# EMPLOYMENT RETRENCHMENT ALERT SERIES

The Employment Practice is doing a series of Alerts focused on Retrenchment, against the backdrop of the tough economic climate.

IN THIS

## IS THERE A DUTY TO RE-EMPLOY A RETRENCHED EMPLOYEE?

Retrenching an employee is a 'no fault' dismissal based on an employer's operational requirements. This type of dismissal is unfair if it is not in line with fair procedure. In terms of s189(3) of the Labour Relations Act, No 66 of 1995 (LRA), where circumstances arise which may result in the possible retrenchment of employees within a company, the employer must notify the affected employees in writing, inviting the employees to consult and disclosing all relevant information pertaining to the intended retrenchment.



# IS THERE A DUTY TO RE-EMPLOY A RETRENCHED EMPLOYEE?

Section 189(3)(h) of the LRA specifically requires an employer in a retrenchment exercise to disclose and consult on the possibility of future re-employment.

There is no duty on an employer to re-employ a retrenched employee nor is there a duty to enter into an agreement that provides for preferential re-employment. Retrenching an employee is a 'no fault' dismissal based on an employer's operational requirements. This type of dismissal is unfair if it is not in line with fair procedure. In terms of s189(3) of the Labour Relations Act, No 66 of 1995 (LRA), where circumstances arise which may result in the possible retrenchment of employees within a company, the employer must notify the affected employees in writing, inviting the employees to consult and disclosing all relevant information pertaining to the intended retrenchment.

Section 189(3)(h) of the LRA specifically requires an employer in a retrenchment exercise to disclose and consult on the possibility of future re-employment. This process requires a joint and meaningful consensus seeking exercise, as was set out in *SASBO v Standard Bank of SA* [2011] JOL 26928 (LC). A failure to disclose and consult on the possibility of reemployment may result in the consultation process falling foul of s189. Accordingly, the retrenchment may be considered procedurally unfair.

An employer must not only ensure that the correct process is followed, but also that the process is a genuine attempt to reach an amicable solution and avoid the harsh consequences of retrenchment.

There are two possible scenarios when discussing re-employment:

- an agreement regarding re-employment is reached; or
- no such agreement is reached.

If such an agreement is reached and the employer fails to adhere to the terms of the agreement, such failure will be an unfair labour practice in terms of s186(2) of the LRA and may amount to a substantively unfair dismissal in terms of s188 of the LRA.

In summary, there is no duty on an employer to re-employ a retrenched employee nor is there a duty to enter into an agreement that provides for preferential re-employment. The employer is, however, obliged to discuss the possibility of re-employment during the consultation process. Employers should ensure that employees are not presented with a *fait accompli* as the consultation process must involve a bona fide attempt to reach joint and meaningful consensus, notwithstanding any limitations within the employer's retrenchment policy.

Lauren Salt and Kate Anderson





CHAMBERS GLOBAL 2014 - 2015 ranks our Employment practice in Band 2: Employment.

Aadil Patel ranked by CHAMBERS GLOBAL 2015 in Band 2: Employment.

Hugo Pienaar ranked by CHAMBERS GLOBAL 2014 - 2015 in Band 2: Employment.

Fiona Leppan ranked by CHAMBERS GLOBAL 2015 in Band 4: Employment.

Ranked In CHAMBERS GLOBAL - 2015 -Leading Individual

PUTTING THE PIECES TOGETHER: LABOUR LAW AMENDMENTS CLICK HERE TO FIND OUT MORE.

> CDH CLIFFE DEKKER HOFMEYR

## **OUR TEAM**

For more information about our Employment practice and services, please contact:



Aadil Patel National Practice Head Director +27 (0)11 562 1107 E aadil.patel@cdhlegal.com

#### Gillian Lumb Regional Practice Head

Director +27 (0)21 481 6315 E gillian.lumb@cdhlegal.com



Johan Botes Director T +27 (0)11 562 1124 E johan.botes@cdhlegal.com

Mohsina Chenia Director T +27 (0)11 562 1299 E mohsina.chenia@cdhlegal.com

Fiona Leppan Director T +27 (0)11 562 1152 E fiona.leppan@cdhlegal.com



#### Hugo Pienaar Director T +27 (0)11 562 1350

E hugo.pienaar@cdhlegal.com



+27 (0)11 562 1184 Т E michael.yeates@cdhlegal.com



T +27 (0)11 562 1600 faan.coetzee@cdhlegal.com Е

#### **Kirsten Caddy** Senior Associate

+27 (0)11 562 1412 kirsten.caddy@cdhlegal.com F

#### **Nicholas Preston**

Senior Associate T +27 (0)11 562 1788 E nicholas.preston@cdhlegal.com

+27 (0)11 562 1378 Т E lauren.salt@cdhlegal.com

#### Ndumiso Zwane Senior Associate

T +27 (0)11 562 1231



E ndumiso.zwane@cdhlegal.com



#### Anli Bezuidenhout

- Т +27 (0)21 481 6351
- E anli.bezuidenhout@cdhlegal.com

Khanyisile Khanyile Associate T +27 (0)11 562 1586

E khanyisile.khanyile@cdhlegal.com

#### Katlego Letlonkane

- Associate
- T +27 (0)21 481 6319 F katlego.letlonkane@cdhlegal.com

#### Thandeka Nhleko

- Associate T +27 (0)11 562 1280
- E thandeka.nhleko@cdhlegal.com

#### Sihle Tshetlo

Associate +27 (0)11 562 1196 т

Ε sihle.tshetlo@cdhlegal.com

### BBBEE STATUS: LEVEL TWO CONTRIBUTOR

This information is published for general information purposes and is not intended to constitute legal advice. Specialist legal advice should always be sought in relation to any particular situation. Cliffe Dekker Hofmeyr will accept no responsibility for any actions taken or not taken on the basis of this publication.

#### **JOHANNESBURG**

1 Protea Place, Sandton, Johannesburg, 2196. Private Bag X40, Benmore, 2010, South Africa. Dx 154 Randburg and Dx 42 Johannesburg. T +27 (0)11 562 1000 F +27 (0)11 562 1111 E jhb@cdhlegal.com

#### **CAPE TOWN**

11 Buitengracht Street, Cape Town, 8001. PO Box 695, Cape Town, 8000, South Africa. Dx 5 Cape Town. T +27 (0)21 481 6300 F +27 (0)21 481 6388 E ctn@cdhlegal.com

©2015 0741/SEPT





Lauren Salt Senior Associate