

Loyiso Bavuma

Senior Associate



Loyiso is a Senior Associate in our Dispute Resolution practice and has extensive experience in general commercial litigation and contractual issues. Loyiso specialises in High Court litigation and arbitrations, as well as matters relating to the Financial Intelligence Centre Act.

Loyiso has acted for multinational companies and listed companies in the finance and banking, information technology, retail, mining, construction and real estate sectors. Loyiso has also acted for state owned entities.

Loyiso has successfully resolved disputes relating to agreements across the commercial spectrum, administrative law reviews, corporate recoveries, delictual disputes and shareholder disputes.

About Loyiso

Loyiso was admitted as an Attorney of the High Court of South Africa in 2021 and initially joined CDH in 2022. Loyiso then briefly left CDH, and returned in 2023.

Loyiso was promoted to Senior Associate in April 2025.

Credentials

Education

- BComm Insurance & Risk Management (2016) University of the Witwatersrand
- LLB (2018) (Dean's List) University of the Witwatersrand
- Year of Admission as an Attorney of the High Court of South Africa: 2021

Experience

- Acted for the plaintiff against a major telecommunications provider in a R60 billion+ arbitration dealing with damages for breach of contract in the gaming sector.
- Acted for the plaintiff against a state owned company in a claim for breach of a software agreement valued at R300 million.
- Acted for the applicant regarding the variation of a preservation order obtained by the NPA over property valued in excess of R100 million.
- Disputes relating to company and shareholders' resolutions and loan agreements.

Contact Loyiso

+27 (0)11 562 1098

loyiso.bavuma@cdhlegal.com

[Loyiso on LinkedIn](#)

Expertise

[Dispute Resolution](#)

Location

Johannesburg

Language

English

- Assisted with bringing accountable institutions into compliance with the Financial Intelligence Centre Act by drafting and implementing the Risk Management and Compliance Programme, and also acting for accountable institutions in opposing administrative fines/penalties imposed by the regulatory authorities in terms of the Financial Intelligence Centre Act.

News

[Finding a balance between civil litigation and competition law](#)

In the early 2000s, two businessmen joined forces to sell stainless-steel door hardware under the Quicksilver (QS) brand. They agreed – informally – that Mercury Fittings (the respondent) would operate in the Western, Eastern and Northern Cape, and Doorware (the appellant) would cover the rest of the country.

[The effect of the accessory principle on prescription, res judicata, and estoppel](#)

In the matter of Estelle Le Roux and Another v Dielemaar Holdings (Cape) Pty Ltd and Another (414/2023) ZASCA 118, three lease agreements were concluded between a close corporation as the principal debtor and the respondents (creditors), with the applicants as sureties and co-principal debtors. The principal debtor fell in arrears in the amount of R1,035,406.63 in respect of the three leases.

[National Treasury provides update on South Africa's progress in getting off the FATF grey list](#)

National Treasury released a statement providing feedback on South Africa's participation in the October 2024 Financial Action Task Force (FATF) Plenary, where FATF recognised the improvements made in South Africa's structures to monitor and prevent anti-money laundering/combating the financing of terrorism (AML/CFT).

[FIC provides clarity on beneficial ownership](#)

Following consultations and comments from the tail end of last year, on 8 August 2024, the Financial Intelligence Centre (FIC) published Public Compliance Communication (PCC) 59, which deals with Beneficial Ownership (BO) and the application of section 21B of the Financial Intelligence Centre Act, 2001 (FIC Act). Below is a brief look at some of the most relevant aspects of PCC 59.

[Commissioning affidavits virtually: Yay or nay?](#)

The COVID-19 pandemic was an unprecedented event that required big shifts in how businesses operate, and the legal industry was no different. Court hearings on MS Teams and filing papers on Caselines meant that many of the administrative hurdles of practice could be overcome. One issue that remained, however, was the commissioning of affidavits.

[All news by Loyiso Bavuma →](#)