

TAX AND EXCHANGE CONTROL ALERT

IN THIS ISSUE

UNDER THE RADAR: TODAY ONE TAX RATE, TOMORROW ANOTHER?

Since the first version of the Draft Taxation Laws Amendment Bill, 2016 (First Draft TLAB) and the Explanatory Memorandum thereto (Memorandum) were released on 8 July 2016, the proposed amendments applicable to trusts and employee share schemes received most of the attention.

CUSTOMS AND EXCISE HIGHLIGHTS

Herewith below selected highlights in the Customs and Excise environment since our last instalment.

UNDER THE RADAR: TODAY ONE TAX RATE, TOMORROW ANOTHER?

In terms of the First Draft TLAB, it was proposed that the Minister will have the power to amend the tax rates applicable in terms of various pieces of legislation, simply by announcing the amendment in the annual national budget speech.

An obvious shortcoming of the proposal in the First Draft TLAB was that the provision constituted a delegation by Parliament of its legislative power to the Minister.



Since the first version of the Draft Taxation Laws Amendment Bill, 2016 (First Draft TLAB) and the Explanatory Memorandum thereto (Memorandum) were released on 8 July 2016, the proposed amendments applicable to trusts and employee share schemes received most of the attention.

However, another proposed amendment with potentially far-reaching consequences that has received little attention since the release of the First Draft TLAB is one which could lead to a taxpayer paying tax at one rate today and another rate tomorrow, as and when the Minister of Finance (Minister) says so.

The proposed amendment

In terms of the First Draft TLAB, it was proposed that the Minister would have the power to amend the tax rates applicable in terms of various pieces of legislation, simply by announcing the amendment in the annual national budget speech. Furthermore, this amended rate would come into effect from the date announced by the Minister in the budget speech and will continue to apply for a period of 12 months from that date, unless Parliament passes legislation giving effect to that announcement within that 12-month period.

A similar amendment was already made to the Transfer Duty Act, No 40 of 1949, but was now proposed with respect to the following pieces of legislation:

- Income Tax Act, No 58 of 1962;
- Estate Duty Act, No 45 of 1955;

- Value-Added Tax Act, No 89 of 1991 (VAT Act);
- Skills Development Levies Act, No 9 of 1999 (SDL Act);
- Securities Transfer Tax Act, No 25 of 2007;
- Unemployment Insurance Contributions Act, No 4 of 2002 (UIC Act); and
- Mineral and Petroleum Resources Royalty Act, No 28 of 2008.

Issues raised and National Treasury's response

An obvious shortcoming of the proposal in the First Draft TLAB which was raised during public hearings, as highlighted in the Draft Response Document from National Treasury and SARS (Response Document), was that the provision constituted a delegation by Parliament of its legislative power to the Minister. In terms of s77 of the Constitution of the Republic of South Africa, 1996 (Constitution), a money bill is required to be passed by Parliament. In the Response Document, the problem was acknowledged and it was indicated that the proposed provisions would

UNDER THE RADAR: TODAY ONE TAX RATE, TOMORROW ANOTHER?

CONTINUED

The implementation of the proposed amendments to the legislation in its current form, could lead to a number of practical problems for taxpayers.



be amended to bring them in line with the Constitution. The wording of the charging provisions was amended and the provisions in the second version of the Draft Taxation Laws Amendment Bill, 2016 state that the rate changes announced by the Minister may be applied from the date announced subject to Parliament passing the relevant legislation giving effect to that rate change within 12 months of the announced effective date.

Comment

The implementation of the proposed amendments to the abovementioned legislation in its current form, could lead to a number of practical problems for taxpayers. An amendment in the rate of VAT in terms of s7 of the VAT Act, is one example that illustrates the problems that could arise.

In terms of s27 of the VAT Act, VAT vendors must submit VAT returns every month, every second month, every six months or every twelve months depending on the category in which they fall. In terms of s28, a VAT vendor must submit its VAT return within 25 days after the end of the relevant period. Currently, s7 of the VAT Act expressly states that VAT vendors must account for VAT at the rate of 14% on the value of the supply. If the Minister were to announce in the 2017 budget speech on 28 February 2017 (a hypothetical date) that the VAT rate will increase to 15% from

1 April 2017, Parliament will have to pass legislation to this effect within 12 months of 28 February 2017. If the legislation is not passed in time in accordance with s77 of the Constitution, VAT vendors will in theory be entitled to refunds on the basis that they should have levied VAT at the rate of 14% during this period instead of at the rate of 15%. The challenges that taxpayers have faced in obtaining their refunds from SARS, has been widely reported on recently. Similar problems could arise if the rates in terms of the SDL Act and UIC Act were amended and the necessary legislation is not passed in time, considering that payments in terms of this legislation must be paid by employers on a monthly basis.

Furthermore, the retrospective application of the legislation may also be open to constitutional challenge. In terms of s77(3) of the Constitution, all money bills must be considered in accordance with the procedure established by s75 of the Constitution and an act of Parliament must provide for a procedure to amend money bills before Parliament. The Money Bill Amendment Procedure and Related Matters Act, No 9 of 2009 (Money Bill Act) was passed by Parliament in this regard. Section 11 of the Money Bill Act states that a revenue bill, being one which amends tax rates, among other things, must be referred to the National Council of Provinces, as stipulated in s75 of the

UNDER THE RADAR: TODAY ONE TAX RATE, TOMORROW ANOTHER?



CONTINUED

The consequences of not complying with the constitutional provisions regarding the enactment of legislation could be far-reaching and could even lead to the entire legislation being declared invalid.

Constitution. Neither s75 and s77 of the Constitution, nor the provisions of the Money Bill Act allow for implementation of legislation prior to the process in terms of these sections being followed. The consequences of not complying with the constitutional provisions regarding the enactment of legislation could be far-reaching and could even lead to the entire legislation being declared invalid

as was the case in *Tongoane and Others v Minister for Agriculture and Land Affairs and Others* 2010 (8) BCLR 741 (CC), where the Communal Land Rights Act, No 11 of 2004 was declared invalid by the Constitutional Court as the incorrect procedure had been followed in enacting the legislation.

Louis Botha and Heinrich Louw



Best Lawyers 2017 Edition

Named "Law Firm of the Year" in the practice area of Real Estate Law.

Listed 36 of our lawyers across Cape Town and Johannesburg.

Emil Brincker listed as Lawyer of the Year for Tax Law.

Pieter Conradie listed as Lawyer of the Year for Arbitration and Mediation.

Francis Newham listed as Lawyer of the Year for M&A Law.

CUSTOMS AND EXCISE HIGHLIGHTS

Please note that this is not intended to be a comprehensive study or list of the amendments, changes and the like in the Customs and Excise environment, but merely selected highlights which may be of interest.

In the event that specific advice is required, kindly contact our Customs and Excise specialist, Director, Petr Erasmus.



Herewith below selected highlights in the Customs and Excise environment since our last instalment:

1. Draft Amendment regarding the substitution of Rule 75.00 – rebate users need not re-register for the 2017 Harmonized System Nomenclature. The Explanatory memorandum reads as follows:
“1 January 2017 marks the implementation of the 2017 version of the Harmonized System (HS) Nomenclature. South Africa will implement the amendments to the HS 2017, in terms of section 48(1)(c), with effect from 1 January 2017.
Rebate users are currently registered with SARS in terms of the current HS items, tariff headings and subheadings. The draft amendment to rule 75.00 avoids a re-registration process in terms of the HS 2017 for rebate users”.
Due date for comments is 23 November 2016. Send comments to: C&E_legislativecomments@sars.gov.za.
2. Amendment of Rule 46A3 relating to the non-reciprocal treatment under the Generalised System of Preferences granted to developing and least developed countries by the Russian Federation, the Republic of Belarus and the Republic of Kazakhstan.
3. On another note, Cliffe Dekker Hofmeyr’s (CDH) lawyers once again feature prominently in the 8th edition of Best Lawyers in South Africa, one of the profession’s respected peer review publications.
This year Best Lawyers recognised 10 directors in CDH’s Cape Town office and 26 lawyers from the Johannesburg office.
Notably, three of the firm’s lawyers received Lawyer of the Year awards, namely Emil Brincker (Tax), Pieter Conradie (Arbitration and Mediation) in Johannesburg, and Francis Newham (Mergers and Acquisitions) in Cape Town.
Adding to this impressive achievement, CDH’s Real Estate practice was named the Law Firm of the Year in their practice area.
To read more, follow this link: [Cliffe Dekker Hofmeyr increases its ranking in latest ‘Best Lawyers’ survey](#).

Petr Erasmus

Disclaimer

Please note that this is not intended to be a comprehensive study or list of the amendments, changes, occurrences, etc. in the Customs and Excise environment, but merely selected highlights which may be of interest. In the event that specific advice is required, kindly contact us in order to research and provide.

Although all care is taken to ensure that the above is 100% correct, CDH cannot be held liable for any inaccuracies, be it as a result of misinterpretation, finger-trouble or otherwise. This is for information purposes only and must not be used as is. Please contact us to verify information provided before acting upon it (petr.erasmus@cdhlegal.com/082 576 5260).

OUR TEAM

For more information about our Tax and Exchange Control practice and services, please contact:



Emil Brincker
National Practice Head
Director
T +27 (0)11 562 1063
E emil.brincker@cdhlegal.com



Mark Linington
Private Equity Sector Head
Director
T +27 (0)11 562 1667
E mark.linington@cdhlegal.com



Lisa Brunton
Senior Associate
T +27 (0)21 481 6390
E lisa.brunton@cdhlegal.com



Petr Erasmus
Director
T +27 (0)11 562 1450
E petr.erasmus@cdhlegal.com



Heinrich Louw
Senior Associate
T +27 (0)11 562 1187
E heinrich.louw@cdhlegal.com



Dries Hoek
Director
T +27 (0)11 562 1425
E dries.hoek@cdhlegal.com



Mareli Treurnicht
Senior Associate
T +27 (0)11 562 1103
E mareli.treurnicht@cdhlegal.com



Ben Strauss
Director
T +27 (0)21 405 6063
E ben.strauss@cdhlegal.com



Jerome Brink
Associate
T +27 (0)11 562 1484
E jerome.brink@cdhlegal.com



Gigi Nyanin
Associate
T +27 (0)11 562 1120
E gigi.nyanin@cdhlegal.com



Louis Botha
Candidate Attorney
T +27 (0)11 562 1408
E louis.botha@cdhlegal.com



Mark Morgan
Candidate Attorney
T +27 (0)11 562 1374
E mark.morgan@cdhlegal.com

BBBEE STATUS: LEVEL THREE CONTRIBUTOR

Cliffe Dekker Hofmeyr is very pleased to have achieved a Level 3 BBBEE verification under the new BBBEE Codes of Good Practice. Our BBBEE verification is one of several components of our transformation strategy and we continue to seek ways of improving it in a meaningful manner.

This information is published for general information purposes and is not intended to constitute legal advice. Specialist legal advice should always be sought in relation to any particular situation. Cliffe Dekker Hofmeyr will accept no responsibility for any actions taken or not taken on the basis of this publication.

JOHANNESBURG

1 Protea Place, Sandton, Johannesburg, 2196. Private Bag X40, Benmore, 2010, South Africa. Dx 154 Randburg and Dx 42 Johannesburg.
T +27 (0)11 562 1000 F +27 (0)11 562 1111 E jhb@cdhlegal.com

CAPE TOWN

11 Buitengracht Street, Cape Town, 8001. PO Box 695, Cape Town, 8000, South Africa. Dx 5 Cape Town.
T +27 (0)21 481 6300 F +27 (0)21 481 6388 E ctn@cdhlegal.com

©2016 1404/NOV

