

MINING ALERT

December 2012

THE OBLIGATION TO REZONE LAND AND ITS IMPACT ON MINING AND PROSPECTING RIGHTS IN SOUTH AFRICA

The necessity to rezone land for mining or prospecting purposes has been confirmed by a series of recent judgments.

If this obligation is ignored by holders of mining rights, mining permits or prospecting rights, it could have severe consequences for the holder, such as the forced legal closure of operations by municipal authorities or other affected persons, including local communities.

In the first case under discussion, Maccsand (Pty) Ltd is the holder of a mining right and mining permit issued to it by the South African Department of Mineral Resources (DMR) in terms of the Mineral and Petroleum Resources Development Act, No 28 of 2002 (MPRDA). The land over which the mining right and mining permit was granted is zoned as public open space. The City of Cape Town informed Maccsand that it would not be permitted to exercise the mining right or mining permit unless the land was rezoned for mining purposes in terms of the Land Use Planning Ordinance 15 of 1985 (Cape) (LUPO). Maccsand and the DMR submitted that mining fell under the exclusive competence of the national sphere and that LUPO therefore does not apply as it only regulates a municipal functional area. Court procedures were instituted and the case was finally heard by the Constitutional Court (Court) under the case heading Maccsand (Pty) Ltd v City of Cape Town and Others 2012 (7) BCLR 690 (CC), the outcome of which is discussed below.

IN THIS ISSUE

■ The obligation to rezone land and its impact on mining and prospecting rights in South Africa

LUPO applies in three provinces, the Western Cape, parts of the Eastern Cape and parts of the North-West. There are similar provincial laws in the other provinces including the Orange Free State's Townships Ordinance 9 of 1969 being applicable in the Free State and the Transvaal Province's Town-Planning and Townships Ordinance 15 of 1986, applying in Gauteng, Limpopo and Mpumalanga (Ordinances).

The Ordinances authorise the preparation of structure plans and zoning schemes or regulations. A zoning scheme or regulation is a legal document that records all land-use rights on properties in an area of jurisdiction. It includes regulations and restrictions on such rights and how they can be exercised. Under the different Ordinances, every municipality has its own zoning scheme or multiple zoning schemes, each setting different rules and regulations. The rules and regulations that would apply to each holder depend on the location of mining or prospecting operations, the relevant Ordinance as well as the relevant zoning scheme or regulation applicable to that area of jurisdiction.

continued

Whereas mining is governed by the MPRDA, the land on which mining takes place is regulated by the various Ordinances. There is therefore an overlap of the two functions. In Macssand, the Court found that because the powers allocated by the Constitution to the three spheres of government (national, provincial and municipal), in accordance with the functional vision of what was appropriate to each sphere, were not contained in airtight sealed compartments, the exercise of powers by two spheres may on occasion result in an overlap. In the instance of Maccsand the Court found that the overlap of the MPRDA and LUPO does not constitute an impermissible intrusion by one sphere into the area of another. Where overlapping occurs, the Constitution obliges these spheres of government to cooperate with one another in mutual trust and good faith, and to co-ordinate actions taken with one another. The Court found that mining cannot take place until the land in question is appropriately rezoned, which rule is permissible under South Africa's constitutional order.

The Court further noted that there is nothing in the MPRDA that suggests that LUPO (and therefore any Ordinance) will cease to apply to land on the granting of a right or permit, the mere granting of a right or permit therefore does not cancel out the applicability of an Ordinance.

Section 23(6) of the MPRDA states that "A mining right is subject to this Act, any relevant law, the terms and conditions stated in the right..." and s17(6) similarly stipulates that "A prospecting right is subject to this Act, any other relevant law and the terms and conditions stipulated in the right..." (own emphasis added). The MPRDA does not define the phrase 'relevant law' and the Court therefore contends that, consequently, it must be accorded its ordinary wide meaning. There is therefore no justification whatsoever for limiting it to laws regulating mining only.

If land is intended to be used or is used for a purpose not permitted in terms of the zoning scheme or regulations, application must be made to the municipality for rezoning or for a use departure. If either is granted, the land must be used for the permitted purpose within a period of two years, failing which that rezoning lapses. It

must be noted that the Ordinances generally authorise a landowner to apply for rezoning of land. However, land may also be rezoned at the instance of the provincial government or the municipality in whose jurisdiction it is located. This places a rights holder who is not also the landowner at a disadvantage.

It is clear from the above that mining cannot take place until the land in question is appropriately rezoned. If consent for rezoning is refused it does not mean that the first decision is vetoed; but it does result in the mining right holder being unable to exercise its rights to mine. Such conflicts of authority would be required to be resolved through cooperation between the two organs of State, failing which the refusal may be challenged on review.

The view that rezoning of land is required where the land in question is not zoned for mining purposes was further confirmed by the Court in the Minister for Mineral Resources v Swartland Municipality and Others 2012 (7) BCLR 712 (CC). The Court stated that a party who is granted a mining right or permit in terms of the MPRDA may start mining operations only if the zoning of the land in terms of LUPO (or another Ordinance) allows mining.

Both the *Maccsand* and *Swartland* cases dealt only with mining rights. However, in a recent, highly important decision, the Western Cape High Court, on an application by the Berg River Municipality, granted an interdict against Bongani Minerals, preventing Bongani Minerals from prospecting for tungsten and molybdenum until the land has been rezoned for prospecting purposes. It must be noted that the prospecting activities of Bongani were not particularly intrusive (drilling) and had little impact on the land. The judgment has not yet been reported but it would now appear that rezoning will be required for both mining and prospecting purposes.

Holders of rights or permits must bear in mind that the Maccsand and Swartland cases have given extra ammunition to landowners in their negotiations with mining companies, as the landowner is the principal person able to apply for the rezoning of any property. The right to rezone is not extended to the holder of a right or permit.

continued

The holder of a right or permit under the MPRDA will be able to commence prospecting or mining operations and may in fact have been granted access to the land by the landowner, but, notwithstanding the grant and execution of a right or permit, until the area covered by the right or permit has been rezoned for mining or prospecting purposes in terms of the relevant land-use planning legislation, such mining or prospecting operations will in fact be carried out illegally.

Local government has the legal right to force mining or prospecting operations to close down if the land is not correctly zoned. Ceasing mining or prospecting operations because of rezoning can have far reaching consequences on the right or permit holders as they will have to apply to the DMR for the suspension of mining or prospecting operations until such time as the land has been rezoned. If suspension is not applied for, the holders will not be mining or prospecting in accordance

with their approved mining works programmes or prospecting work programmes, which could result in the DMR invoking the provisions of s47 of the MPRDA and cancelling or terminating a right or permit by reason of noncompliance. The suspension of rights or permits may also have severe financial and/or contractual implications for the holders.

Most resource companies believed, and still believe, that a right or permit granted in terms of the MPRDA is sufficient regulatory authority for the conduct of their operations and that rezoning is not required. This is clearly not the case. We strongly advise that the holders of rights or permits who have not applied for the rezoning do so, or where necessary commence negotiations with landowners, to rezone the land as a matter of extreme urgency.

Jennifer de Vos Reviewed by Allan Reid

CONTACT US

For more information about our Mining and Minerals services, please contact:



Allan Reid Director Corporate and Commercial Sector Head: Mining and Minerals +27 (0) | 1 562 1222 E allan.reid@dlacdh.com



Nick Altini Director National Practice Head **T** +27 (0)11 562 1079 E nick.altini@dlacdh.com



Terry Winstanley Director National Practice Head **Environmental Law** +27 (0)21 481 6332 E terry.winstanley@dlacdh.com



Willem Jacobs Director National Practice Head Corporate and Commercial +27 (0)11 562 1555 E willem.jacobs@dlacdh.com



Banzi Malinga Director Corporate and Commercial +27 (0) 11 562 1100 E banzi.malinga@dlacdh.com



This information is published for general information purposes and is not intended to constitute legal advice. Specialist legal advice should always be sought in relation to any particular situation. Cliffe Dekker Hofmeyr will accept no responsibility for any actions taken or not taken on the basis of this publication.

BBBEE STATUS: LEVEL THREE CONTRIBUTOR

JOHANNESBURG

I Protea Place Sandton Johannesburg 2196, Private Bag X40 Benmore 2010 South Africa Dx 154 Randburg and Dx 42 Johannesburg

T +27 (0)11 562 1000 F +27 (0)11 562 1111 E jhb@dlacdh.com

CAPETOWN

II Buitengracht Street Cape Town 8001, PO Box 695 Cape Town 8000 South Africa Dx 5 Cape Town

T +27 (0)21 481 6300 F +27 (0)21 481 6388 E ctn@dlacdh.com

www.cliffedekkerhofmeyr.com

©2012