

EMPLOYMENT

CAN YOU REVIEW AN ARBITRATION AWARD THAT HAS ALREADY BEEN COMPLIED WITH?

CAN YOU REVIEW AN ARBITRATION AWARD THAT HAS ALREADY BEEN COMPLIED WITH?

While arbitration awards are legally binding, parties to a dispute are at liberty to take the arbitration award on review. The test for review has been established in the leading case of *Sidumo v Rustenburg Platinum Mines Ltd and Others* [2007] 12 BLLR 1097 (CC) as "whether the commissioner's decision was one at which a reasonable commissioner would arrive."

The recent Labour Court case of *Ellerines Furnishers (Pty) Ltd v Commission for Conciliation, Mediation and Arbitration & Others* (2015) 36 ILJ 215 (LC) involved an employee who challenged the fairness of his retrenchment. The Commissioner found that the dismissal was substantively fair, but procedurally unfair. The employee was awarded 5 (five) months' compensation, which amounted to R125,000. The employer complied with the award and paid the payment of R125,000 to the employee. However, it later transpired that the award for compensation was based on the employee's net remuneration. The compensation was accordingly recalculated based on the employee's gross remuneration.

In a subsequent variation ruling, the compensation payable was increased to R214,028.65. Displeased with the additional amount it had to pay, the employer took the arbitration award, together with the variation ruling, on review. The employee responded with a counter-review, arguing that, in making the compensation payment, the employer was perempted from seeking a review of the entire award.

The Labour Court was tasked with striking a balance between the statutory right to review and the doctrine of peremption. The doctrine provides that a party who wishes to comply with an award unconditionally and unreservedly is precluded from seeking a review of that award. In other words, the doctrine acts as a barrier to contradictory intentions, preventing a party from fulfilling an arbitration award on one day and reviewing the same award on the next.

The principle of peremption has previously been accepted and applied in Labour Court decisions, namely in *NUMSA & Others v Fast Freeze* (1992) 13 ILJ 963 (LAC) and *Balasana v Motor Bargaining Council & Others* (2011) 32 ILJ 297 (LC). In the *NUMSA* case, the Court held that voluntary payment or acceptance of payment in terms of a judgment will usually be sufficient to satisfy a court that the party has acquiesced in the judgment. Failure to indicate contemplated appeal and acceptance of compensation precludes the party from proceeding with the appeal. Similarly, in the *Balasana* case, the

Court noted that "a finding that the employee's right to review had become perempted would be justified only if it could be found that the employee, by having elected to accept the payment of compensation in compliance with the award, unequivocally abandoned or waived his right to institute the review proceedings."

Unique to the case at hand was the variation ruling, which had an implication on the original arbitration award. The Court agreed that, had there been no variation ruling, the employer would have waived its right to review after having complied with the award.

The Court noted that the right to review means the review of the whole arbitration award. Accordingly, separating the variation ruling from the initial arbitration award would have the potential consequence two applications running at the same time against one award. This could not have been the intention nor the purpose of the Labour Relations Act, No 66 of 1995, insofar as it seeks to resolve labour disputes fairly, justly and equitably.

The Court held that "once it can be said that a right of review exists, such right cannot be circumscribed by the peremption of a portion of that right or that only certain grounds of review may be raised but not others... what this essentially means is that once the variation ruling was issued it became open to Ellerines to challenge the entire arbitration award... on any of the recognised grounds of review, despite an earlier possible peremption of such right of review on the part of the affected party."

Accordingly, the employer was entitled to review the whole arbitration award as a result of the variation ruling and hence the peremption principle was not applicable. The Court nevertheless found that the arbitration award, as well as the variation ruling, was one that a reasonable decision maker would have made. The review and counter-review applications were thus dismissed.

continued



PUTTING THE PIECES TOGETHER:
LABOUR LAW AMENDMENTS
CLICK HERE TO FIND OUT MORE.



Employers should ensure that review applications are brought without any compliance of an arbitration award, failing which they may be precluded from reviewing the award. It is only in exceptional circumstances, such as in the *Ellerines case*,

where, despite the acquiescence of an arbitration award, the principle of peremption will not apply.

Hugo Pienaar, Lauren Salt and Karabo Ndhlovu

THE XXI WORLD CONGRESS OF THE INTERNATIONAL SOCIETY FOR LABOUR AND SOCIAL SECURITY LAW IS TAKING PLACE IN CAPE TOWN FROM 15 TO 18 SEPTEMBER 2015, HOSTED BY THE SOUTH AFRICAN SOCIETY FOR LABOUR LAW (SASLAW) AND PROUDLY SPONSORED BY CLIFFE DEKKER HOFMEYR AND DLA PIPER AFRICA.

The 21st World Congress promises to provide a platform for a stimulating discussion on labour and social security law in a global environment where sustained economic and social uncertainty appears to have become the norm.

How do we continue to give effect to the basic objectives of labour and social security law under these conditions, and how best might those objectives be secured?

These and other questions will inform our order of business.



CLICK HERE FOR MORE INFORMATION.



2014
RANKED #1 BY DEALMAKERS FOR DEAL FLOW 6 YEARS IN A ROW
1st in M&A Deal Flow, 1st in M&A Deal Value, 1st in General Corporate Finance Deal Flow.

2013
1st in M&A Deal Flow, 1st in M&A Deal Value, 1st in Unlisted Deals - Deal Flow.

2012
1st in M&A Deal Flow, 1st in General Corporate Finance Deal Flow, 1st in General Corporate Finance Deal Value, 1st in Unlisted Deals - Deal Flow.

2011
1st in M&A Deal Flow, 1st in M&A Deal Value, 1st in General Corporate Finance Deal Flow, Legal Advisor - Deal of the Year.

DealMakers

HIGHEST RANKING OF CLIENT SATISFACTION AMONGST AFRICAN FIRMS 2013

Legal Week

WE SECURED THE BIG

5

WE ARE THE NO.1 LAW FIRM FOR CLIENT SERVICE EXCELLENCE FIVE YEARS IN A ROW.

pmi
LawAfrica

2014
#No1DealPartner

NO 1 LAW FIRM BY M&A DEAL COUNT IN AFRICA AND THE MIDDLE EAST

NO 1 AFRICAN LAW FIRM BY M&A DEAL VALUE WITH 9.2 BILLION USD WORTH OF DEALS

MERGERMARKET

CHAMBERS GLOBAL 2014 - 2015 ranks our Employment practice in Band 2: Employment.

Aadil Patel ranked by CHAMBERS GLOBAL 2015 in Band 2: Employment.

Hugo Pienaar ranked by CHAMBERS GLOBAL 2014 - 2015 in Band 2: Employment.

Fiona Leppan ranked by CHAMBERS GLOBAL 2015 in Band 4: Employment.



CONTACT US

For more information about our Employment practice and services, please contact:



Aadil Patel
National Practice Head
Director
T +27 (0)11 562 1107
E aadil.patel@dlacdh.com



Gillian Lumb
Regional Practice Head
Director
T +27 (0)21 481 6315
E gillian.lumb@dlacdh.com



Johan Botes
Director
T +27 (0)11 562 1124
E johan.botes@dlacdh.com



Mohsina Chenia
Director
T +27 (0)11 562 1299
E mohsina.chenia@dlacdh.com



Fiona Leppan
Director
T +27 (0)11 562 1152
E fiona.leppan@dlacdh.com



Hugo Pienaar
Director
T +27 (0)11 562 1350
E hugo.pienaar@dlacdh.com



Gavin Stansfield
Director
T +27 (0)21 481 6314
E gavin.stansfield@dlacdh.com



Michael Yeates
Director
T +27 (0)11 562 1184
E michael.yeates@dlacdh.com



Faan Coetzee
Executive Consultant
T +27 (0)11 562 1600
E faan.coetzee@dlacdh.com

Kirsten Caddy
Senior Associate
T +27 (0)11 562 1412
E kirsten.caddy@dlacdh.com

Nicholas Preston
Senior Associate
T +27 (0)11 562 1788
E nicholas.preston@dlacdh.com

Lauren Salt
Senior Associate
T +27 (0)11 562 1378
E lauren.salt@dlacdh.com

Ndumiso Zwane
Senior Associate
T +27 (0)11 562 1231
E ndumiso.zwane@dlacdh.com

Anli Bezuidenhout
Associate
T +27 (0)21 481 6351
E anli.bezuidenhout@dlacdh.com

Katlego Letlonkane
Associate
T +27 (0)21 481 6319
E katlego.letlonkane@dlacdh.com

Inez Moosa
Associate
T +27 (0)11 562 1420
E inez.moosa@dlacdh.com

Thandeka Nhleko
Associate
T +27 (0)11 562 1280
E thandeka.nhleko@dlacdh.com

Sihle Tshetlo
Associate
T +27 (0)11 562 1196
E sihle.tshetlo@dlacdh.com

This information is published for general information purposes and is not intended to constitute legal advice. Specialist legal advice should always be sought in relation to any particular situation. Cliffe Dekker Hofmeyr will accept no responsibility for any actions taken or not taken on the basis of this publication.

BBBEE STATUS: LEVEL THREE CONTRIBUTOR

JOHANNESBURG

1 Protea Place Sandton Johannesburg 2196, Private Bag X40 Benmore 2010 South Africa
Dx 154 Randburg and Dx 42 Johannesburg
T +27 (0)11 562 1000 F +27 (0)11 562 1111 E jhb@dlacdh.com

CAPE TOWN

11 Buitengracht Street Cape Town 8001, PO Box 695 Cape Town 8000 South Africa
Dx 5 Cape Town
T +27 (0)21 481 6300 F +27 (0)21 481 6388 E ctn@dlacdh.com

cliffedekkerhofmeyr.com

Cliffe Dekker Hofmeyr is a member of DLA Piper Group, an alliance of legal practices.

©2015 0416/APR