term contract.

The Labour Appeal Court found that on the facts of the case there was no dismissal. The employer was not the cause of the termination. The court then went on to consider automatic termination clauses and the lawfulness of these clauses. The court found that these clauses are not always invalid and that in making this determination the court must consider whether the clause was intended to circumvent the fair dismissal obligations imposed on an employer by the LRA and the Constitution.

# AUTOMATIC TERMINATION CLAUSES – VALID OR NOT?

CONTINUED




*When including an automatic termination clause in a contract of employment, an employer needs to bear in mind that our courts do not permit parties to contract out of the protections in the LRA against unfair dismissal through an automatic termination clause or otherwise.*

The following were identified by the court as relevant considerations in determining the validity of an automatic termination clause:

- The precise wording of the clause and the context of the entire agreement.
- The relationship between the fixed term event and the purpose of the contract with the client.
- Whether it is left to the client to pick and choose who is to tender the services under the service agreement.
- Whether the clause is used by either the client or the employer to unfairly target a particular employee.
- Whether the event is based on proper economic and commercial considerations.

The case highlights that an automatic termination clause does not necessarily give rise to a dismissal and that an automatic termination clause may or may not be invalid, depending on the facts of each case. When including an automatic termination clause in a contract of employment, an employer needs to bear in mind that our courts do not permit parties to contract out of the protections in the LRA against unfair dismissal through an automatic termination clause or otherwise.

*Gillian Lumb and Anli Bezuidenhout*





# Employment STRIKE GUIDELINE

Our Employment practice's new  
EMPLOYMENT STRIKE GUIDELINE  
answers our clients' FAQs.

Topics discussed include strikes, lock-outs and picketing.

 [CLICK HERE TO FIND OUT MORE](#)

CHAMBERS GLOBAL 2014 - 2016 ranks our Employment practice in Band 2: Employment.

Aadil Patel ranked by CHAMBERS GLOBAL 2015 - 2016 in Band 2: Employment.

Hugo Pienaar ranked by CHAMBERS GLOBAL 2014 - 2016 in Band 2: Employment.

Fiona Leppan ranked by CHAMBERS GLOBAL 2016 in Band 3: Employment.



Michael Yeates named winner in the **2015 and 2016 ILO Client Choice International Awards** in the category 'Employment and Benefits, South Africa'.



## OUR TEAM

For more information about our Employment practice and services, please contact:



**Aadil Patel**  
National Practice Head  
Director  
T +27 (0)11 562 1107  
E aadil.patel@cdhlegal.com



**Gillian Lumb**  
Regional Practice Head  
Director  
T +27 (0)21 481 6315  
E gillian.lumb@cdhlegal.com



**Fiona Leppan**  
Director  
T +27 (0)11 562 1152  
E fiona.leppan@cdhlegal.com



**Hugo Pienaar**  
Director  
T +27 (0)11 562 1350  
E hugo.pienaar@cdhlegal.com



**Nicholas Preston**  
Director  
T +27 (0)11 562 1788  
E nicholas.preston@cdhlegal.com



**Thabang Rapuleng**  
Director  
T +27 (0)11 562 1759  
E thabang.rapuleng@cdhlegal.com



**Samiksha Singh**  
Director  
T +27 (0)21 481 6314  
E samiksha.singh@cdhlegal.com



**Gavin Stansfield**  
Director  
T +27 (0)21 481 6313  
E gavin.stansfield@cdhlegal.com



**Michael Yeates**  
Director  
T +27 (0)11 562 1184  
E michael.yeates@cdhlegal.com



**Anli Bezuidenhout**  
Senior Associate  
T +27 (0)21 481 6351  
E anli.bezuidenhout@cdhlegal.com



**Kirsten Caddy**  
Senior Associate  
T +27 (0)11 562 1412  
E kirsten.caddy@cdhlegal.com



**Samantha Coetzer**  
Senior Associate  
Professional Support Lawyer  
T +27 (0)11 562 1019  
E samantha.coetzer@cdhlegal.com



**Ndumiso Zwane**  
Senior Associate  
T +27 (0)11 562 1231  
E ndumiso.zwane@cdhlegal.com



**Samantha Bonato**  
Associate  
T +27 (0)11 562 1134  
E samantha.bonato@cdhlegal.com



**Sean Jamieson**  
Associate  
T +27 (0)11 562 1296  
E sean.jamieson@cdhlegal.com



**Zola Mcaciso**  
Associate  
T +27 (0)21 481 6316  
E zola.mcaciso@cdhlegal.com



**Anelisa Mkeme**  
Associate  
T +27 (0)11 562 1039  
E anelisa.mkeme@cdhlegal.com



**Prinoleen Naidoo**  
Associate  
T +27 (0)11 562 1829  
E prinoleen.naidoo@cdhlegal.com



**Bheki Nhlapho**  
Associate  
T +27 (0)11 562 1568  
E bheki.nhlapho@cdhlegal.com

### BBBEE STATUS: LEVEL THREE CONTRIBUTOR

Cliffe Dekker Hofmeyr is very pleased to have achieved a Level 3 BBBEE verification under the new BBBEE Codes of Good Practice. Our BBBEE verification is one of several components of our transformation strategy and we continue to seek ways of improving it in a meaningful manner.

This information is published for general information purposes and is not intended to constitute legal advice. Specialist legal advice should always be sought in relation to any particular situation. Cliffe Dekker Hofmeyr will accept no responsibility for any actions taken or not taken on the basis of this publication.

### JOHANNESBURG

1 Protea Place, Sandton, Johannesburg, 2196. Private Bag X40, Benmore, 2010, South Africa. Dx 154 Randburg and Dx 42 Johannesburg.  
T +27 (0)11 562 1000 F +27 (0)11 562 1111 E jhb@cdhlegal.com

### CAPE TOWN

11 Buitengracht Street, Cape Town, 8001. PO Box 695, Cape Town, 8000, South Africa. Dx 5 Cape Town.  
T +27 (0)21 481 6300 F +27 (0)21 481 6388 E ctn@cdhlegal.com

©2017 1485/FEB

