

Neha Dhana

Director



Neha Dhana is a Director in our Dispute Resolution practice. Neha has experience providing risk management, regulatory compliance and dispute resolution services (mediation, arbitration and judicial proceedings) in the public procurement & supply chain management; mining, energy & natural resources; and construction & engineering sectors.

About Neha

Neha has represented state-owned enterprises, government departments, regulators, statutory bodies, international corporations and well-known local companies.

Credentials

Education

- LLM in corporate law, University of Witwatersrand
- LLB, University of the Witwatersrand
- Advance Course in Pension Funds Law; Interpretation of Statutes; and Legal Transactions in International Law, University of South Africa

Membership

- Young International Council for Commercial Arbitration

Experience

• Advising mining houses

Experience in acting for and advising mining houses both in South Africa and other parts of Africa. The service and advice provided includes the application for prospecting permits, mining licenses, and commercial litigation.

• Arbitrations and mediations

Assisted in large arbitrations and mediations between the major mining players in the mining sector.

• Johannesburg Roads Agency

Advised the Johannesburg Roads Agency on the Municipal Finance Management Act, and Municipal Systems Act pertaining to their tender procedure and other MFMA related matters.

Contact Neha

+27 (0)11 562 1267

neha.dhana@cdhlegal.com

Expertise

[Dispute Resolution](#)

[Public Law](#)

[Technology & Communications](#)

[Mining & Minerals](#)

Location

Johannesburg

Language

English



- **Public Protector**

Advised and represented the Public Protector in review proceedings to set aside several of her binding reports issued against various organs of states.

- **Department of Energy**

Advised and participated in the evaluation of bid submissions in respect of an invitation to tender issued by the Department of Energy in regard to the first wave of privatization of electricity in South Africa.

- **Eskom Holdings SOC Limited**

Advised and represented Eskom Holdings SOC Limited in litigation that dealt with environmental and regulatory approvals for the introduction of a second Nuclear Power Station.

- **Denel SOC Limited**

Advised and represented Denel SOC Limited in tender procedure, irregularities, and compliance with the PFMA and Treasury Regulations.

- **B-BBEE Codes of Good Practice**

Advised local companies on the application of the various B-BBEE Codes of Good Practice.

- **Shareholder disputes**

Experience in advising shareholders in shareholder disputes and valuation of shares.

- **Petroleum companies**

Experience advising petroleum companies in section 12B arbitration proceedings referred to in terms of the Petroleum Products Act I.

- **Expropriation Bill**

Member of team involved in providing advice and comment to Expropriation Bill.

News

[Is non-verbal communication in the public interest and deserving of protection under the right to freedom of expression?](#)

Interdicting a media house from publishing something is a difficult court order to obtain. Our courts have held that attempts to restrain media houses from publishing must be done with caution and that a court must try and remain "as close to the preservation of the freedom of expression".

[Provisional sentence proceedings: A special procedure that can be used to immediately enforce a foreign judgment](#)

International contracts are contracts concluded between two parties situated in different countries. A jurisdictional clause in an international contract typically states which country's court will hear a dispute if one arises between the parties. The country's court that hears the matter and hands down judgment may not be where the adverse party is situated. The principle of national sovereignty means a state has supreme authority within its territory and, based on this principle, a judgment obtained in one country is not automatically enforceable in another.

[The conundrum of unsolicited bids](#)

Municipalities regularly receive unsolicited bids, which are offers by third parties on their own initiative and without an invitation from a municipality to provide the municipality with goods or services. The general principle is that municipalities are not obliged to consider unsolicited bids as they fall outside the normal bidding process. In the circumstances, when a municipality does choose to consider an unsolicited bid it must do so within the prescribed legislative framework.

[Does a partial acceptance of an offer constitute a counter-offer?](#)

A valid agreement comes into existence when an offer has been accepted. As straightforward as this principle may seem, courts are often required to determine whether an offer has indeed been accepted. A party alleging that a valid agreement has been concluded must prove that the acceptance of the offer is unambiguous and corresponds with the terms of the offer. This is not always an easy task.

[Appealing or Rescinding? That is the question when dealing with judgments granted against a person without their knowledge](#)

The Uniform Rules of Court direct that any document initiating legal proceedings must be served by the Sheriff of the High Court on a defendant/respondent. The purpose of this rule is to bring to the attention of the defendant/respondent that legal proceedings have been brought against them. The Uniform Rules of Court, however, do not always require the document instituting the legal proceedings to be personally served on the defendant/respondent. This can lead to a situation where judgment is granted against a person without their knowledge.

[All news by Neha Dhana →](#)