

CLICK HERE to view our NEW Employment Strike Guideline



# REVIEW APPLICATIONS AND POST-TRANSFER REALITIES

Of particular relevance, s197(5) of the LRA also provides that the new employer will be bound by any arbitration award, in respect of employees to be transferred, immediately prior to the date of When

The applicant became aware of the dispute as the property which became the subject of a writ of execution formed part of the business that had been transferred to it and therefore belonged to the applicant.

When contemplating the purchase of a business as a going concern, it is necessary to carry out the appropriate due diligence of the respective business. It is always positive to find that no red flags exist and especially comforting to know that the business has, in the past, successfully defended unfair dismissal claims. There is an arbitration award in favour of the business so you think that there is no cause for concern. Think again! Get those additional warranties.

Section 197 of the Labour Relations Act (LRA) provides for the automatic transfer of employment rights and obligations which existed immediately before the date of transfer of business and continue in force as if they have been rights and obligations of the new employer. Of particular relevance, s197(5) of the LRA also provides that the new employer will be bound by any arbitration award, in respect of employees to be transferred, immediately prior to the date of transfer.

The purpose of s197(5) of the LRA was scrutinised by the Labour Appeal Court in High Rustenburg Estate (Pty) Ltd v NEHAWU obo Cornelius & others.

This dispute spanned approximately 14 years since the dismissal of 18 employees. The dismissals were initially found to be fair by the Commission for Conciliation, Mediation and Arbitration (CCMA) during 2004. Aggrieved by the CCMA's award in favour of High Rustenburg Hydro (Pty) Ltd, (the old employer at the time), National Education Health & Allied Workers' Union (NEHAWU) instituted review proceedings in the Labour Court. Prior to the finalisation of the review proceedings, High Rustenburg Hydro (the old employer), sold its business as a going concern. Subsequent to further commercial transactions, High Rustenburg Estate (Pty) Ltd (the applicant) became the new employer.

The old employer failed to inform the Labour Court of the transfer of its business during the review proceedings. When the Labour Court set aside the CCMA award, declared the dismissals to be unfair and ordered compensation equivalent to 12 months' remuneration for the 18 employees, the old employer did not pay the compensation, resulting in a writ of execution being issued against property in order to secure payment of the compensation owed to the dismissed employees.

The applicant became aware of the dispute as the property which became the subject of a writ of execution formed part of the business that had been transferred to it and therefore belonged to the applicant.

Further protracted litigation ensued as the applicant argued that NEHAWU was required to obtain a separate declaratory order which held that it was liable for the old employer's debt. The applicant also argued that it was not provided with an opportunity to defend a claim against it when it became the new employer. The applicant was therefore permitted to file a stated case, in which it argued that the writ of execution be set aside.





## REVIEW APPLICATIONS AND POST-TRANSFER REALITIES

## CONTINUED

In the circumstances of the present case where the employees were eventually successful, they could not be denied protection simply because of the timing of the transfer of the business of their old employer. Upon hearing the stated case of the applicant, the Labour Court found that the writ of execution was lawfully issued in terms of s197(5) of the LRA and the property of the applicant could validly be sold in execution. It is this order that has become the subject of appeal in the present case.

The Labour Appeal Court was required to evaluate "whether a substitution of an arbitration award made after the transfer of the business from an old employer to a new employer binds the new employer in that the award is deemed to have taken effect at the very least from the date on which it was made, albeit incorrectly".

The Labour Appeal Court reiterated that the purpose of s197, in its entirety, was to ensure job security of affected employees when a business is transferred. Therefore, in the circumstances of the present case where the employees were eventually successful, they could not be denied

protection simply because of the timing of the transfer of the business of their old employer.

In essence, the Labour Appeal Court held that the review and substitution of an arbitration award is of full force and effect as if it was done on the date of the arbitration award. Whilst the arbitration award which was initially in favour of the old employer will undoubtedly favour the new employer in terms of \$197(5) of the LRA, so too must the reversal of that arbitration award bind the new employer, albeit many years later.

It is, therefore, important for "old and new" employers to take caution in respect of favourable arbitration awards considered during a due diligence of a proposed sale of business, as a reversal of the award will bind the new employer.

Samiksha Singh













Our Employment practice's new EMPLOYMENT STRIKE GUIDELINE answers our clients' FAQs.

Topics discussed include strikes, lock-outs and picketing.



CHAMBERS GLOBAL 2014 - 2017 ranks our Employment practice in Band 2: Employment.

Aadil Patel ranked by CHAMBERS GLOBAL 2015 - 2017 in Band 2: Employment.

Hugo Pienaar ranked by CHAMBERS GLOBAL 2014 - 2017 in Band 2: Employment.

Fiona Leppan ranked by CHAMBERS GLOBAL 2017 in Band 3: Employment.

Gillian Lumb ranked by CHAMBERS GLOBAL 2017 in Band 4: Employment.



Michael Yeates named winner in the **2015** and **2016 ILO Client Choice International Awards** in the category 'Employment and Benefits, South Africa'.







## **OUR TEAM**

For more information about our Employment practice and services, please contact:



**Aadil Patel** National Practice Head Director T +27 (0)11 562 1107 E aadil.patel@cdhlegal.com



Gillian Lumb Regional Practice Head Director

T +27 (0)21 481 6315

E gillian.lumb@cdhlegal.com



**Kirsten Caddy** T +27 (0)11 562 1412 E kirsten.caddy@cdhlegal.com



Jose Jorge Director



T +27 (0)21 481 6319 E jose.jorge@cdhlegal.com



Director T +27 (0)11 562 1152 E fiona.leppan@cdhlegal.com

Fiona Leppan



**Hugo Pienaar** Directo T +27 (0)11 562 1350 E hugo.pienaar@cdhlegal.com



Nicholas Preston Director T +27 (0)11 562 1788 E nicholas.preston@cdhlegal.com



Thabang Rapuleng +27 (0)11 562 1759 E thabang.rapuleng@cdhlegal.com

Samiksha Singh



T +27 (0)21 481 6314 E samiksha.singh@cdhlegal.com



**Gavin Stansfield** T +27 (0)21 481 6313 E gavin.stansfield@cdhlegal.com



Michael Yeates Director T +27 (0)11 562 1184 E michael.yeates@cdhlegal.com

Ndumiso Zwane

T +27 (0)11 562 1231

Director



E ndumiso.zwane@cdhlegal.com Anli Bezuidenhout Senior Associate +27 (0)21 481 6351

E anli.bezuidenhout@cdhlegal.com



T +27 (0)21 481 6341 E steven.adams@cdhlegal.com



Samantha Bonato T +27 (0)11 562 1134 E samantha.bonato@cdhlegal.com



Sean Jamieson T +27 (0)11 562 1296 E sean.jamieson@cdhlegal.com



Zola Mcaciso Associate T +27 (0)21 481 6316 E zola.mcaciso@cdhlegal.com



Anelisa Mkeme Associate T +27 (0)11 562 1039 E anelisa.mkeme@cdhlegal.com



Prinoleen Naidoo Associate +27 (0)11 562 1829 E prinoleen.naidoo@cdhlegal.com



Bheki Nhlapho Associate T +27 (0)11 562 1568 E bheki.nhlapho@cdhlegal.com

### **BBBEE STATUS:** LEVEL THREE CONTRIBUTOR

Cliffe Dekker Hofmeyr is very pleased to have achieved a Level 3 BBBEE verification under the new BBBEE Codes of Good Practice. Our BBBEE verification is one of several components of our transformation strategy and we continue to seek ways of improving it in a meaningful manner.

This information is published for general information purposes and is not intended to constitute legal advice. Specialist legal advice should always be sought in relation to any particular situation. Cliffe Dekker Hofmeyr will accept no responsibility for any actions taken or not taken on the basis of this publication.

### **JOHANNESBURG**

1 Protea Place, Sandton, Johannesburg, 2196. Private Bag X40, Benmore, 2010, South Africa. Dx 154 Randburg and Dx 42 Johannesburg. T +27 (0)11 562 1000 F +27 (0)11 562 1111 E jhb@cdhlegal.com

11 Buitengracht Street, Cape Town, 8001. PO Box 695, Cape Town, 8000, South Africa. Dx 5 Cape Town. T +27 (0)21 481 6300 F +27 (0)21 481 6388 E ctn@cdhlegal.com

©2017 1620/APR













