

CLICK HERE to view our NEW Employment Strike Guideline



### MY PHONE, MY PHOTOS!

The employer's instruction emanated from a report that the employee had taken photographs using his mobile phone of the company's production line, shift machines and

The CCMA arbitrating commissioner found that, in the circumstances, the employer's instruction to hand over the phone was reasonable and that the employee's failure to obey the instruction warranted dismissal.

Although an employee has a right to privacy, it is not absolute. The employee's case, in *NUMSA* and *Another v Rafee N.O.* and *Others* (JR1022/12) [2016] ZALCJHB 512, was that the employer's instruction that he hand over his mobile phone for inspection violated his right to privacy.

The employer's instruction emanated from a report that the employee had taken photographs using his mobile phone of the company's production line, shift machines and letter trays. The employee was instructed to delete the photographs relating to the company's confidential business operations and to confirm that he had done so. When the employer asked for confirmation that the photographs had been deleted from his mobile phone, the employee replied "no comment". Thereafter, the employer instructed the employee to make his phone available to confirm that the disputed photographs had been removed.

The employee refused on the basis that it was his private phone which contained his personal information. The employee also argued that the employer had no right to look at his phone.

The Company asserted that its business operations needed to be kept confidential and that it operated in a competitive environment. The employee was charged and dismissed for failing to delete the photographs or confirm that he had done so and for refusing to make available

his mobile phone to confirm that the photographs had been deleted. The employee challenged the fairness of his dismissal at the CCMA, where he denied that he took the photographs.

The CCMA arbitrating commissioner found that, in the circumstances, the employer's instruction to hand over the phone was reasonable and that the employee's failure to obey the instruction warranted dismissal.

Dissatisfied with the arbitrator's outcome. the employee applied to the Labour Court to review the award. The Labour Court referred to the employee's right to maintain the confidential nature of information on his mobile phone as well as the employer's right to maintain the confidential information about its business. It held that although the employee is entitled to the privacy of the information on his mobile phone, "that does not entitle him to use his personal phone as a camera to capture confidential information belonging to his employer in which it has a proprietary interest. When he did that, he could hardly maintain that his right to preserve the confidentiality



Named "Law Firm of the Year" in the practice area of Real Estate Law.

Listed 36 of our lawyers across Cape Town and Johannesburg

Emil Brincker listed as Lawyer of the Year for Tax Law.

Pieter Conradie listed as Lawyer of the Year for Arbitration and Mediation.

Francis Newham listed as Lawyer of the Year for M&A Law.



**Best Lawyers** 

2017 Edition

## MY PHONE, MY PHOTOS!

#### CONTINUED

The Labour Court dismissed the employee's review application and held that it was not unreasonable to infer that it was likely that the employee took the photographs, failed to delete them, and retained them on his mobile phone.

of his personal data entitled him to retain data about the company he had obtained without permission, which was stored on the same device." It also held that "the action of taking such photographs is indistinguishable in principle from copying plans of the company's production layout and putting those copies in a personal brief case."

The Labour Court dismissed the employee's review application and held that it was not unreasonable to infer that it was likely that the employee took


the photographs, failed to delete them, and retained them on his mobile phone. It also held that this conduct seriously undermined the trust relationship between the employer and employee.

This case is important as it captures the fact that the right to privacy has its limitations and cannot be relied on by an employee acting with ulterior motives to retain an employer's confidential information.

Samantha Coetzer and Fiona Leppan















Our Employment practice's new EMPLOYMENT STRIKE GUIDELINE answers our clients' FAQs.

Topics discussed include strikes, lock-outs and picketing.



CHAMBERS GLOBAL 2014 - 2016 ranks our Employment practice in Band 2: Employment.

Aadil Patel ranked by CHAMBERS GLOBAL 2015 - 2016 in Band 2: Employment

Hugo Pienaar ranked by CHAMBERS GLOBAL 2014 - 2016 in Band 2: Employment.

Fiona Leppan ranked by CHAMBERS GLOBAL 2016 in Band 3: Employment.



Michael Yeates named winner in the **2015** and **2016 ILO Client Choice International Awards** in the category 'Employment and Benefits, South Africa'.







#### **OUR TEAM**

For more information about our Employment practice and services, please contact:



Aadil Patel
National Practice Head
Director
T +27 (0)11 562 1107
E aadil.patel@cdhlegal.com



Gillian Lumb

Regional Practice Head

Director

T +27 (0)21 481 6315

E gillian.lumb@cdhlegal.com

| Fiona Leppan |                           |  |
|--------------|---------------------------|--|
| Di           | rector                    |  |
| Τ            | +27 (0)11 562 1152        |  |
| Ε            | fiona.leppan@cdhlegal.com |  |

| Hugo Pienaar |                          |  |
|--------------|--------------------------|--|
| Di           | rector                   |  |
| Τ            | +27 (0)11 562 1350       |  |
| Ε            | hugo.pienaar@cdhlegal.cc |  |

| <b>Nicholas Preston</b> |  |
|-------------------------|--|
| Director                |  |

| Т | +27 (0)11 562 1788            |
|---|-------------------------------|
| Ε | nicholas.preston@cdhlegal.com |

| Th | nabang Rapuleng               |
|----|-------------------------------|
| Di | rector                        |
| Τ  | +27 (0)11 562 1759            |
| F  | thaheng ranuleng@cdhlegal.com |

| Samiksha Singh |                               |  |
|----------------|-------------------------------|--|
| Director       |                               |  |
| Т              | +27 (0)21 481 6314            |  |
| Ε              | samiks ha. singh@cdhlegal.com |  |

#### **Gavin Stansfield**

Director T +27 (0)21 481 6313

E gavin.stansfield@cdhlegal.com

#### Michael Yeates

Director T +27 (0)11 562 1184

E michael.yeates@cdhlegal.com

#### Anli Bezuidenhout

Senior Associate T +27 (0)21 481 6351 E anli.bezuidenhout@cdhlegal.com

## Kirsten Caddy Senior Associate T +27 (0)11 562 1412

E kirsten.caddy@cdhlegal.com

# Samantha Coetzer Senior Associate and Professional Support Lawyer T +27 (0)11 562 1019 E samantha.coetzer@cdhlegal.com

## Ndumiso Zwane Senior Associate T +27 (0)11 562 1231 E ndumiso.zwane@cdhlegal.com

#### Samantha Bonato

Associate T +27 (0)11 562 1134

E samantha.bonato@cdhlegal.com

#### Sean Jamieson

Associate T +27 (0)11 562 1296

E sean.jamieson@cdhlegal.com

#### Zola Mcaciso

Associate T +27 (0)21 481 6316

E zola.mcaciso@cdhlegal.com

#### Anelisa Mkeme

Associate T +27 (0)11 562 1039

E anelisa.mkeme@cdhlegal.com

#### Prinoleen Naidoo

Associate

T +27 (0)11 562 1829

E prinoleen.naidoo@cdhlegal.com

#### Bheki Nhlapho

Associate

T +27 (0)11 562 1568

E bheki.nhlapho@cdhlegal.com

#### **BBBEE STATUS:** LEVEL THREE CONTRIBUTOR

Cliffe Dekker Hofmeyr is very pleased to have achieved a Level 3 BBBEE verification under the new BBBEE Codes of Good Practice. Our BBBEE verification is one of several components of our transformation strategy and we continue to seek ways of improving it in a meaningful manner.

This information is published for general information purposes and is not intended to constitute legal advice. Specialist legal advice should always be sought in relation to any particular situation. Cliffe Dekker Hofmeyr will accept no responsibility for any actions taken or not taken on the basis of this publication.

#### **JOHANNESBURG**

1 Protea Place, Sandton, Johannesburg, 2196. Private Bag X40, Benmore, 2010, South Africa. Dx 154 Randburg and Dx 42 Johannesburg. T +27 (0)11 562 1000 F +27 (0)11 562 1111 E jhb@cdhlegal.com

#### **CAPE TOWN**

11 Buitengracht Street, Cape Town, 8001. PO Box 695, Cape Town, 8000, South Africa. Dx 5 Cape Town. T +27 (0)21 481 6300 F +27 (0)21 481 6388 E ctn@cdhlegal.com

©2017 1470/JAN













