

Dipuo Titipana

Associate



Dipuo Titipana is an Associate in our Dispute Resolution practice. Dipuo has in-depth experience, expertise and a strong background in all aspects of commercial and general litigation, including but limited to: banking, insolvency, business rescue, Companies Act and property related disputes. Additionally, he has acted and led matters on behalf of well-established corporate entities in both the lower and high courts, including a leading international private and investment bank.

About Dipuo

Credentials

Education

- LLB - University of South Africa
- LLM - University of Cape Town (completion pending – December 2024)
- Admitted Attorney of the High Court of South Africa – August 2023

Memberships

- Black Lawyers Association

Experience

- Advised and acted on behalf some of the major banks in South Africa, including ABSA Bank Limited, The Standard Bank of South Africa Limited and FirstRand Bank Limited in a number of commercial disputes (i.e. commercial recoveries, liquidation, business rescue and foreclosure proceedings).
- Advised and was part of the team that acted on behalf of MFC, a division of Nedbank Limited in the reported judgment Prince v MFC, a division of Nedbank Limited and Another (4170/2022) [2022] ZAWCHC 90 (5 May 2022).
- Advised Agrilime Proprietary Limited in its acquisition by AfriMat Limited.
- Advised Damen Shipyards in respect of its dispute with Armscor.
- Advised Massam Holdings Proprietary Limited in their M&A transactions.

Contact Dipuo

+27 (0)21 481 6373

dipuo.titipana@cdhlegal.com

[Dipuo on LinkedIn](#)

Expertise

[Dispute Resolution](#)

Location

Cape Town

Language

Afrikaans

English

isiXhosa

isiZulu

- Advised Nouum Engineering Proprietary Limited in its shareholders dispute.
- Advised Tinswalo Holdings Limited in a matter involving the rezoning of agricultural land.
- Advised Investec Bank Limited in a number of commercial and private recoveries, liquidation, business rescue, security realisation and general litigation matters.

News

Knowledge is power

Can directors of a company in business rescue appoint attorneys to act on behalf of the company? This is the question that the Supreme Court of Appeal (SCA) was called upon to consider in Kurt Robert Knoop and Others v Tegeta Exploration and Resources (Pty) Ltd and Others (312/2024) ZASCA 96 (30 June 2025).

Ignore me at your peril: Protecting vulnerable groups in eviction matters

In City of Johannesburg Metropolitan Municipality and Others v Occupiers [of Portion 971 of the Farm Randjesfontein No 405] and Others (636/23) ZASCA 47 (23 April 2025), the Supreme Court of Appeal (SCA) was called upon to determine whether a court, as part of the just and equitable enquiry envisaged in section 4(7) of the Prevention of Illegal Eviction from and Unlawful Occupation of Land Act 19 of 1998 (PIE Act), should consider an unlawful occupier's right to earn a living

Whose commission is it anyway?

In City and Atlantic Real Estate CC t/a Remax Living v Smith and Others (7118/2023) ZAWCHC 426 (13 December 2024), the court was called upon to determine which estate agency, City and Atlantic Real Estate CC t/a RE/MAX Living (RE/MAX) or Kapstadt International Properties CC (Kapstadt Properties), was the "effective cause of the sale", entitling it to the agent's commission in the matter before it. Notably, the court held that there were two requirements that estate agents, sellers and purchasers should be mindful of when determining whether an agent is entitled to commission in a property sale.

Is non-verbal communication in the public interest and deserving of protection under the right to freedom of expression?

Interdicting a media house from publishing something is a difficult court order to obtain. Our courts have held that attempts to restrain media houses from publishing must be done with caution and that a court must try and remain "as close to the preservation of the freedom of expression".

Insolvents worldwide beware

In the matter of Raoul Gregor Wagner N.O v Johan Christian Gijsbers N.O and Three Others (20876/19) ZAWCHC 82 (5 June 2024), Mr Wagner (the applicant), in his capacity as the official receiver of Mr Jurgen Scheer's insolvent estate in Austria, sought relief from the Western Cape High Court for recognition of his appointment as the trustee of Scheer's Austrian insolvent estate in South Africa in order for him to remove any surplus funds after final distribution of Scheer's South African insolvent estate from South Africa to Austria, for the benefit of Scheer's Austrian creditors.

Podcasts

Ignore me at your peril: Protecting vulnerable groups in eviction matters

Dipuo Titipana, Associate in the Dispute Resolution practice recently joined Keketso Sachane on SAfm where he discussed 'Ignore me at your peril: Protecting vulnerable groups in eviction matters – Supreme Court ruling reshapes eviction proceedings.

[All news by Dipuo Titipana →](#)